

NEW YORK STATE
DIVISION OF TAX APPEALS
TAX APPEALS TRIBUNAL

ANNUAL REPORT

FISCAL YEAR 2012-2013

ANDREW M. CUOMO, GOVERNOR
JAMES H. TULLY, JR. PRESIDENT & COMMISSIONER
CHARLES H. NESBITT, COMMISSIONER



FISCAL YEAR 2012 - 2013

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	PAGE
INTRODUCTION	1
OVERVIEW	1
PURPOSE	1
FORMAL HEARINGS	1
TRIBUNAL REVIEW	2
SMALL CLAIMS PROCEEDINGS	2
TRIBUNAL HISTORY	2
PUBLICATION OF TRIBUNAL DECISIONS AND ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE DETERMINATIONS	3
OPERATIONS	4
RECENT DEVELOPMENTS	4
 DISPOSITION OF CASES	 5
INTRODUCTION	5
FORMAL HEARINGS	6
SMALL CLAIMS HEARINGS	8
TAX APPEALS TRIBUNAL	10
 EMPLOYEE DIRECTORY	 13

INTRODUCTION

This report is prepared pursuant to Tax Law § 2006(13), which provides that the Tax Appeals Tribunal shall “collect, compile and prepare for publication statistics and other data with respect to the operations of the division of tax appeals, and ... submit annually to the governor, the temporary president of the senate and the speaker of the assembly a report on such operations including but not limited to, the number of proceedings initiated, the types of dispositions made and the number of proceedings pending.”

OVERVIEW

The New York State Division of Tax Appeals (“Division”) was created by Chapter 282 of the Laws of 1986 as an independent division within the New York State Department of Taxation and Finance (Tax Law § 2002) effective September 1, 1987. The Division is headed by the Tax Appeals Tribunal (“Tribunal”), which consists of three commissioners appointed by the Governor and confirmed by the State Senate. The commissioners are appointed for nine-year terms. One of these commissioners is designated as the President of the Tribunal by the Governor and is solely responsible for the administration of the Division as a whole.

At least two of the commissioners must be attorneys admitted to practice in New York State for a period of at least ten years and be knowledgeable on the subject of taxation and one member need not be an attorney, but must also be knowledgeable on the subject of taxation (Tax Law § 2004).

During State Fiscal Year 2012 - 2013, the Tribunal consisted of James H. Tully, Jr., President and Commissioner and Charles H. Nesbitt, Commissioner.

PURPOSE

The Tribunal is charged with the responsibility of “providing the public with a just system of resolving controversies with [the] department of taxation and finance and to ensure that the elements of due process are present with regard to such resolution of controversies” (Tax Law § 2000). This purpose is accomplished by separating the administration of taxes from the adjudication of disputes between taxpayers and the Department of Taxation and Finance. The administration of taxes is solely the responsibility of the Department, while the adjudication of disputes falls solely under the province of the Division of Tax Appeals.

FORMAL HEARINGS

Formal hearings are held before an Administrative Law Judge. The Administrative Law Judge hears the testimony, evaluates the evidence and prepares and issues a written determination within six months after the completion of the hearing or submission of briefs of the parties, whichever is later. The determination of the Administrative Law Judge sets forth the issues in the case, the relevant facts established by the parties and the conclusions of law relevant to the issues. The determination is

binding on both parties (i.e., the taxpayer and the Department) unless one or both of the parties request a review of the determination by the Tribunal by filing an exception with the Secretary to the Tribunal within 30 days of the issuance of the determination of the Administrative Law Judge.

TRIBUNAL REVIEW

After reviewing the record of the hearing and any arguments, oral or by brief, the Tribunal issues a written decision affirming, reversing or modifying the determination of the Administrative Law Judge, or remanding the case for additional proceedings before such Administrative Law Judge. Each decision of the Tribunal sets forth the issues in the case, the relevant facts established by the parties in the record at hearing and the Tribunal's opinion, which applies applicable law to such facts. Each decision must be rendered within six months from the date of notice to the Tribunal that exception is being taken to the determination of the Administrative Law Judge. This period is extended if oral or written argument is made before the Tribunal (Tax Law § 2006[7]).

Decisions rendered by the Tribunal are final and binding on the Department; i.e., there is no right of appeal. Taxpayers who are not satisfied with the decision of the Tribunal have the right to appeal the Tribunal's decision by instituting a proceeding pursuant to Article 78 of the Civil Practice Law and Rules (CPLR) to the Appellate Division, Third Department of the State Supreme Court.

SMALL CLAIMS PROCEEDINGS

As an alternative to a formal hearing, taxpayers have the right to elect a small claims proceeding if the amount in dispute is within certain dollar limits as prescribed by regulations adopted by the Tribunal. Currently, the limits are \$20,000 (not including penalty and interest) for any 12-month period for personal income and corporate franchise tax and \$40,000 for sales and compensating use taxes (20 NYCRR 3000.13). A small claims hearing is conducted informally by a presiding officer, whose determination is final for both parties. However, at any time before the conclusion of the small claims hearing, a taxpayer may discontinue the proceeding and request that a formal hearing be held before an Administrative Law Judge.

TRIBUNAL HISTORY

The establishment of the Tribunal on September 1, 1987 separated the administration of taxes from the adjudication of disputes between taxpayers and the Department of Taxation and Finance. Under prior law, disputes between taxpayers and the Department were resolved by a three-member State Tax Commission, the President of which was also the Commissioner of the Department. Since the Department was always one of the parties before the Commission, critics of the system noted that there was, at the least, a perception of bias.

In addition, the regulations that were at issue in many of the cases were promulgated by the Commission itself. Again, the criticism was that the body that had adopted the regulations at issue could not fairly and objectively review their validity or application in an adjudicatory proceeding. Finally, under the former system, the hearing function was performed by a hearing officer who heard the case and recommended a decision to the Tax Commission, which then rendered the decision.

Critics argued that the person who had the opportunity to weigh the evidence and evaluate the credibility of the witnesses at the hearing should be the person to make the decision.

Under the current system, the Commissioner of the Department is not a member of the Tribunal, and the members of the Tribunal and the Division of Tax Appeals are fully independent from the Department. The Tribunal has the authority to adopt rules and regulations relating only to the exercise of its duties, including rules of practice and procedure, and the duties of the Administrative Law Judges to hear and determine the cases before them.

The first Administrative Law Judge determinations were issued in the fall of 1987. The first determination of a Presiding Officer in a small claims case was issued in January of 1988. The first decision of the Tribunal was issued in February of 1988.

In April of 1989, the Tribunal moved its headquarters from the Tax Department building (#9) at the State Office Building Campus in Albany to the Riverfront Professional Tower, 500 Federal Street, Troy, New York. This physical separation of the Tribunal from the Department of Taxation and Finance was the necessary final step in the separation of administration of taxes from the adjudication of tax controversies between taxpayers and the Department.

On July 1, 1993, the Division closed its New York City office and centralized its operations in Troy, New York, as a result of budgetary constraints. The Division continued to conduct small claims proceedings at various locations throughout the state including sites in the cities of Buffalo, Rochester, Syracuse, Binghamton, Troy and New York City, as well as in the counties of Westchester, Nassau and Suffolk.

Beginning in January 1997, the Division implemented a pilot program and began once again holding hearings in New York City. This program was implemented to ensure that taxpayers had greater access to administrative tax proceedings. Given the success of that program, Administrative Law Judge hearings are now conducted in New York City, Rochester and Albany and Oral Argument proceedings before the Tribunal are held in New York City and Albany.

In January 2012, the Division moved its offices from Troy, New York to Agency Building 1 on the Empire State Plaza in Albany, New York.

PUBLICATION OF TRIBUNAL DECISIONS AND ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE DETERMINATIONS

The law requires the Tribunal to publish and make available to the public all determinations rendered by Administrative Law Judges and all decisions rendered by the Tribunal. The Tribunal may charge a reasonable fee for a copy of such determinations or decisions.

The Tribunal provides copies of individual decisions and determinations upon request. In addition, decisions and determinations are available on the agency's website at www.nysdta.org. All Tribunal decisions and determinations of Administrative Law Judges are carried on Westlaw and Lexis and are commercially published by William S. Hein Company, Buffalo, New York. Also, Commerce Clearing House publishes selected determinations and decisions. The Tribunal provides a monthly docket, also published by the Hein Company, which indicates Administrative Law Judge determinations and Tribunal decisions issued for the month, as well as exceptions to Administrative Law Judge

determinations and Article 78 proceedings instituted by taxpayers to review Tribunal decisions. All above-referenced materials are available on the agency's website at www.nysdta.org.

OPERATIONS

THE TRIBUNAL

The Secretary to the Tribunal assists the President in administering the judicial functions of the Division and handles all procedural matters with regard to the calendaring of cases before the Tribunal.

The Counsel to the Tribunal assists the Tribunal in the preparation of decisions on cases before it, as well as preparing the Division's regulatory and legislative proposals, and advises the Tribunal on legal issues as they arise.

THE DIVISION OF TAX APPEALS

The Supervising Administrative Law Judge is responsible for the day-to-day administration of both formal hearings before Administrative Law Judges and the small claims hearings before Presiding Officers. The hearing staff of the Division is comprised of nine Administrative Law Judges and one Presiding Officer. All of these positions, including the Supervising Administrative Law Judge position, are in the competitive class of the Civil Service.

The remaining principal staff operations in the Division are handled by the Hearing Operations Unit, consisting of the Petition Intake and Reception Section, the Pre-Hearing Support, Calendaring and Scheduling Section, and the Word Processing and Determination Publishing Section.

RECENT DEVELOPMENTS

In June 2007, the Tribunal implemented new procedures for the handling of all matters pending before the Division's Hearing Unit. These procedures were designed to produce more active and efficient handling of cases, the conduct of more thorough and streamlined hearings, the creation of more complete and accurate trial records, and ultimately, the most proper and fair disposition of cases possible. Under this policy, cases are to be assigned to an Administrative Law Judge (ALJ) upon receipt of the Answer, and prehearing conferences initiated by the presiding ALJ in all cases.

As a result of State budget constraints and a hiring freeze, an increase in cases filed, and employee retirements for which there were no replacements, the Division of Tax Appeals has had to implement measures to allow it to "do more with less." This resulted in a slowdown in case processing and the development of a case backlog in recent years.

In early March 2012, Tribunal President Tully implemented several policy changes at the Division of Tax Appeals, in an effort to reduce the case backlog. These measures included tightening up timeframes in the prehearing processes, expediting the scheduling of hearings and limiting adjournments of scheduled hearings. This policy has resulted in a significant decrease in the case backlog.

DISPOSITION OF CASES

INTRODUCTION

The system over which the Tribunal presides is clearly adversarial in nature involving, in 83% of the cases, the assertion by the Department that the taxpayer owes additional taxes. The remaining cases involve situations where taxpayers claim refunds of taxes paid (12%) and controversies over licenses that the Department administers (5%). The total dollar value of the cases processed by the Division during 2012 - 2013 was approximately \$160 million. That portion of the cases in which the outcome was favorable to New York State was approximately \$114 million.

A taxpayer can protest any written notice of the Department of Taxation that has advised the taxpayer of a tax deficiency, a determination of tax due, a denial of a refund or credit application; a denial, cancellation, revocation or suspension of a license, permit or registration; or any other notice that gives a person the right to a hearing in the Division of Tax Appeals (Tax Law § 2008) by filing a petition for a hearing with the Division. Unless protested by the taxpayer affected by such action, the action asserted by the Department will stand.

The guarantee of "justice" and "due process" in the system is rooted, simply, in the opportunity for all taxpayers to timely and adequately pursue their cases and, conversely, the opportunity for the Department, on behalf of the people of the State, to timely and adequately pursue the State's interest in tax controversies. The Tribunal's procedural regulations are geared to this purpose and provide the needed flexibility to account for the variables in each case. However, once the parties have presented their cases, the statute requires that the determination of the Administrative Law Judge or the decision of the Tribunal be rendered within six months.

The following tables and charts show the inventory of cases before the Tribunal/Division of Tax Appeals and the disposition of cases by Administrative Law Judges, the Presiding Officer and the Tribunal for state fiscal year 2012 - 2013.

FORMAL HEARINGS


2012 - 2013 INVENTORY (NET CASES):

A. Beginning Inventory:	<u>495</u>
Add (+)	
Cases Received	426
Default Vacated	<u>0</u>
Subtotal	426
B. Total Cases for Hearing:	<u>921</u>
Deduct (-)	
Petitions Withdrawn	58
Closing Orders Issued	234
Defaults	8
Determinations Issued	50
Petitions Dismissed	15
Referred to BCMS	28
Bankruptcy	<u>3</u>
Subtotal	396
C. Ending Inventory:	<u>525</u>

ANALYSIS OF CASE SCHEDULING:

During fiscal year 2012 - 2013, 218 cases were scheduled for a formal hearing before Administrative Law Judges. Of that total:

- 112 cases (51%) were settled by the parties before hearing.
- 30 cases (14%) were held and completed on the scheduled date.
- 52 cases (24%) were adjourned before hearing.
- 1 case (less than 1%) was held and continued for completion on a subsequent date.
- 11 cases (5%) were submitted on the papers without a hearing.
- 7 cases (3%) were defaulted due to failure of one of the parties to appear at the hearing.
- 5 cases (2%) were handled by other means (e.g. bankruptcy filings, motions to hold, etc.).




ANALYSIS OF DETERMINATIONS

A. Case Disposition:

During the state fiscal year 2012 - 2013, the Administrative Law Judges issued 50 determinations. Of that total:

- 32 determinations (64%) sustained the deficiency or other action asserted by the Department.
- 7 determinations (14%) cancelled the deficiency or other action asserted by the Department.
- 11 determinations (22%) modified the deficiency or other action asserted by the Department, e.g., tax reduced, penalty waived or audit period reduced.



B. Average Elapsed Time:

The average elapsed time between the later of the hearing date or the last brief date and the issuance of the determination was:

Mean: 4.6 months
Median: 5 months

C. Breakdown of Determinations by Tax:

TAX	NUMBER	PERCENT
SALES	21	42
INCOME	25	50
CORP. FRANCHISE	2	4
MISCELLANEOUS	2	4
TOTAL	50	100

SMALL CLAIMS HEARINGS


2012 - 2013 INVENTORY (NET CASES):

A. Beginning Inventory:	<u>78</u>
Add (+)	
Cases Received	45
Default Vacated	<u>0</u>
Subtotal	45
B. Total Cases for Hearing:	<u>123</u>
Deduct (-)	
Petitions Withdrawn	6
Closing Orders Issued	26
Defaults	12
Determinations Issued	<u>21</u>
Subtotal	65
C. Ending Inventory:	<u>58</u>

ANALYSIS OF CASE SCHEDULING:

During fiscal year 2012 - 2013, 72 cases were scheduled for a small claims hearing before the Presiding Officer. Of that total:

- 26 cases (36%) were settled by the parties before hearing.
- 24 cases (33%) were held and completed on the scheduled date.
- 9 cases (13%) were adjourned before hearing.
- 13 cases (18%) were defaulted due to failure of one of the parties to appear at the hearing.




ANALYSIS OF DETERMINATIONS

A. Case Disposition:

During the state fiscal year 2012 - 2013, the Presiding Officer issued 21 small claims determinations. Of that total:

- 15 determinations (71%) sustained the deficiency or other action asserted by the Department.
- 1 determinations (5%) cancelled the deficiency or other action asserted by the Department.
- 5 determinations (24%) modified the deficiency or other action asserted by the Department, e.g. tax reduced, penalty waived or audit period reduced.



B. Average Elapsed Time:

The average elapsed time between the later of the hearing date or the last brief date and the issuance of the determination was:

Mean: 1.81 months
Median: 2 months

C. Breakdown of Determinations by Tax:

TAX	NUMBER	PERCENT
SALES	8	38
INCOME	12	57
CORP. FRANCHISE	1	5
TOTAL	21	100

TAX APPEALS TRIBUNAL

2012 - 2013 INVENTORY (NET CASES):


A. Beginning Inventory:		<u>50</u>
Add (+)		
Cases Received	<u>30</u>	
B. Total Cases for Hearing:		<u>80</u>
Deduct (-)		
Decisions Issued	27	
Settled	0	
Withdrawn	0	
Subtotal	<u>27</u>	
C. Ending Inventory:		<u>53</u>

ANALYSIS OF DECISIONS

A. Case Disposition:

During the state fiscal year 2012 - 2013, the Tax Appeals Tribunal issued 27 decisions. Of that total:

- 17 decisions (63%) sustained the deficiency or other action asserted by the Department.
- 2 decisions (7%) cancelled the deficiency or other action asserted by the Department.
- 5 decisions (19%) modified the deficiency or other action asserted by the Department.
- 3 decisions (11%) remanded the matter to the Administrative Law Judge.



B. Average Elapsed Time:

The average elapsed time between the later of the oral argument date or the last brief date and the issuance of the decision was:

Mean: 5.5 months
Median: 5.6 months

C. Breakdown of Determinations by Tax:


TAX	NUMBER	PERCENT
SALES	10	37
INCOME	10	37
CORP. FRANCHISE	3	11
MISCELLANEOUS	4	15
TOTAL	27	100

D. Tribunal Disposition of ALJ Determinations:

During the state fiscal year 2012 - 2013, the Tribunal issued 27 decisions reviewing determinations of Administrative Law Judges. Of that total:

- 22 decisions (81%) affirmed the determination of the Administrative Law Judge.
- 4 decisions (15%) reversed the determination of the Administrative Law Judge.*
- 1 decision (4%) modified the determination of the Administrative Law Judge.

*Of the 4 determinations that were reversed, 3 were remanded to the Administrative Law Judge for further proceedings.




E. Tribunal Disposition of Petitioner Exceptions:

During the state fiscal year 2012 - 2013, the Tribunal rendered 26 decisions with respect to exceptions filed by Petitioners. Of that total:

- 4 decisions (15%) granted Petitioner's exception.*
- 19 decisions (73%) denied Petitioner's exception.
- 3 decisions (12%) dismissed Petitioner's exception.

*Of the 4 decisions granting Petitioners' exceptions, 3 were remanded to the Administrative Law Judge for further proceedings.



F. Tribunal Disposition of Division Exceptions:

During the state fiscal year 2012-2013 the Tribunal rendered 1 decision with respect to an exception filed by the Division of Taxation.

- 1 decision (100%) denied Division's exception.

G. Tribunal Disposition of Oral Argument Requests:

During the state fiscal year 2012 - 2013, the Tribunal received 16 requests for oral argument and granted it in 13 cases (81%).

H. Judicial Review:

During state fiscal year 2012 - 2013, 10 Tribunal decisions were subject to judicial review as provided in Article 78 of the New York's Civil Practice Law and Rules.

EMPLOYEE DIRECTORY

TAX APPEALS TRIBUNAL (518) 266-3050

JAMES H. TULLY, JR., PRESIDENT AND COMMISSIONER
 CHARLES H. NESBITT, COMMISSIONER
 SYLVIA S. MAGIN, KEYBOARD SPECIALIST I

OFFICE OF THE SECRETARY TO THE TRIBUNAL (518) 266-3036

JEAN A. McDONNELL, SECRETARY TO THE TRIBUNAL
 BARBARA A. VOLLAND, SECRETARY II

OFFICE OF THE COUNSEL (518) 266-3052

NICHOLAS A. BEHUNIAK, COUNSEL TO THE TRIBUNAL
 ALEXANDER F. CHU-FONG, ASSISTANT COUNSEL
 TARA NADARESKEI, LEGAL ASSISTANT 2

ADMINISTRATIVE OFFICE

GEORGE J. CANNON, SPECIAL ASSISTANT (518) 266-3041
 JACALYN A. DAURIO, ADMINISTRATIVE ASSISTANT (518) 266-3062

DIVISION OF TAX APPEALS (518) 266-3000

DANIEL J. RANALLI, SUPERVISING ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE
 TIMOTHY J. ALSTON, ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE
 CATHERINE M. BENNETT, ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE
 ARTHUR S. BRAY, ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE
 HERBERT M. FRIEDMAN, JR., ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE
 DENNIS M. GALLIHER, ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE
 DONNA M. GARDINER, ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE
 WINIFRED M. MALONEY, ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE
 JOSEPH W. PINTO, JR., ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE
 THOMAS C. SACCA, ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE
 BARBARA J. RUSSO, PRESIDING OFFICER

HEARING SUPPORT OPERATIONS UNIT (518) 266-3000

AUDRA M. HEDDEN, SPECIAL ASSISTANT

PRE-HEARING SUPPORT, CALENDARING AND SCHEDULING SECTION (518) 266-3000

TINA M. KANE, ADMINISTRATIVE ASSISTANT
 KAREN OVEROCKER, CLERK 2

WORD PROCESSING AND DETERMINATION PUBLISHING SECTION

LYNN HALSE, SECRETARY I (518) 266-3017
 BARBARA GIEK, SECRETARY I (518) 266-3043

PETITION INTAKE, RECEPTION AND FILE MAINTENANCE SECTION

HEATHER A. SCAMARDO, SECRETARY (518) 266-3001
 RITA M. FIACCO, KEYBOARD SPECIALIST I (518) 266-3000

NEW YORK STATE DIVISION OF TAX APPEALS, TAX APPEALS TRIBUNAL
AGENCY BUILDING ONE, EMPIRE STATE PLAZA, ALBANY, NY 12223
PHONE (518) 266-3000, FAX (518) 272-5178 OR (518) 271-0886
E-MAIL NYSOTA@DTA.NY.GOV
WWW.DTA.NY.GOV