

STATE OF NEW YORK

DIVISION OF TAX APPEALS

	:	
In the Matter of the Petition	:	
	:	
of	:	
	:	
JOHN F. AND LINDA M. LOEBER	:	DETERMINATION
	:	DTA NO. 831613
for Redetermination of a Deficiency or for Refund	:	
of New York State Personal Income Tax under	:	
Article 22 of the Tax Law for the Year 2023.	:	
	:	

Petitioners, John F. and Linda M. Loeber, filed a petition for redetermination of a deficiency or for refund of New York State personal income tax under article 22 of the Tax Law for the year 2023.

On March 21, 2025, the Division of Tax Appeals issued to petitioners a notice of intent to dismiss petition pursuant to 20 NYCRR 3000.9 (a) (4). The Division of Taxation, appearing by Amanda Hiller, Esq. (Kobena Eyiah, Esq., of counsel), submitted a letter in support of the dismissal. Petitioners, appearing pro se, did not submit a response by April 21, 2025, which date began the 90-day period for the issuance of this determination. After due consideration of the documents submitted, Donna M. Gardiner, Supervising Administrative Law Judge, renders the following determination.

ISSUE

Whether the Division of Tax Appeals has jurisdiction over the petition.

FINDINGS OF FACT

1. Petitioners, John F. and Linda M. Loeber, filed a petition with the Division of Tax Appeals on March 4, 2024.

2. The petition included a copy of a notice and demand for payment of tax due (notice and demand), bearing assessment number L-059457285, dated February 21, 2024, issued to petitioners by the Division of Taxation (Division).

3. The petition did not include any statutory notice.

4. On March 21, 2025, the Division of Tax Appeals issued to petitioners a notice of intent to dismiss petition. The notice of intent to dismiss petition stated that it appeared that the Division of Tax Appeals lacked jurisdiction to consider the merits of the petition because a notice and demand does not provide hearing rights to a taxpayer.

5. On April 1, 2025, in response to the notice of intent to dismiss petition, the Division submitted a letter that stated:

“[t]he Division is in receipt of the Notice of Intent to Dismiss the petition in the above referenced matter and agrees as the Division of Tax Appeals lacks jurisdiction over the matter. Therefore, the Division is in agreement with the proposed dismissal regarding the Notice and Demand.”

6. Petitioners did not submit a response to the notice of intent to dismiss the petition.

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

A. The Division of Tax Appeals is a forum of limited jurisdiction (*see* Tax Law § 2008; *Matter of Scharff*, Tax Appeals Tribunal, October 4, 1990, *revd on other grounds sub nom New York State Dept. of Taxation & Fin. v Tax Appeals Trib.*, 151 Misc 2d 326, 332 [Sup Ct, Albany County 1991]). Its power to adjudicate disputes is exclusively statutory (*id.*). The Division of Tax Appeals is authorized “[t]o provide a hearing as a matter of right, to any petitioner upon such petitioner’s request . . . unless a right to such a hearing is specifically provided for, modified or denied by another provision of this chapter” (Tax Law § 2006 [4]).

All proceedings in the Division of Tax Appeals “shall be commenced by the filing of

a petition . . . protesting any written notice of the division of taxation which has advised the petitioner of a tax deficiency, a determination of tax due . . . or any other notice which gives a person the right to a hearing” (Tax Law § 2008 [1]).

B. Pursuant to 20 NYCRR 3000.3 (b) (8), a petition shall contain, “for the sole purpose of establishing the timeliness of the petition, a legible copy of the order of the conciliation conferee if issued; if no such order was previously issued, a legible copy of any other statutory notice being protested.” In this case, no statutory notice was included.

As petitioners failed to attach a notice contemplated by Tax Law § 2008 (1), the Division of Tax Appeals lacks jurisdiction over the subject matter of the petition and, therefore, dismissal is warranted (*see* 20 NYCRR 3000.3 [d] [2]; 3000.9 [a] [4] [i]; *see also Matter of Richardson*, Tax Appeals Tribunal, November 17, 2022).

C. While the petition included a copy of a notice and demand, this notice is insufficient to confer jurisdiction upon the Division of Tax Appeals to consider the merits of the petition (*see* Tax Law § 173-a [2]; *Matter of Alesi*, Tax Appeals Tribunal, June 9, 2022).

D. It is ORDERED, on the motion of the supervising administrative law judge, that the petition is dismissed with prejudice as of this date.

DATED: Albany, New York
June 26, 2025

/s/ Donna M. Gardiner
SUPERVISING ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE