In the Matter of the Petition

οf

AFFIDAVIT OF MAILING

HOWARD GOLDBERG

For a Redetermination of a Deficiency or a Revision of a Determination or a Refund of Unincorporated Business Taxes under Article (**) 23 of the Tax Law for the Year(s) xxx Persing (s) 1968 and 1969.

State of New York County of Albany

Catherine Steele

, being duly sworn, deposes and says that she is an employee of the Department of Taxation and Finance, over 18 years of age, and that on the 28thday of September , 1976 , she served the within by (certified) mail upon Howard Goldberg

(YEXESTENTIAL MEXICON THE PETITIONER IN THE WITHIN PROCEEDING,

by enclosing a true copy thereof in a securely sealed postpaid wrapper addressed

as follows:

Notice of Decision

Mr. Howard Goldberg

Lake Massasecum

Bradford, New Hampshire 03221

and by depositing same enclosed in a postpaid properly addressed wrapper in a (post office or official depository) under the exclusive care and custody of the United States Postal Service within the State of New York.

That deponent further says that the said addressee is the (xxpresentative >>> petitioner herein and that the address set forth on said wrapper is the last known address of the (XEXPESSHEADINEXOCXXXX) petitioner.

Sworn to before me this

28th day of September

aret much

, 1976



STATE OF NEW YORK DEPARTMENT OF TAXATION AND FINANCE

TAX APPEALS BUREAU

STATE CAMPUS ALBANY, N.Y. 12227 ADDRESS YOUR REPLY TO

· 通报 8 大学 2 大学 2 大学 3 大学 4 大学 2 大学

September 28, 1976

TELEPHONE: (518)457-3850

Mr. Howard Goldberg Lake Massasecum Bradford, New Hampshire 03221

Dear Mr. Goldberg:

Please take notice of the DECISION of the State Tax Commission enclosed herewith.

Please take further notice that pursuant to Section(**) 722 of the Tax Law, any proceeding in court to review an adverse decision must be commenced within from the date of this notice.

Inquiries concerning the computation of tax due or refund allowed in accordance with this decision or concerning any other matter relative hereto may be addressed to the undersigned. They will be referred to the proper party for reply.

Very truly yours,

Frank J. Puccia

Supervisor of Small

Claims Hearings

: endskadneoerseskeeksentaldskaleikskans

Taxing Bureau's Representative:

Enc.

STATE OF NEW YORK

STATE TAX COMMISSION

In the Matter of the Petition

of

HOWARD GOLDBERG

DECISION

for a Redetermination of a Deficiency or for Refund of Unincorporated Business Taxes under Article 23 of the Tax Law for the Years 1968 and 1969.

Petitioner, Howard Goldberg, Lake Massasecum, Bradford,
New Hampshire 03221, filed a petition for redetermination
of a deficiency or for refund of unincorporated business
taxes under Article 23 of the Tax Law for 1968 and 1969.
(File No. 9-34528463). A small claims hearing was held
before William Valcarcel, Small Claims Hearing Officer, at
the offices of the State Tax Commission, Two World Trade
Center, New York, New York on May 27, 1976. Petitioner
appeared pro se. The Income Tax Bureau appeared by Peter Crotty,
Esq. (Irwin Levy, Esq., of counsel).

ISSUE

Whether the income from the petitioner's activities as a manufacturer's representative is subject to the unincorporated business tax?

FINDINGS OF FACT

Petitioner did not file an unincorporated business tax return for 1968 and 1969. Upon audit, the Income Tax Bureau issued a deficiency holding the income from his activities as

manufacturer's representative subject to the unincorporated business tax.

Petitioner is a manufacturer's representative, representing several manufacturing concerns and other sales representatives, strictly on a commission basis, with no withholding of payroll taxes and no reimbursement of business expenses. He was not covered by an employee pension plan or by other employee related programs, but rather, he contributed to his own pension plan and took advantage of the self-employed retirement deduction on his personal income tax return.

Petitioner asserted that his territory was restricted and limited. Although he periodically reported to various concerns and activities, petitioner was not required to divide his time and effort. They did not exercise any supervision or control over his sales activities or to the time and effort that he devoted to such sales.

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

That the income received by petitioner, Howard Goldberg, from the firms he represented during the years 1968 and 1969 constituted income from his regular business of selling and not

compensation as an employee exempt from the imposition of unincorporated business tax in accordance with the meaning and intent of Section 703(b) of the Tax Law.

Petition of Howard Goldberg is denied and the Notice of Deficiency issued January 31, 1972 is sustained.

DATED: Albany, New York September 28, 1976 STATE TAX COMMISSION

PRESIDENT

COMMISSIONER

COMMISSIONED