STATE OF NEW YORK STATE TAX COMMISSION

In the Matter of the Petition

of

SAMUEL CRANE

For a Redetermination of a Deficiency or a Revision of a Determination or a Refund of Unincorporated Business Taxes under Article(x) 23 of the Tax Law for the Year(s) XHX Reputed (x) 1967. 1968. 1969. 1970 & 1971.

State of New York County of Albany

Bruce Batchelor , being duly sworn, deposes and says that she is an employee of the Department of Taxation and Finance, over 18 years of age, and that on the 4th day of March , 1977, she served the within Notice of Decision by (certified) mail upon Samuel Crane

EXEPTENDENT SECONDENT the petitioner in the within proceeding, by enclosing a true copy thereof in a securely sealed postpaid wrapper addressed

as follows: Mr. Samuel Crane 10 Continental Road Scarsdale, New York 10583

and by depositing same enclosed in a postpaid properly addressed wrapper in a (post office or official depository) under the exclusive care and custody of the United States Postal Service within the State of New York.

That deponent further says that the said addressee is the (**THEFFERENCE) REXINE**) petitioner herein and that the address set forth on said wrapper is the last known address of the (**THEFFERENCE)** restrictioner.

Sworn to before me this

4th day of March

anet muck

TA-3 (2/76)

, 1977 Bruce Batchelon

AFFIDAVIT OF MAILING

STATE OF NEW YORK STATE TAX COMMISSION

In the Matter of the Petition

of

SAMUEL CRANE

For a Redetermination of a Deficiency or : a Revision of a Determination or a Refund of Unincorporated Business : Taxes under Article(x) 23 of the Tax Law for the Year(s)XMXXRXMOD(x) : 1967, 1968, 1969, 1970 & 1971.

State of New York County of Albany

Bruce Batchelor , being duly sworn, deposes and says that she is an employee of the Department of Taxation and Finance, over 18 years of age, and that on the 4th day of March , 19 77, she served the within Notice of Decision by (certified) mail upon Abraham A. Katz

(representative of) the petitioner in the within proceeding, by enclosing a true copy thereof in a securely sealed postpaid wrapper addressed

as follows:

Abraham A. Katz, Esq. 2 Mayflower Road Scarsdale, New York 10583

and by depositing same enclosed in a postpaid properly addressed wrapper in a (post office or official depository) under the exclusive care and custody of the United States Postal Service within the State of New York.

That deponent further says that the said addressee is the (representative of the) petitioner herein and that the address set forth on said wrapper is the last known address of the (representative of the) petitioner.

, 1977

Sworn to before me this

4th day of March

and mark

TA-3 (2/76)

Bruce Batchelon

AFFIDAVIT OF MAILING



STATE OF NEW YORK DEPARTMENT OF TAXATION AND FINANCE

STATE TAX COMMISSION

TAX APPEALS BUREAU STATE CAMPUS ALBANY, N.Y. 12227

March 4, 1977

ADDRESS YOUR REPLY TO

TELEPHONE: (518) 457-1723

Г

Mr. Samuel Crane 10 Continental Road Scarsdale, New York 10583

Dear Mr. Crane:

Please take notice of the DECISICE of the State Tax Commission enclosed herewith.

Please take further notice that pursuant to 722 of the Tax Law, any Section(#) proceeding in court to review an adverse decision must be commenced within & months from the date of this notice.

Inquiries concerning the computation of tax due or refund allowed in accordance with this decision or concerning any other matter relative hereto may be addressed to the undersigned. They will be referred to the proper party for reply.

> Very truly yours, ank

Enc.

Frank J. Puccia Supervisor of Small Claims Hearings Petitioner's Representative:

cc:

Taxing Bureau's Representative:

STATE OF NEW YORK

STATE TAX COMMISSION

In the Matter of the Petition

of

SAMUEL CRANE

DECISION

:

:

:

for Redetermination of a Deficiency or for Refund of Unincorporated Business Taxes under Article 23 of the Tax Law for the Years 1967, 1968, 1969, 1970 and 1971.

Petitioner, Samuel Crane, residing at 10 Continental Road, Scarsdale, New York 10583, has filed a petition for redetermination of a deficiency or for refund of unincorporated business tax under Article 23 of the Tax Law for the years 1967, 1968, 1969, 1970 and 1971 (File No. 7-78661578).

A small claims hearing was held before Joseph Marcus, Hearing Officer, on September 17, 1976 at 2:45 P.M. at the offices of the State Tax Commission, Two World Trade Center, New York, New York. The petitioner appeared <u>pro se</u> and by Abraham A. Katz, Esq. The Income Tax Bureau appeared by Peter Crotty, Esq., (Abraham Schwartz, Esq. of counsel).

ISSUE

Whether income received by petitioner, Samuel Crane, from his selling activities during the years 1967, 1968, 1969, 1970 and 1971 is subject to the unincorporated business tax.

FINDINGS OF FACT

Petitioner, Samuel Crane, filed New York State
combined income tax returns for the years 1967, 1968, 1969,
1970, and 1971. He did not file New York State unincorporated
business tax returns for the years in question.

2. On June 30, 1975, the Income Tax Bureau issued statements of audit changes against petitioner imposing unincorporated business tax upon the income received by him from his activities as a salesman during the years 1967, 1968, 1969, 1970 and 1971. In accordance with the aforementioned statements of audit changes, it issued Notices of Deficiency covering said years.

3. During the years under review petitioner was a dress salesman. He represented several unaffiliated firms as a salesman. The items sold by him for each firm were non-competitive. He was not a stockholder, director or officer of, nor did he have a financial interest in any of the firms he represented.

4. During the years 1967, 1968 and 1969, only one of the firms for whom petitioner sold dresses withheld Federal and New York State income taxes and social security taxes from the commissions paid to him. He was not reimbursed for any of his business expenses. He deducted these expenses from his Federal income tax returns. The firms for whom he sold dresses did not

- 2 -

exercise any control or supervision over his sales activities or techniques or to the time he devoted to sales, except to limit the territory in which he could sell.

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

A. That the income received by petitioner, Samuel Crane, from the firms he represented during the years 1967, 1968, 1969, 1970 and 1971, constituted income from his regular business of selling dresses and not compensation as an employee exempt from the imposition of unincorporated business tax in accordance with the meaning and intent of section 703(b) of the Tax Law.

B. That the aforesaid activities of petitioner, Samuel Crane, constituted the carrying on of an unincorporated business and his income derived therefrom was subject to unincorporated business tax in accordance with the meaning and intent of section 703 of the Tax Law.

C. That the petition of Samuel Crane is denied and the Notices of Deficiency are sustained.

DATED: Albany, New York March 4, 1977

STATE TAX COMMISSION