In the Matter of the Petition

of

AFFIDAVIT OF MAILING

RUBINSTEIN BROTHERS

State of New York County of Albany

Bruce Batchelor , being duly sworn, deposes and says that

The is an employee of the Department of Taxation and Finance, over 18 years of
age, and that on the 4th day of March , 1977, whe served the within

Notice of Decision by (certified) mail upon Rubinstein Brothers

*(xepresextative xof) the petitioner in the within proceeding,

by enclosing a true copy thereof in a securely sealed postpaid wrapper addressed
Rubinstein Brothers

as follows:

c/o Braetan Juniors, Inc.

512 Seventh Avenue

New York, New York 10018

and by depositing same enclosed in a postpaid properly addressed wrapper in a (post office or official depository) under the exclusive care and custody of the United States Postal Service within the State of New York.

That deponent further says that the said addressee is the (representative petitioner herein and that the address set forth on said wrapper is the last known address of the (representative petitioner.

Sworn to before me this

4th day of March , 1977

and mack

, 1977. Bruz Batchela

In the Matter of the Petition

 αf

AFFIDAVIT OF MAILING

RUBINSTEIN BROTHERS

State of New York County of Albany

Bruce Batchelor , being duly sworn, deposes and says that the is an employee of the Department of Taxation and Finance, over 18 years of age, and that on the 4th day of March , 1977, the served the within Notice of Decision by (certified) mail upon Arthur Kain

(representative of) the petitioner in the within proceeding, by enclosing a true copy thereof in a securely sealed postpaid wrapper addressed

as follows:

Arthur Kain, CPA 570 Seventh Avenue New York, New York 10018

and by depositing same enclosed in a postpaid properly addressed wrapper in a (post office or official depository) under the exclusive care and custody of the United States Postal Service within the State of New York.

That deponent further says that the said addressee is the (representative of the) petitioner herein and that the address set forth on said wrapper is the last known address of the (representative of the) petitioner.

Sworn to before me this

anot mack

4th day of March

, 1977.

Brunchesteller



STATE OF NEW YORK DEPARTMENT OF TAXATION AND FINANCE

TAX APPEALS BUREAU

STATE CAMPUS ALBANY, N.Y. 12227 ADDRESS YOUR REPLY TO

March 4, 1977

TELEPHONE: (518) 457-1723

Г Rubinstein Brothers c/o Braetan Juniors, Inc. 512 Seventh Avenue New York, New York 10018

Gentlemen:

Please take notice of the DECISION of the State Tax Commission enclosed herewith.

Please take further notice that pursuant to 722 of the Tax Law, any proceeding in court to review an adverse decision must be commenced within 4 months from the date of this notice.

Inquiries concerning the computation of tax due or refund allowed in accordance with this decision or concerning any other matter relative hereto may be addressed to the undersigned. They will be referred to the proper party for reply.

Very truly yours,

Frank J. Puccia

Enc.

Supervisor of Small

and the second

cc: Petitioner's Representative:

Taxing Bureau's Representative:

STATE OF NEW YORK

STATE TAX COMMISSION

In the Matter of the Petition

of

RUBINSTEIN BROTHERS

DECISION

for Redetermination of a Deficiency or for Refund of Unincorporated Business Tax under Article 23 of the Tax Law for the Fiscal Year Ending January 31, 1968.

Petitioner, Rubinstein Brothers, c/o Braetan Juniors, Inc., 512 Seventh Avenue, New York, New York 10018, filed a petition for redetermination of a deficiency or for refund of unincorporated business tax under Article 23 of the Tax Law for the fiscal year ending January 31, 1968. (File No. P/S 3871). A small claims hearing was held June 11, 1976 at 10:45 A.M. before Harry Huebsch, Hearing Officer, at the offices of the State Tax Commission, Two World Trade Center, New York, New York. Isadore Rubinstein, a partner in Rubinstein Brothers, appeared for petitioner with petitioner's representative, Arthur Kain, C.P.A. The Income Tax Bureau appeared by Peter J. Crotty, Esq., (Irwin A. Levy, Esq., of counsel).

ISSUE

Whether or not a bona fide partnership existed in which partners were in fact conducting a business and are therefore subject to unincorporated business tax.

FINDINGS OF FACT

- 1. For the fiscal year ending January 31, 1968, Rubinstein Brothers filed a partnership return showing no unincorporated business tax due. On audit, the Income Tax Bureau held that the reported income was subject to New York State unincorporated business tax and issued a Notice of Deficiency dated January 18, 1971 in the amount of \$1,518.07 tax due.
- In 1948, the two brothers were both traveling salesmen working on commission for the same employer in the garment industry. They cooperated with each other to obtain maximum sales for themselves. When one brother was on the road, the other brother would handle the other's customers at the home showrooms. In order to equalize their earnings, they consulted an accountant who advised them to form a partnership so that they could show and draw earnings that were equal, although different than the commissions each received. In 1954 the brothers, Isadore and Irving, together with a Mr. Murray Pearl, bought their employer's flounderingbusiness and formed a corporation which is now called Braetan Juniors Inc. Each had one-third ownership and Isadore Rubinstein was named president, Irving Rubinstein was vicepresident and Murray Pearl was secretary treasurer. The corporation reported all income and deductions on its tax return. The Rubinstein brothers continued their partnership device, from which they derived no tax advantage, between themselves, on advice of their accountant. The mode of compensation was that the three

officers drew equal compensation. Mr. Pearl drew his full amount from the corporation. The Rubinstein Brothers drew a smaller amount from the corporation and the difference from the partnership.

- 3. Braetan Juniors Inc. was the source of all income to the Rubinstein brothers. They had no other employer.
- 4. Rubinstein Brothers never had an office or employees.

 Their is no such telephone listing, no business cards, no letter-heads and it was never named to the trade or public as an entity.

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

- A. That Rubinstein Brothers was a personal device of Isadore and Irving Rubinstein and was not a business under the meaning and intent of section 703(a) of the Tax Law.
- B. That they were employees of Braeton Juniors Inc. as per section 703(b) of the Tax Law.
- C. That the petition of Rubinstein Brothers is granted and the Notice of Deficiency is cancelled.

DATED: Albany, New York March 4, 1977 STATE TAX COMMISSION

RESIDENT

COMMISSIONER

Showed fu-L
COMMISSIONER