In the Matter of the Petition

of

AFFIDAVIT OF MAILING

John Huhn , being duly sworn, deposes and says that

Whe is an employee of the Department of Taxation and Finance, over 18 years of
age, and that on the 6th day of April , 1979, XX served the within

Notice of Determination by (correspondent) mail upon Bonner and Gregory

by enclosing a true copy thereof in a securely sealed postpaid wrapper addressed as follows: Bonner and Gregory
72 Wall Street
New York, New York

and by depositing same enclosed in a postpaid properly addressed wrapper in a (post office or official depository) under the exclusive care and custody of the United States Postal Service within the State of New York.

Sworn to before me this

6th day of April

, 19 79

m

In the Matter of the Petition

of

BONNER and GREGORY

AFFIDAVIT OF MAILING

For a Redetermination of a Deficiency or : a Revision of a Determination or a Refund of Unincorporated Business : Taxes under Article(%) 16-A of the Tax Law for the Year(s) **EXPERIMENT** 1952,: 1953, 1954 and for the Period

January 1, 1955 to April 30, 1955. State of New York County of Albany

John Huhn , being duly sworn, deposes and says that

Whe is an employee of the Department of Taxation and Finance, over 18 years of
age, and that on the 6th day of April , 1979, Whe served the within

Notice of Determination by (correspond to the mail upon Biller & Snyder, CPA's

(representative of) the petitioner in the within proceeding,
by enclosing a true copy thereof in a securely sealed postpaid wrapper addressed
as follows: Biller & Snyder, CPA's
75 Maiden Lane
New York, New York 10038

and by depositing same enclosed in a postpaid properly addressed wrapper in a (post office or official depository) under the exclusive care and custody of the United States Postal Service within the State of New York.

That deponent further says that the said addressee is the (representative of the) petitioner herein and that the address set forth on said wrapper is the last known address of the (representative of the) petitioner.

Sworn to before me this

6th day of April

1979.



JAMES H. TULLY JR., PRESIDENT
MILTON KOERNER
THOMAS H. LYNCH

STATE OF NEW YORK STATE TAX COMMISSION TAX APPEALS BUREAU ALBANY, NEW YORK 12227

ADDRESS YOUR REPLY TO

April 6, 1979

TELEPHONE: (518) 457-1723

Bonner and Gregory 72 Wall Street New York, New York

Gentlemen:

Please take notice of the Default Order of the State Tax Commission enclosed herewith.

Please take further notice that pursuant to Section 386(j) of the Tax Law, any proceeding in court to review an adverse decision must be commenced within 90 days from the date of this notice.

Inquiries concerning the computation of tax due or refund allowed in accordance with this decision or concerning any other matter relative hereto may be addressed to the undersigned. They will be referred to the proper party for reply.

Enc.

SUPERVISING TAX HEARING OFFICER

cc: Petitioner's Representative:

Taxing Bureau's Representative:

STATE OF NEW YORK

STATE TAX COMMISSION

In the Matter of the Application

of

BONNER and GREGORY

DETERMINATION

for Revision of a Determination or for Refund of Unincorporated Business Tax under Article 16-A of the Tax Law for the Years 1952, 1953, 1954 and for the Period January 1, 1955 to April 30, 1955.

:

Applicant, Bonner and Gregory, 72 Wall Street, New York, New York, filed an application for revision of a determination or for refund of unincorporated business tax under Article 16-A of the Tax Law for the years 1952, 1953, 1954 and for the period January 1, 1955 to April 30, 1955 (File No. 01915).

A formal hearing was held before Francis X. Boylan, Hearing Officer, at the offices of the State Tax Commission, 80 Centre Street, New York, New York, on November 12 and 13, 1969. Applicant appeared by Biller and Snyder, CPA's (Aaron Nasper, Martin Wallace and Philip F. Rauch, of counsel). The Income Tax Bureau appeared by Edward H. Best, Esq. (Alexander Weiss, Esq., of counsel).

ISSUES

- I. Whether income from cash and securities in partners' subordinated capital accounts was subject to unincorporated business tax.
- II. Whether interest payments made in connection with borrowed cash or securities constituted interest on partners' capital and, thus, was not deductible by applicant for unincorporated business tax purposes.

FINDINGS OF FACT

- 1. Applicant, Bonner and Gregory, a partnership during each of the taxable years involved, was engaged in business as a securities broker in the State of New York.
- 2. Certain general partners of the firm borrowed cash and securities from persons who were not members or employees of the firm, who had agreed to subordinate their liens to claims of the partnership creditors. The borrowed assets were then brought into the partnership through the partners' individual accounts. The cash and securities were considered capital of the firm under the partnership agreement and under Rule 325 of the New York Stock Exchange, which required an amount of capital sufficient to meet the required minimum Debt to Net Capital Ratio.
- 3. Although applicant paid interest on the borrowed assets directly to the outside lenders as a convenience, such interest was charged to the accounts of the partners.

4. On April 12, 1962, notices of additional assessment were issued to Bonner and Gregory by the Income Tax Bureau. Prior to being revised, the assessments provided as follows:

				Jan. 1, 1955 to
Year	1952	<u>1953</u>	<u>1954</u>	April 30, 1955
Assessment No. Total Additional Tax	FA94119 \$ 934.32	FA94120 \$ 684.74	FA94121 \$ 1,616.85	FA94122 \$ 418.95
DESCRIPTION				
A. Disallowed travel and entertainment	\$ 2,000.00	\$ 2,200.00	\$ 4,000.00	\$ 1,200.00
B. Dividends under- stated	\$ 112.00			
C. Salary disallowed			\$ 2,170.00	\$ 900.00
D. Deduction for partner's service disallowed			\$ 2,000.00	
E. Interest, dividends and capital gains and/or losses omitted	\$21,011.61	\$22,334.81	\$37,830.37	\$ 6,445.70
F. Interest expense reduced to eliminate payment to member partners, considered to be a distribution of profits	\$30,065.40	\$26,330.92	\$29,251.13	\$10,992.28

5. Applicant did not contest Items "A" through "D". On December 26, 1962, applicant filed an Application for Revision or Refund of Unincorporated Business Taxes on Form IT-113. On April 23, 1965, applicant was notified that the notices of additional assessment were revised in accordance with Shearson-Hammill & Co. v. State Tax Commission (15 N.Y. 2d 608 aff'g Supreme Ct. A.D. 3rd Dept., July 15,

- 1963), in which interest, dividends and capital gains and/or losses (all of which relate to Item "E") were excludable from the computation of unincorporated business tax liability. On July 1, 1965, applicant filed an application demanding that a hearing be held as a result of its prior application having been denied in part on April 23, 1965.
- 6. The sole remaining issue pertains to Item "F", interest expenses reduced to eliminate payment to member partners considered a distribution of profits. Applicant contended that the interest payments were deductible as interest paid on the debt of the partnership and were an ordinary and necessary business expense for unincorporated business tax purposes.

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

- A. That the income from interest, dividends and capital gains and/or losses derived from the subordinated "capital" accounts of partners in applicant's brokerage firm (used as security for partnership creditors and capital contributions) was not subject to unincorporated business tax (Shearson-Hammill & Co. v. State Tax Commission, 15 N.Y. 2d 608, aff'g Supreme Ct. A.D. 3rd Dept.).
- B. That the interest payments on the borrowed securities or cash constituted payment of interest on partners' capital under Article 12 of the Unincorporated Business Income Tax Regulations (Article 16-A of the Tax Law) and were not deductible by applicant for unincorporated business tax purposes.

C. That the application of Bonner and Gregory is granted to the extent of allowing the interest dividends and capital gains and/or losses previously omitted from the partnership return, but is in all other respects denied.

DATED: Albany, New York April 6, 1979 STATE TAX COMMISSION

PRESIDENT

COMMISSIONER

COMMISSIONER



το....Berthlyn Davis......

No better address.

BONNER AND GREGORY

May 1, 1979

Michael Alexander

M-75 (5/76)

FORMAL HEARING

TA-26 (4-76) 25M STATE OF NEW YORK

Department of Taxation and Finance TAX APPEALS BUREAU

STATE CAMPUS

ALBANY, N. Y. 12227

Bonner and Gregory 72 Wall Street New York New York



STATE OF NEW YORK STATE TAX COMMISSION TAX APPEALS BUREAU ALBANY, NEW YORK 12227

ADDRESS YOUR REPLY TO

JAMES H. TULLY JR., PRESIDENT
MILTON KOERNER
THOMAS H. LYNCH

April 6, 1979

TELEPHONE: (518) 457-1723

Bonner and Gregory 72 Wall Street New York, New York

Gentlemen:

Please take notice of the Default Order of the State Tax Commission enclosed herewith.

Please take further notice that pursuant to Section(s) 386(j) of the Tax Law, any proceeding in court to review an adverse decision must be commenced within 90 days from the date of this notice.

Inquiries concerning the computation of tax due or refund allowed in accordance with this decision or concerning any other matter relative hereto may be addressed to the undersigned. They will be referred to the proper party for reply.

MICHAEL ALEXANDER

Enc.

SUPERVISING TAX HEARING OFFICER

cc: Petitioner's Representative:

Taxing Bureau's Representative:

STATE OF NEW YORK

STATE TAX COMMISSION

In the Matter of the Application

of

BONNER and GREGORY

DETERMINATION

for Revision of a Determination or for Refund of Unincorporated Business Tax under Article 16-A of the Tax Law for the Years 1952, 1953, 1954 and for the Period January 1, 1983 to April 30, 1955.

Applicant, Bonner and Gregory, 72 Wall Street, New York, New York, filed an application for revision of a determination or for refund of unincorporated business tax under Article 16-A. of the Tax Law for the years 1952, 1953, 1954 and for the period January 1, 1955 to April 30, 1955 (File No. 01915).

A formal hearing was held before Francis X. Boylan, Hearing Officer, at the offices of the State Tax Commission, 80 Centre Street, New York, New York, on November 12 and 13, 1969. Applicant appeared by Biller and Snyder, CPA's (Aaron Nasper, Martin Wallace and Philip F. Rauch, of counsel). The Income Tax Sureau appeared by Edward H. Best, Esq. (Alexander Weiss, Esq., of counsel).

ISSUES

- I. Whether income from cash and securities in partners' subordinated capital accounts was subject to unincorporated business tax.
- II. Whether interest payments made in connection with borrowed cash or securities constituted interest on partners' capital and, thus, was not deductible by applicant for unincorporated business tax purposes.

FINDINGS OF FACT

- 1. Applicant, Bonner and Gregory, a partnership during each of the taxable years involved, was engaged in business as a securities broker in the State of New York.
- 2. Certain general partners of the firm borrowed cash and securities from persons who were not members or employees of the firm, who had agreed to subordinate their liens to claims of the partnership creditors. The borrowed assets were then brought into the partnership through the partners' individual accounts. The cash and securities were considered capital of the firm under the partnership agreement and under Rule 325 of the New York Stock Exchange, which required an amount of capital sufficient to meet the required minimum Debt to Net Capital Ratio.
- 3. Although applicant paid interest on the borrowed assets directly to the outside lenders as a convenience, such interest was charged to the accounts of the partners.

4. On April 12, 1962, notices of additional assessment were issued to Bonner and Gregory by the Income Tax Bureau. Prior to being revised, the assessments provided as follows:

				Jan. 1, 1955 to
Year	1952	<u>1953</u>	<u>1954</u>	April 30, 1955
Assessment No. Total Additional Tax	FA94119 \$ 934.32	FA94120 \$ 684.74	FA94121 \$ 1,616.85	FA94122 \$ 418.95
DESCRIPTION				
A. Disallowed travel and entertainment	\$ 2,000.00	\$ 2,200.00	\$ 4,000.00	\$ 1,200.00
B. Dividends under- stated	\$ 112.00	*** *** *** *** *** ***	ap alo ap us do an al	
C. Salary disallowed	this can the two tests can the Color	gings calls made that date of the self-	\$ 2,170.00	\$ 900.00
D. Deduction for partner's service disallowed	tips made made pand dept made differ	also was also and also dots	\$ 2,000.00	40 42 40 40 40 40 AV
E. Interest, dividends and capital gains and/or losses omitted	\$21,011.61	\$22,334.81	\$37,830.37	\$ 6,445.70
F. Interest expense reduced to eliminate payment to member partners, considered to be a distribution of profits	\$30,065.40	\$26,330.92	\$29,251.13	\$10,992.28

5. Applicant did not contest Items "A" through "D". On December 26, 1962, applicant filed an "Application for Revision or Refund of Unincorporated Business Taxes" on Form IT-113. On April 23, 1965, applicant was notified that the notices of additional assessment were revised in accordance with Shearson-Hammill & Co. v. State Tax
Commission (15 N.Y. 2d 608 aff'g Supreme Ct. A.D. 3rd Dept., July 15,

- 1963), in which interest, dividends and capital gains and/or losses (all of which relate to Item "E") were excludable from the computation of unincorporated business tax liability. On July 1, 1965, applicant filed an application demanding that a hearing be held as a result of its prior application having been denied in part on April 23, 1965.
- 6. The sole remaining issue pertains to Item "F", interest expenses reduced to eliminate payment to member partners considered a distribution of profits. Applicant contended that the interest payments were deductible as interest paid on the debt of the partnership and were an ordinary and necessary business expense for unincorporated business tax purposes.

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

- A. That the income from interest, dividends and capital gains and/or losses derived from the subordinated "capital" accounts of partners in applicant's brokerage firm (used as security for partnership creditors and capital contributions) was not subject to unincorporated business tax (Shearson-Hammill & Co. v. State Tax Commission, 15 N.Y. 2d 608, aff'g Supreme Ct. A.D. 3‡d Dept.).
- B. That the interest payments on the borrowed securities or cash constituted payment of interest on partners' capital under Article 12 of the Unincorporated Business Income Tax Regulations (Article 16-A of the Tax Law) and were not deductible by applicant for unincorporated business tax purposes.

C. That the application of Bonner and Gregory is granted to the extent of allowing the interest dividends and capital gains and/or losses previously omitted from the partnership return, but is in all other respects denied.

DATED: Albany, New York April 6, 1979

STATE TAX COMMISSION

PRESTDENT

COMMISSIONER

COMMISSIONER