STATE OF NEW YORK STATE TAX COMMISSION

In the Matter of the Petition	
	:
of	
EAGAN & GRIFFIN	:
c/o Joseph C. Eagan	
For a Redetermination of a Deficiency or	:
a Revision of a Determination or a Refund	
of Unincorporated Business	:
Taxes under Article(sc) 23 of the	
Tax Law for the <u>Kearkskyper</u> Period(s)	:
January 1, 1967 to January 15, 1967.	-

State of New York County of Albany

Jean Wager , being duly sworn, deposes and says that she is an employee of the Department of Taxation and Finance, over 18 years of age, and that on the 4th day of August , 19 76, she served the within Notice of Decision by (certified) mail upon Eagan & Griffin c/o Joseph C.Eagan(xxxxxxxxxxxxxx) the petitioner in the within proceeding, by enclosing a true copy thereof in a securely sealed postpaid wrapper addressed as follows: Eagan & Griffin c/o Joseph C. Eagan 2 Thomas Road

Westport, Connecticut and by depositing same enclosed in a postpaid properly addressed wrapper in a (post office or official depository) under the exclusive care and custody of the United States Postal Service within the State of New York.

That deponent further says that the said addressee is the (representative execution petitioner herein and that the address set forth on said wrapper is the last known address of the (representative refractor) petitioner.

Sworn to before me this

4th day of August

TA-3 (2/76)

AFFIDAVIT OF MAILING

STATE OF NEW YORK STATE TAX COMMISSION

In the Matter of the Petition	•
	:
of	
EAGAN & GRIFFIN	:
c/o Joseph C. Eagan	
For a Redetermination of a Deficiency or	:
a Revision of a Determination or a Refund	
of Unincorporated Business	:
Taxes under Article(s) 23 of the	
Tax Law for the Yazarta Kor Period(s)	:
January 1, 1967 to January 15, 1967.	

State of New York County of Albany

Jean Wager , being duly sworn, deposes and says that she is an employee of the Department of Taxation and Finance, over 18 years of age, and that on the 4th day of August , 19 76, she served the within Notice of Decision by (certified) mail upon Nathan B. Bernstein, Esq. (representative of) the petitioner in the within proceeding, by enclosing a true copy thereof in a securely sealed postpaid wrapper addressed as follows: Nathan B. Bernstein Esq.

as follows: Nathan B. Bernstein, Esq. 258 Broadway New York, New York

and by depositing same enclosed in a postpaid properly addressed wrapper in a (post office or official depository) under the exclusive care and custody of the United States Postal Service within the State of New York.

That deponent further says that the said addressee is the (representative of the) petitioner herein and that the address set forth on said wrapper is the last known address of the (representative of the) petitioner.

Sworn to before me this , ¹⁹ 76. 4th day of anet mack

TA-3 (2/76)

AFFIDAVIT OF MAILING



STATE OF NEW YORK DEPARTMENT OF TAXATION AND FINANCE

STATE TAX COMMISSION

TAX APPEALS BUREAU STATE CAMPUS ALBANY, N.Y. 12227

ADDRESS YOUR REPLY TO

August 4, 1976

TELEPHONE: (518) 457-3850

Γ

Eagan & Griffin c/o Joseph C. Eagan 2 Thomas Road Westport, Connecticut

Gentlemen;

Please take notice of the Notice of Decision of the State Tax Commission enclosed herewith.

Please take further notice that pursuant to Section() 722 of the Tax Law, any proceeding in court to review an adverse decision must be commenced within from the date of this notice.

Inquiries concerning the computation of tax due or refund allowed in accordance with this decision or concerning any other matter relative hereto may be addressed to the undersigned. They will be referred to the proper party for reply.

yours. ohann pervising Tax Hearing Officer

Enc.

cc: Petitioner's Representative:

Taxing Bureau's Representative:

TA-1.12 (1/76)

STATE OF NEW YORK

STATE TAX COMMISSION

In the Matter of the Petition

of

Joseph C. Eagen and Edward Griffin Individually and as Co-Partners d/b/u the Firm Name and Style of

EAGEN & GRIFFIN

DECISION

for Redetermination of Deficiency or for Refund of Unincorporated Business Tax under Article 23 of the Tax Law for the Periods January 1, 1967 to January 15, 1967.

Petitioners, Joseph C. Eagen and Edward Griffin, individually and as co-partners d/b/u the firm name and style of Eagen & Griffin, filed a petition under sections 722 and 689 of the Tax Law for redetermination of a deficiency dated June 15, 1970, in the amount of \$1,115.30, plus interest of \$228.64 and a penalty under section 685(a) of the Tax Law of \$278.82, for a total of \$1,622.76 in unincorporated business taxes. This liability is asserted under Article 23 of the Tax Law for the period January 1, 1967 to January 15, 1967.

A hearing was duly held on September 12, 1972, at 10:30 A.M., at the offices of the State Tax Commission, 80 Centre Street, New York, New York, before Nigel G. Wright, Hearing Officer. The petitioner appeared by Nathan B. Bernstein, Esq. The Income Tax Bureau appeared by Saul Heckelman, Esq. appearing by Solomon Sies, Esq. of counsel.

The record of said hearing has been duly examined and considered.

ISSUE

Whether the gain on the sale of a membership ("seat") on the American Stock Exchange by its owner, Joseph C. Eagen is taxable in 1967 to the petitioner, a partnership, when the partnership had financed the purchase of the seat under an "ABC" agreement, and when the partnership had dissolved about four months before the sale is the issue in this case.

FINDINGS OF FACT

ć

1. Eagen & Griffin of 74 Trinity Place, New York, New York was formed by an agreement dated December 1, 1962, as a successor to Frank C. Masterson & Co., to engage in the securities business as a specialist account on the American Stock Exchange. Mr. Joseph C. Eagen and Mr. W. Edward Griffin were equal partners, therein. They ceased doing business on September 9, 1966, after formally notifying the Exchange that they would do so, and they formally dissolved under an agreement dated October 13, 1966.

2. On November 14, 1963, Mr. Eagen had purchased a membership ("seat") on the American Stock Exchange. This seat was contributed to the firm of Eagen & Griffin. After the dissolution of that firm in October, 1966 the seat was not used for any purpose, either by the firm or by Mr. Eagen. The seat was sold on January 15, 1967 for \$90,000.00, the proceeds being retained by Mr. Eagen.

3. The partnership agreement provided

"Each of the parties contributes to the partnership the use of his membership in the American Stock Exchange and hereby agrees that, insofar as it is necessary for the protection of the creditors of the partnership and subject to the Constitution and Rules of said Exchange, the proceeds of the sale of his membership shall be an asset of the partnership... Each of the parties further agrees that upon the dissolution of the partnership...he... will comply with the terms of one of the following options. (a) retain his membership and pay to the partnership the amount necessary to purchase another membership... or (b) sell his membership and pay the proceeds thereof over to the partnership..."

This provision is patterned after what is generally known as an "ABC" agreement though without the third option usually provided therein, namely, to transfer the seat for a nominal consideration to a nominee of the firm. The agreement further provided that any gain or loss in the value of Griffin's seat would be borne entirely by Eagen and any gain or loss in the value of Eagen's seat would be borne by the firm.

4. Eagen & Griffin was dissolved pursuant to an agreement dated October 13, 1966. Each partner kept his own seat. On the books, each seat had been valued at \$90,000.00 and was considered to be a distribution of a firm asset to the individual partner. The books also were adjusted to show the accrued gain on the seats; the gain on the Griffin seat being credited entirely to Eagen and the gain on the Eagen seat being credited one half to each partner, this being in accord with the partnership agreement. The original cost of each seat had been apparently already reflected in the capital account of each partner and it is so found.

5. The rules of the New York Stock Exchange provide that the proceeds of the sale of a seat must be available for the creditors of the partnership of which the member has been a partner, and must be so available for a reasonable time after such member retires as a general partner of the firm. (Rules 301, 302, 314). It has been stipulated that the rules of the American Stock Exchange are the same with respect to the ownership and sale of memberships.

- 3 -

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

A. That the petitioner is not subject to tax in 1967 on the sale by Mr. Eagen of the Stock Exchange membership because the firm had dissolved and the seat was no longer used in the business of the firm and, therefore, the deficiency is erroneous in its entirety and is cancelled.

DATED: Albany, New York August 4, 1976 STATE TAX COMMISSION

PRESIDENI