STATE OF NEW YORK STATE TAX COMMISSION

In	the	Matter	of	the	Petition	
			of			
SIDNEY GLICK						

AFFIDAVIT OF MAILING

For a Redetermination of a Deficiency or : a Revision of a Determination or a Refund of Unincorporated Business : Taxes under Article(x) 23 of the Tax Law for the Year(x) 25 : 1965, 1966 and 1967

State of New York County of Albany

Marsina Donnini , being duly sworn, deposes and says that she is an employee of the Department of Taxation and Finance, over 18 years of age, and that on the 22 day of August , 19 77, she served the within Notice of Decision by (certified) mail upon Sidney Glick

:

by enclosing a true copy thereof in a securely sealed postpaid wrapper addressed as follows: Sidney Glick 67-38 108th Street Forest Hills, New York 11375

and by depositing same enclosed in a postpaid properly addressed wrapper in a (post office or official depository) under the exclusive care and custody of the United States Postal Service within the State of New York.

Sworn	to before me this	The states in
22	day of August	, 1977. Arcena Dunin
	anet mar	k
(/	

TA-3 (2/76)

In	the	Matter	of	the	Petition	
			of			
			01			
		SIDNE	Y GI	LICK		

State of New York County of Albany

Marsina Donnini , being duly sworn, deposes and says that she is an employee of the Department of Taxation and Finance, over 18 years of age, and that on the 22 day of August , 1977 , she served the within Notice of Decision by (certified) mail upon Rene Zerah, CPA

AFFIDAVIT OF MAILING

by enclosing a true copy thereof in a securely sealed postpaid wrapper addressed as follows: Ms. Rene Zerah, CPA 299 Broadway New York, New York

(representative of) the petitioner in the within proceeding,

and by depositing same enclosed in a postpaid properly addressed wrapper in a (post office or official depository) under the exclusive care and custody of the United States Postal Service within the State of New York.

That deponent further says that the said addressee is the (representative of the) petitioner herein and that the address set forth on said wrapper is the last known address of the (representative of the) petitioner.

Sworn	to before me this		A	\sum	•
22	day of August	, 1977.	Marser	ad Jon	nini
	anot mich				
	//				

TA-3 (2/76)



JAMES H. TULLY JR., PRESIDENT MILTON KOERNER THOMAS H. LYNCH STATE OF NEW YORK STATE TAX COMMISSION TAX APPEALS BUREAU ALBANY, NEW YORK 12227 August 22, 1977

Sidney Glick 67-38 108th Street Forest Hills, New York 11375

Dear Mr. Glick:

Please take notice of the **Decision** of the State Tax Commission enclosed herewith.

You have now exhausted your right of review at the administrative level. Pursuant to section(**3**) **722** of the Tax Law, any proceeding in court to review an adverse decision by the State Tax Commission can only be instituted under Article 78 of the Civil Practice Laws and Rules, and must be commenced in the Supreme Court of the State of New York, Albany County, within **4 Nonthe** from the date of this notice.

Inquiries concerning the computation of tax due or refund allowed in accordance with this decision may be addressed to the Deputy Commissioner and Counsel to the New York State Department of Taxation and Finance, Albany, New York 12227. Said inquiries will be referred to the proper authority for reply.

Sincerely Hearing Ex

cc: Petitioner's Representative

Taxing Bureau's Representative

TA-1.12 (6/77)

STATE OF NEW YORK

STATE TAX COMMISSION

	:	
In the Matter of the Petition		
	:	
of		
	:	
SIDNEY GLICK		DECISION
	:	
for Redetermination of a Deficiency or		
for Refund of Unincorporated Business	:	
Taxes under Article 23 of the Tax Law for	r	
the Years 1965, 1966 and 1967.	:	

Petitioner, Sidney Glick, residing at 67-38 108th Street, Forest Hills, New York 11375, has filed a petition for redetermination of a deficiency or for refund of unincorporated business taxes under Article 23 of the Tax Law for the years 1965, 1966 and 1967. (File No. 01112)

A small claims hearing was held before William Valcarcel, Small Claims Hearing Officer, on November 16, 1976 at 3:00 p.m. at the offices of the State Tax Commission, Two World Trade Center, New York, New York. The petitioner appeared by Rene Zerah, CPA. The Income Tax Bureau appeared by Peter Crotty, Esq. (A. Schwartz, Esq. of counsel).

ISSUE

Whether the petitioner's income from his activities as a salesman is subject to the unincorporated business tax.

FINDINGS OF FACT AND CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

1. The petitioner, Sidney Glick, filed New York State income tax resident returns for the years 1965, 1966 and 1967, wherein he reported both salary and business income. An unincorporated business tax return was filed for the year 1965, wherein he reported his net business income, but did not include his salary income.

2. Upon audit, the Income Tax Bureau issued a deficiency holding his salary and business income both subject to the unincorporated business tax.

3. Petitioner was employed by Melody Bra and Girdle Co., Inc. as a girdle salesman, during the years 1965, 1966 and 1967. His compensation was subject to payroll taxes, and payments were made by his employer for state and Federal unemployment insurance, workmen's compensation, and to the Melody Retirement Trust.

4. Petitioner also sold for approximately seven other firms during the years 1965, 1966 and 1967. The merchandise he sold for these firms included competitive and noncompetitive items such as bras, girdles, sleepwear and women's underwear. Petitioner conceded that this income is subject to the unincorporated business tax.

-2-

5. Although Melody Bra and Girdle Co., Inc. directed the petitioner as to when and where to solicit business, they did not restrict his selling activities as to the amount of time and effort used, or as to the type of merchandise sold.

6. Petitioner would usually sell two or more lines of merchandise to each client without a clear division of time and effort.

7. Petitioner did not file unincorporated business tax returns for the years 1966 and 1967 upon the advice of his accountant.

8. That although Melody Bra and Girdle Co., Inc. treated the petitioner as their employee, sufficient direction and control were not exercised by them to create an employer-employee relationship within the meaning and intent of former regulation section 20 NYCRR 281.3.

9. That the income received by petitioner, Sidney Glick, from the various firms he represented, including Melody Bra and Girdle Co., Inc., during the years 1965, 1966 and 1967 constituted income from his regular business of selling, subject to the unincorporated business tax in accordance with the meaning and intent of section 703 of the Tax Law.

- 3 -

10. That the petition of Sidney Glick is granted to the extent that the penalty imposed in accordance with section 685(a) of the Tax Law for the years 1966 and 1967 is cancelled for reasonable cause.

11. That the Income Tax Bureau is hereby directed to accordingly modify the Notice of Deficiency issued August 30, 1971; and, that except as so granted, the petition of Sidney Glick is in all other respects denied.

DATED: Albany, New York August 22, 1977

STATE TAX COMMISSION PRESIDENT

COMMIT

COMMISSIONER