

STATE OF NEW YORK

DIVISION OF TAX APPEALS

In the Matter of the Petition	:	
of	:	DETERMINATION
22-50 33 STREET LLC	:	DTA NO. 831117

Petitioner, 22-50 33 Street LLC, filed a petition in protest of an assessed property value. On June 30, 2023, the Division of Tax Appeals issued to petitioner a notice of intent to dismiss petition pursuant to 20 NYCRR 3000.9 (a) (4). The Division of Taxation, appearing by Amanda Hiller, Esq. (Amanda Alteri), submitted a letter in support of the dismissal. Petitioner did not submit a response by July 31, 2023, which date commenced the 90-day period for the issuance of this determination. After due consideration of the documents submitted, Donna M. Gardiner, Supervising Administrative Law Judge, renders the following determination.

ISSUE

Whether the Division of Tax Appeals has jurisdiction over the petition.

FINDINGS OF FACT

1. Petitioner, 22-50 33 Street LLC, filed a petition with the Division of Tax Appeals on September 30, 2022. The petition appears to challenge a property tax.
2. A statutory notice or conciliation order was not attached to the petition.
3. On November 14, 2022, the Division of Tax Appeals made a written request to petitioner for a copy of the statutory notice.
4. Petitioner failed to provide a notice.

5. On June 30, 2023, the Division of Tax Appeals issued to petitioner a notice of intent to dismiss petition. The notice stated, in sum, that the Division of Tax Appeals lacked jurisdiction to review the merits of the petition because it was not in proper form. Additionally, pursuant to Tax Law § 2008 (1), the Division of Tax Appeals does not have jurisdiction over assessed property values, such as the one petitioned here.

6. On July 13, 2023, the Division of Taxation (Division) submitted its response to the notice of intent to dismiss petition that stated:

“[t]he Division is in receipt of the Notice of Intent to Dismiss the petition in the above referenced matter. Tax Law § 2008 does not have [sic] jurisdiction over property taxes as petitioned here. The Division is in agreement with the proposed dismissal.”

7. Petitioner failed to respond.

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

A. The Division of Tax Appeals is a forum of limited jurisdiction (Tax Law § 2008; *Matter of Scharff*, Tax Appeals Tribunal, October 4, 1990, *revd on other grounds sub nom New York State Department of Taxation and Fin. v Tax Appeals Trib.*, 151 Misc 2d 326 [Sup Ct, Albany County 1991, Keniry, J.]). Its power to adjudicate disputes is exclusively statutory (*id.*). The Division of Tax Appeals is authorized “[t]o provide a hearing as a matter of right, to any petitioner upon such petitioner’s request . . . unless a right to such a hearing is specifically provided for, modified or denied by another provision of this chapter” (Tax Law § 2006 [4]).

Tax Law § 2008 (1) limits the jurisdiction of the Division of Tax Appeals to matters “protesting any written notice of the division of taxation which has advised the petitioner of a tax deficiency, a determination of tax due, a denial of a refund or credit application, a cancellation, revocation or suspension of a license, permit or registration, a denial of an application for a

license, permit or registration or any other notice which gives a person the right to a hearing in the division of tax appeals under this chapter or other law.”

B. Pursuant to 20 NYCRR 3000.3 (b) (8), a petition shall contain, “for the sole purpose of establishing the timeliness of the petition, a legible copy of the order of the conciliation conferee if issued; if no such order was previously issued, a legible copy of any other statutory notice being protested.” In this case, no statutory notice was attached.

C. On November 14, 2022, the Division of Tax Appeals made a written request for petitioner to supply a statutory notice. Petitioner failed to do so. Where petitioner fails to correct the petition within the time prescribed, the supervising administrative law judge will issue a notice of intent to dismiss petition (*see* 20 NYCRR 3000.3 [d] [2]). Such notice of intent to dismiss petition was issued on June 30, 2023. Petitioner failed to respond.

As petitioner failed to attach a statutory notice and the petition was in protest of an assessed property value, pursuant to Tax Law § 2008 (1), the Division of Tax Appeals lacks jurisdiction over the subject matter of the petition and, thus, dismissal is warranted (*see* 20 NYCRR 3000.3 [d] [2]; 3000.9 [a] [4] [i]; *Matter of Richardson*, Tax Appeals Tribunal, November 17, 2022).

D. It is ORDERED, on the motion of the supervising administrative law judge, that the petition is dismissed with prejudice as of this date.

DATED: Albany, New York
October 26, 2023

/s/ Donna M. Gardiner
SUPERVISING ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE