In the Matter of the Petition

of

GREGORY and SONS

AFFIDAVIT OF MAILING

For a Redetermination of a Deficiency or a Revision of a Determination or a Refund of Unincorporated Business

Taxes under Article (SX) 16-A of the Tax Law for the XXXXXXXXXXXPeriod(XXX)

May 2, 1955 through December 31, 1955 and for the Years 1956 and 1957.

State of New York

County of Albany

John Huhn , being duly sworn, deposes and says that whe is an employee of the Department of Taxation and Finance, over 18 years of age, and that on the 6th day of April , 1979, Whe served the within Notice of Determination by (contified) mail upon Gregory and Sons

(representative of) the petitioner in the within proceeding,
by enclosing a true copy thereof in a securely sealed postpaid wrapper addressed
as follows: Gregory and Sons
72 Wall Street
New York, New York

and by depositing same enclosed in a postpaid properly addressed wrapper in a (post office or official depository) under the exclusive care and custody of the United States Postal Service within the State of New York.

That deponent further says that the said addressee is the (representative of the) petitioner herein and that the address set forth on said wrapper is the last known address of the (representative of the) petitioner.

John Huhn

Sworn to before me this

6th day of April

1979

TA-3 (2/76)

In the Matter of the Petition

οf

GREGORY and SONS

AFFIDAVIT OF MAILING

John Huhn , being duly sworn, deposes and says that

Whe is an employee of the Department of Taxation and Finance, over 18 years of
age, and that on the 6th day of April , 19 79, Whe served the within

Notice of Determination by (contified) mail upon Biller & Snyder, CPA

(representative of) the petitioner in the within proceeding,
by enclosing a true copy thereof in a securely sealed postpaid wrapper addressed
as follows: Biller & Snyder, CPA
75 Maiden Lane
New York, New York 10038

and by depositing same enclosed in a postpaid properly addressed wrapper in a (post office or official depository) under the exclusive care and custody of the United States Postal Service within the State of New York.

That deponent further says that the said addressee is the (representative of the) petitioner herein and that the address set forth on said wrapper is the last known address of the (representative of the) petitioner.

Sworn to before me this

th day of April

19 79

TA-3 (2/76)



STATE OF NEW YORK STATE TAX COMMISSION TAX APPEALS BUREAU ALBANY, NEW YORK 12227

MES H. TULLY JR., PRESIDENT
MILTON KOERNER
THOMAS H. LYNCH

April 6, 1979

Gregory and Sons 72 Wall Street New York, New York

Gentlemen:

Please take notice of the **Determination** of the State Tax Commission enclosed herewith.

You have now exhausted your right of review at the administrative level. Pursuant to section(3) 386(1) of the Tax Law, any proceeding in court to review an adverse decision by the State Tax Commission can only be instituted under Article 78 of the Civil Practice Laws and Rules, and must be commenced in the Supreme Court of the State of New York, Albany County, within 90 days from the date of this notice.

Inquiries concerning the computation of tax due or refund allowed in accordance with this decision may be addressed to the Deputy Commissioner and Counsel to the New York State Department of Taxation and Finance, Albany, New York 12227. Said inquiries will be referred to the proper authority for reply.

MICHAEL ALEXANDER

SUPERVISING TAX HEARING OFFICER

cc: Petitioner's Representative

Taxing Bureau's Representative

STATE OF NEW YORK

STATE TAX COMMISSION

In the Matter of the Application

o £

GREGORY and SONS

DETERMINATION

for Revision of a Determination or for Refund of Unincorporated Business Tax under Article 16-A of the Tax Law for the Period May 2, 1955 through December 31, 1955 and for the Years 1956 and 1957.

Applicant, Gregory and Sons, 72 Wall Street, New York, New York, filed an application for revision of a determination or for refund of unincorporated business tax under Article 16-A of the Tax Law for the period May 2, 1955 through December 31, 1955 and for the years 1956 and 1957 (File No. 01915).

A formal hearing was held before Francis X. Boylan, Hearing Officer, at the offices of the State Tax Commission, 80 Centre Street, New York, New York, on November 12 and 13, 1969. Applicant appeared by Biller and Snyder, CPA's (Aaron Nasper, Martin Wallace and Philip F. Rauch, of counsel). The Income Tax Bureau appeared by Edward H. Best, Esq. (Alexander Weiss, Esq., of counsel).

ISSUES

I. Whether income from cash and securities in partners' subordinated cpaital accounts was subject to unincorporated business tax.

· * • • • • • • •

II. Whether interest payments made in connection with borrowed cash or securities constituted interest on partners' capital and, thus, was not deductible by applicant for unincorporated business tax purposes.

FINDINGS OF FACT

- 1. Applicant, Gregory and Sons, a partnership during each of the taxable years involved, was engaged in business as a securities broker in the State of New York.
- 2. Certain general partners of the firm borrowed cash and securities from persons who were not members or employees of the firm, who had agreed to subordinate their liens to claims of the partnership creditors. The borrowed assets were then brought into the partnership through the partners' individual accounts. The cash and securities were considered capital of the firm under the partnership agreement and under Rule 325 of the New York Stock Exchange which required an amount of capital sufficient to meet the required minimum Debt to Net Capital Ratio.
- 3. Although applicant paid interest on the borrowed assets directly to the outside lenders as a convenience, such interest was charged to the accounts of the partners.
- 4. On April 12, 1962, notices of additional assessment were issued to Gregory and Sons by the Income Tax Bureau. Prior to being revised, the assessments provided as follows:

Year	May 2, 1955 to Dec. 31, 1955	<u>1956</u>	<u>1957</u>
Assessment No.	FA94123	FA94124	FA94125
Total Additional Tax	\$1,398.57	\$1,921.59	\$1,594.04

DESCRIPTION

Α.	Disallowance of Travel						
и.	and Entertainment	\$	4,200.00	\$	8,400.00 7,542.29	\$	7,500.00
В.	Repairs and Depreciation	\$	9,580.62	\$	7,542.29		-
C.	Improper Deduction	•	•	•	•		900.00
D.	Deduction for Partners'						
	Services Disallowed	Ś	5,000.00	Ś	5,000.00		_
Ε.	Interest, Dividends and	1	•,••••	ı	•,•••		
	Capital Gains and/or						
	Losses Omitted	\$8	24,884.45	Ś	2,582.56	\$1	74,682.04
F.	Interest Expense Reduced	Ψ.	2-1,001.15	Ť	2,302.30	T -	, ,, 00=10 .
	to Eliminate Payments to						
	Member Partner Con-						
	sidered to be a Distri-						
	bution of Profits	Ċ	25,927.06	Ċ	39,585.00	¢	40,137.17
	DUCTOR OF LIGHTER	Ÿ	43,347.00	, ب	J7,J0J.00	Y	40,13/.1/

- 5. Applicant did not contest Items A through D. On
 December 26, 1962, applicant filed an Application for Revision
 or Refund of Unincorporated Business Taxes on Form IT-113. On
 April 23, 1965, applicant was notified that the notices of additional
 assessment were revised in accordance with Shearson-Hammill & Co.
 v. State Tax Commission (15 N.Y. 2d 608 aff'g. Supreme Ct. A.D.
 3rd Dept., July 15, 1963), in which interest, dividends and
 capital gains and/or losses (all of which relate to Item "E" were
 excludable from the computation of unincorporated business tax
 liability. On July 1, 1965, applicant filed an application
 demanding that a hearing be held as a result of the prior application having been denied in part on April 23, 1965.
- 6. The sole remaining issue pertains to Item "F" interest expenses reduced to eliminate payment to member partners considered to be a distribution of profits. Applicant contended that the interest payments were deductible as interest paid on the debt of the partnership and were an ordinary and necessary business expense for unincorporated business tax purposes.

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

- A. That the income from interest, dividends and capital gains and/or losses derived from the subordinated "capital" accounts of partners in applicant's brokerage firm (used as security for partnership creditors and capital contributions) was not subject to unincorporated business tax (Shearson-Hammill & Co. v. State Tax Commission, 15 N.Y. 2d 608, aff'g Supreme Ct. A.D. 3rd Dept.).
- B. That the interest payments on the borrowed securities or cash constituted payment of interest on partners' capital under Article 12 of the Unincorporated Business Income Tax Regulations (Article 16-A of the Tax Law) and were not deductible by applicant for unincorporated business tax purposes.
- C. That the application of Gregory and Sons is granted to the extent of allowing the interest dividends and capital gains and/or losses previously omitted from the partnership return, but is in all other respects denied.

DATED: Albany, New York

STATE TAX COMMISSION

April 6, 1979

PRESIDENT

COMMISSIONER

COMMISSIONER



то..... Berthlyn Davis

No better address.

GREGORY AND SONS

May 1, 1979 Michael Alexander

M-75 (5/76)



THOMAS H. LYNCH

STATE OF NEW YORK STATE TAX COMMISSION TAX APPEALS BUREAU ALBANY, NEW YORK 12227

April 6, 1979

Gregory and Sons 72 Wall Street New York, New York

Gentlemen:

Please take notice of the **Determination** of the State Tax Commission enclosed herewith.

You have now exhausted your right of review at the administrative level. Pursuant to section(X) 386(j) of the Tax Law, any proceeding in court to review an adverse decision by the State Tax Commission can only be instituted under Article 78 of the Civil Practice Laws and Rules, and must be commenced in the Supreme Court of the State of New York, Albany County, within 90 days from the date of this notice.

Inquiries concerning the computation of tax due or refund allowed in accordance with this decision may be addressed to the Deputy Commissioner and Counsel to the New York State Department of Taxation and Finance, Albany, New York 12227. Said inquiries will be referred to the proper authority for reply.

MICHAEL ALEXANDER

SUPERVISING TAX HEARING OFFICER

cc: Petitioner's Representative

Taxing Bureau's Representative

TA-26 (4-76) 25M
STATE OF NEW YORK
Department of Taxation and Finance

TAX APPEALS BUREAU

STATE CAMPUS ALBANY, N. Y. 12227

Gregory and Sons 72 Wall Street New York, New York



٠.

4

STATE OF NEW YORK

STATE TAX COMMISSION

In the Matter of the Application

of

GREGORY and SONS

DETERMINATION

for Revision of a Determination or for Refund of Unincorporated Business Tax under Article 16-A of the Tax Law for the Period May 2, 1955 through December 31, 1955 and for the Years 1956 and 1957.

Applicant, Gregory and Sons, 72 Wall Street, New York, New York, filed an application for revision of a determination or for refund of unincorporated business tax under Article 16-A of the Tax Law for the period May 2, 1955 through December 31, 1955 and for the years 1956 and 1957 (File No. 01915).

A formal hearing was held before Francis X. Boylan, Hearing Officer, at the offices of the State Tax Commission, 80 Centre Street, New York, New York, on November 12 and 13, 1969. Applicant appeared by Biller and Snyder, CPA's (Aaron Nasper, Martin Wallace and Philip F. Rauch, of counsel). The Income Tax Bureau appeared by Edward H. Best, Esq. (Alexander Weiss, Esq., of counsel).

ISSUES

I. Whether income from cash and securities in partners' subordinated cpaital accounts was subject to unincorporated business tax.

II. Whether interest payments made in connection with borrowed cash or securities constituted interest on partners' capital and, thus, was not deductible by applicant for unincorporated business tax purposes.

FINDINGS OF FACT

- 1. Applicant, Gregory and Sons, a partnership during each of the taxable years involved, was engaged in business as a securities broker in the State of New York.
- 2. Certain general partners of the firm borrowed sash and securities from persons who were not members or employees of the firm, who had agreed to subordinate their liens to claims of the partnership creditors. The borrowed assets were then brought into the partnership through the partners' individual accounts. The cash and securities were considered capital of the firm under the partnership agreement and under Rule 325 of the New York Stock Exchange which required an amount of capital sufficient to meet the required minimum Debt to Net Capital Ratio.
- 3. Although applicant paid interest on the borrowed assets directly to the outside lenders as a convenience, such interest was charged to the accounts of the partners.
- 4. On April 12, 1962, notices of additional assessment were issued to Gregory and Sons by the Income Tax Bureau. Prior to being revised, the assessments provided as follows:

Year	May 2, 1955 to Dec. 31, 1955	<u>1956</u> <u>1957</u>			
Assessment No. Total Additional Tax	FA94123 \$1,398.57	FA94124 FA94125 \$1,921.59 \$1,594.04			

DESCRIPTION

A.	Disallowance of Travel	. 1.				
	and Entertainment	. \$	4,200.00	\$	8,400.00	\$ 7,500.00
В.	Repairs and Depreciation	: \$	9.580.62	Ś	8,400.00 7,542.29	
C.	Improper Deduction					900.00
D.	Deduction for Partners'					
	Services Disallowed	8	5,000.00	\$	5,000.00	
E.	Interest, Dividends and	•		•	,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	
	Capital Gains and/or	et.				
	Losses Omitted	\$8	24,884.45	\$	2,582.56	\$174,682.04
F.	Interest Expense Reduced			•	_,_,_,_,	
	to Eliminate Payments to		***			
	Member Partner Con-					
	sidered to be a Distri-			-tf:		
	bution of Profits	\$	25,927.06	\$	39,585.00	\$ 40,137.17

- 5. Applicant did not contest Items A through D. On
 December 26, 1962, applicant filed an "Application for Revision
 or Refund of Unincorporated Business Taxes" on Form IT-113. On
 April 23, 1965, applicant was notified that the notices of additional
 assessment were revised in accordance with Shearson-Hemmill & Co.

 v. State Tax Commission (15 N.Y. 2d 608 aff'g. Supreme Ct. A.D.
 3rd Dept., July 15, 1963), in which interest, dividends and
 capital gains and/or losses (all of which relate to Item "E" were
 excludable from the computation of unincorporated business tax
 liability. On July 1, 1965, applicant filed an application
 demanding that a hearing be held as a result of the prior application having been denied in part on April 23, 1965.
- 6. The sole remaining issue pertains to Item "F" interest expenses reduced to eliminate payment to member partners considered to be a distribution of profits. Applicant contended that the interest payments were deductible as interest paid on the debt of the partnership and were an ordinary and necessary business expense for unincorporated business tax purposes.

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

- A. That the income from interest, dividends and capital gains and/or losses derived from the subordinated "capital" accounts of partners in applicant's brokerage firm (used as security for partnership creditors and capital contributions) was not subject to unincorporated business tax (Shearson-Hammill & Co. v. State Tax Commission, 15 N.Y. 2d 608, aff'g Supreme Ct. A.D. 3rd Dept.).
- B. That the interest payments on the borrowed securities or cash constituted payment of interest on partners' capital under Article 12 of the Unincorporated Business Income Tax Regulations (Article 16-A of the Tax Law) and were not deductible by applicant for unincorporated business tax purposes.
- C. That the application of Gregory and Sons is granted to the extent of allowing the interest dividends and capital gains and/or losses previously omitted from the partnership return, but is in all other respects denied.

DATED: Albany, New York

STATE TAX COMMISSION

April 6, 1979

PRESIDENT

COMMISSIONER

COMMISSIONER