

STATE OF NEW YORK

DIVISION OF TAX APPEALS

In the Matter of the Petition	:	
of	:	
MICHAEL CONLON AND AMY CHATO	:	DETERMINATION
		DTA NO. 831600
for Redetermination of Deficiencies or for	:	
Refund of New York State Personal Income Tax	:	
under Article 22 of the Tax Law for the Year 2015.	:	

Petitioners, Michael Conlon and Amy Chato, filed a petition for redetermination of deficiencies or for refund of New York State personal income tax under article 22 of the Tax Law for the year 2015.

On April 17, 2026, the Division of Tax Appeals issued a notice of intent to dismiss petition pursuant to 20 NYCRR 3000.9 (a) (4). The Division of Taxation, appearing by Amanda Hiller, Esq. (Christopher O'Brien, Esq., of counsel), submitted a letter stating that it had no objection to the dismissal. Petitioners, appearing pro se, did not submit a response by May 18, 2026, which date commenced the 90-day period for the issuance of this determination.

After due consideration of the documents submitted, Donna M. Gardiner, Supervising Administrative Law Judge, renders the following determination.

ISSUE

Whether the Division of Tax Appeals has jurisdiction over the petition.

FINDINGS OF FACT

1. Petitioners, Michael Conlon and Amy Chato, filed a petition with the Division of Tax Appeals on February 29, 2024.

2. The petition appears to protest assessment numbers L-054451342 and L-056576415, but statutory notices were not included with the petition.

3. On April 11, 2024, the Division of Tax Appeals made a written request to petitioners for copies of the statutory notices.

4. Petitioners failed to provide any notices.

5. On April 17, 2026, the Division of Tax Appeals issued a notice of intent to dismiss petition. The notice of intent to dismiss petition stated that it appeared the Division of Tax Appeals lacked jurisdiction to review the merits of the petition because it was not in proper form.

6. Petitioners did not respond to the notice of intent to dismiss petition.

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

A. The Division of Tax Appeals is a forum of limited jurisdiction (*see* Tax Law § 2008; *Matter of Scharff*, Tax Appeals Tribunal, October 4, 1990, *revd on other grounds sub nom Matter of New York State Dept. of Taxation & Fin. v Tax Appeals Trib.*, 151 Misc 2d 326 [Sup Ct, Albany County 1991]). Its power to adjudicate disputes is exclusively statutory (*see Matter of New York State Dept. of Taxation & Fin. v Tax Appeals Trib.*, 151 Misc 2d at 332). The Division of Tax Appeals is authorized “[t]o provide a hearing as a matter of right, to any petitioner upon such petitioner’s request . . . unless a right to such a hearing is specifically provided for, modified or denied by another provision of this chapter” (Tax Law § 2006 [4]).

All proceedings in the Division of Tax Appeals “shall be commenced by the filing of a petition . . . protesting any written notice of the division of taxation . . . which has advised the petitioner of a tax deficiency, a determination of tax due . . . or any other notice which expressly gives a person the right to a hearing . . .” (Tax Law § 2008 [1]).

B. Pursuant to 20 NYCRR 3000.3 (b) (8), a petition shall contain, “for the sole purpose of establishing the timeliness of the petition, a legible copy of the order of the conciliation conferee if issued; if no such order was previously issued, a legible copy of any other statutory notice being protested.” In this case, no statutory notices were attached.

As petitioners failed to attach a notice contemplated by Tax Law § 2008 (1), the Division of Tax Appeals lacks jurisdiction over the subject matter of the petition and, therefore, dismissal is warranted (*see* 20 NYCRR 3000.3 [d] [2]; 3000.9 [a] [4] [i]; *see also Matter of Richardson*, Tax Appeals Tribunal, November 17, 2022).

C. While the petition included a copy of a consolidated statement of tax liabilities, this is not a statutory document that provides hearing rights at the Division of Tax Appeals (*see Matter of Mostovoi*, Tax Appeals Tribunal, May 23, 2019 [wherein the Tax Appeals Tribunal held that a consolidated statement of tax liabilities does not qualify as a statutory notice because such statement reports a taxpayer’s past-due tax liabilities]).

D. It is ORDERED, on the motion of the supervising administrative law judge, that the petition is dismissed with prejudice as of this date.

DATED: Albany, New York
July 23, 2026

/s/ Donna M. Gardiner
SUPERVISING ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE