In the Matter of the Petition

of

ERNEST GORDON

AFFIDAVIT OF MAILING

State of New York County of Albany

John Huhn , being duly sworn, deposes and says that the is an employee of the Department of Taxation and Finance, over 18 years of age, and that on the 31st day of March , 1978, the served the within Notice of Decision by (certified) mail upon Ernest Gordon

by enclosing a true copy thereof in a securely sealed postpaid wrapper addressed as follows:

Mr. Ernest Gordon

11 East 86th Street, Apt. 2-B

New York, New York 10028

and by depositing same enclosed in a postpaid properly addressed wrapper in a (post office or official depository) under the exclusive care and custody of the United States Postal Service within the State of New York.

That deponent further says that the said addressee is the (TENTESENEADINE NEXTOR) petitioner herein and that the address set forth on said wrapper is the last known address of the (TENTESENEARINE PRINTER) petitioner.

John Hiehn

Sworn to before me this

31st day of March . 1978.

Starlen



JAMES H. TULLY JR., PRESIDENT MILTON KOERNER THOMAS H. LYNCH STATE OF NEW YORK STATE TAX COMMISSION TAX APPEALS BUREAU ALBANY, NEW YORK 12227

March 31, 1978

Mr. Ernest Gordon 11 East 86th Street, Apt. 2-B New York, New York 10028

Dear Mr. Gordon:

Please take notice of the **DECISION** of the State Tax Commission enclosed herewith.

You have now exhausted your right of review at the administrative level. Pursuant to section (3) 722 of the Tax Law, any proceeding in court to review an adverse decision by the State Tax Commission can only be instituted under Article 78 of the Civil Practice Laws and Rules, and must be commenced in the Supreme Court of the State of New York, Albany County, within 4 months from the date of this notice.

Inquiries concerning the computation of tax due or refund allowed in accordance with this decision may be addressed to the Deputy Commissioner and Counsel to the New York State Department of Taxation and Finance, Albany, New York 12227. Said inquiries will be referred to the proper authority for reply.

Sincerely,

Joseph Chyrywaty Hearing Examiner

RECEIVED RECEIVED FOR THE PROPERTY OF THE PROP

Taxing Bureau's Representative

cc:

STATE OF NEW YORK

STATE TAX COMMISSION

In the Matter of the Petition

of

ERNEST GORDON

DECISION

for Redetermination of a Deficiency or: for Refund of Unincorporated Business Tax under Article 23 of the Tax Law: for the Years 1967, 1968, 1969 and 1971.

Petitioner, Ernest Gordon, 11 East 86th Street, Apt. 2-B, New York, New York 10028, filed a petition for redetermination of a deficiency or for refund of unincorporated business tax under Article 23 of the Tax Law for the years 1967, 1968, 1969 and 1971 (File No. 00240).

A small claims hearing was held before Joseph A. Milack, Hearing Officer, at the offices of the State Tax Commission, Two World Trade Center, New York, New York, on November 16, 1976 at 2:45 P.M. The petitioner appeared pro se. The Income Tax Bureau appeared by Peter Crotty, Esq. (William Fox, Esq., of counsel).

## ISSUE

Whether the income received by petitioner as a salesman of ladies' apparel during the years 1967, 1968, 1969 and 1971 was subject to unincorporated business tax.

## FINDINGS OF FACT

1. Petitioner, Ernest Gordon, and his wife filed joint New York State income tax resident returns for the years 1967, 1968 and 1969 and a New York State combined income tax return for 1971. Petitioner did not file New York State unincorporated business tax returns for said years.

- 2. During the years 1967, 1968, 1969 and 1971, petitioner was a sales representative representing three principals. He sold ladies' apparel for each of said principals. Two of the principals offered non-competitive lines of apparel.
- 3. On June 28, 1971, the Income Tax Bureau issued a Notice of Deficiency against petitioner in the sum of \$5,187.13 for the years 1967, 1968 and 1969.

  On July 29, 1974, it issued a Notice against him for \$1,691.61 for the year 1971.

  The notices were issued on the grounds that the income derived from petitioner's activities during the years at issue was subject to unincorporated business tax.
- 4. During said years, petitioner was compensated by his principals on a commission basis. The principals did not withhold Federal or New York State income taxes or social security tax from the commissions paid to him, nor did they reimburse him for expenses incurred by him in connection with his sales activities. He deducted such expenses on Schedule "C" of his Federal income tax return for each of the years at issue.
  - 5. Petitioner participated in a "Keogh" retirement plan during said year.
- 6. The principals for whom petitioner sold did not exercise any substantial supervision or control over his sales techniques or over the time he devoted to sales. His principals assigned his sales territory and approved or denied his customers' credit.

## CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

A. That the income received by petitioner from the principals he represented during the years 1967, 1968, 1969 and 1971 constituted income from his regular business of selling ladies' apparel.

- B. That the aforesaid activities of petitioner during the years 1967, 1968, 1969 and 1971 constituted the carrying on of an unincorporated business within the meaning and intent of section 703(a) of the Tax Law; thus, his income derived therefrom was subject to unincorporated business tax in accordance with the meaning and intent of section 701 of the Tax Law.
- C. That the petition of Ernest Gordon is denied and the notices of deficiency issued on June 28, 1971 and July 29, 1974 are sustained, together with such interest as may be lawfully owing.

DATED: Albany, New York March 31, 1978

STATE TAX COMMISSION

11

COMMICCIONED