In the Matter of the Petition

of

KAREN WEINTRAUB

AFFIDAVIT OF MAILING OF NOTICE OF DECISION BY (CERTIFIED) MAIL

For a Redetermination of a Deficiency or a Refund of Unincorporated Business:

Taxes under Article(a) 23 of the

Tax Law for the Year(s) 1967, 1968, 1969.

State of New York County of Albany

JANET MACK

, being duly sworn, deposes and says that

10024

and mark

she is an employee of the Department of Taxation and Finance, over 18 years of age, and that on the 20th day of March , 1975, she served the within Notice of Decision (SYNDECENTRICES) by (certified) mail upon Karen Weintraub

(**REPTREENTATIVELET**) the petitioner in the within proceeding, by enclosing a true copy thereof in a securely sealed postpaid wrapper addressed as follows: Mrs. Karen Weintraub

7 West 81st Street

and by depositing same enclosed in a postpaid properly addressed wrapper in a (post office or official depository) under the exclusive care and custody of the United States Post Office Department within the State of New York.

New York, New York

Sworn to before me this

20th day of March

, 1975.

Fatherine H. Many

In the Matter of the Petition

of

KAREN WEINTRAUB

AFFIDAVIT OF MAILING OF NOTICE OF DECISION BY (CERTIFIED) MAIL

For a Redetermination of a Deficiency or a Refund of Unincorporated Business:

Taxes under Article(x) 23 of the

Tax Law for the Year(s) 1967, 1968, 1969.

State of New York County of Albany

JANET MACK

, being duly sworn, deposes and says that

Janet mack

(representative of) the petitioner in the within proceeding, by enclosing a true copy thereof in a securely sealed postpaid wrapper addressed as follows: Alan Prigal, Esq.

36 West 44th Street

New York, New York 10036

and by depositing same enclosed in a postpaid properly addressed wrapper in a (post office or official depository) under the exclusive care and custody of the United States Post Office Department within the State of New York.

That deponent further says that the said addressee is the (representative of) petitioner herein and that the address set forth on said wrapper is the last known address of the (representative of the) petitioner.

Sworn to before me this

20th day of March

1975

Katherine H. Manly

AD-1.30 (1/74)



STATE OF NEW YORK DEPARTMENT OF TAXATION AND FINANCE

STATE TAX COMMISSION

EDWARD ROOK SECRETARY TO COMMISSION

ADDRESS YOUR REPLY TO

MR. WRIGHT 457-2655 MR. LEISNER 457-2657 MR. COBURN 457-2896

BUILDING 9, ROOM 214-A COMMISSION STATE CAMPUS ALBANY, N.Y. 12227

A. BRUCE MANLEY
MILTON KOERNER

AREA CODE 518

DATED: Albany, New York
March 20, 1975

Mrs. Karen Weintraub 7 West 81st Street New York, New York 10024

Dear Mrs. Weintraub:

Please take notice of the **DECISION** of the State Tax Commission enclosed herewith.

Please take further notice that pursuant to Section(§) 722 of the Tax Law, any proceeding in court to review an adverse decision must be commenced within 4 months from the date of this notice.

Any inquiries concerning the computation of tax due or refund allowed in accordance with this decision or concerning any other matter relative hereto may be addressed to the undersigned. These will be referred to the proper party for reply.

Very truly yours,

Migel G. Wright

HEARING OFFICER

c: Petitioner's Representative

Law Bureau

AD-1.12 (8/73)

Enc.

STATE OF NEW YORK

STATE TAX COMMISSION

In the Matter of the Petition

of

KAREN WEINTRAUB

DECISION

for a Redetermination of a Deficiency or for refund of Unincorporated Business Tax under Article 23 of the Tax Law for the Years 1967, 1968 and 1969.

Karen Weintraub, 7 West 81st Street, New York, New York
10024, filed a petition under sections 689 and 722 of the Tax
Law for the redetermination of a deficiency in unincorporated
business tax under Article 23 of the Tax Law for the years
1967, 1968 and 1969.

Said deficiency was asserted by notice issued September 25, 1972, under file number 9-38758822. It included a deficiency for personal income tax which is not here in issue. The deficiency for unincorporated business tax, here in issue, amounts to \$5,872.50 plus interest.

A hearing was duly held on January 15, 1975, at the offices of the State Tax Commission, Two World Trade Center, New York, New York, before Nigel G. Wright, Hearing Officer. It is a mail-order house selling direct to institutions or to other distributors. It has no salesmen. Prior to the years here in question, it produced no filmstrips of its own.

Its payroll includes about 20 people. These people worked primarily in packing, shipping, receiving, packing billing and clerical work.

The business was started about 1957 by Mr. Weintraub, apparently while he was still in college and unmarried. Since marriage, Mrs. Weintraub has worked to some extent in designing catalogues and promotional material. About 86% of its stock is owned by Mr. Robert Weintraub and 14% by Mrs. Karen Weintraub. Mrs. Weintraub does not hold an office.

3. Beginning in the years here in question, Educational Record Labs, Inc. began to get into the business of producing its own filmstrips. Mr. Weintraub had filmstrips on hand from other producers which he thought were good in concept but which showed each frame too long a time to keep the interest of children and which had titles for each frame which, while suitable to the frame, did not relate the frame to other frames or to the story as a whole. Mrs. Weintraub found that she could correct these deficiencies with the aid of freelance writers and artists whom she knew living in her own neighborhood of the West Side of New York City.

- 4. For a typical filmstrip, Mr. Robert Weintraub selects the title and gives some general direction and comment as to what is desired. Mrs. Weintraub determines the prospective costs and discusses them with Mr. Weintraub who has final authority on the budget. Typical costs were \$1,500 a filmstrip. Mrs. Weintraub selects and hires on behalf of Educational the script writer, artist, film studio and other personnel necessary to make the strip. These persons were paid on a piecework basis and directly by corporate check. In a typical year, about fifteen such freelancers might be so retained. It would be on the initiative of Mrs. Weintraub that any of them would be dismissed. The final product was reviewed by both Mr. and Mrs. Weintraub. Mr. Weintraub had final authority to accept or reject the strip.
- 5. Mrs. Weintraub was paid \$1,000 for each filmstrip completed. This is comparable with the fees of other people who produce similar work. She produced 135 strips during the years here in question. She had no set hours but would work as long as necessary on each strip. She would be working on up to fifteen strips at any one time. She worked at her home but with a small amount of equipment furnished by the company. Mrs. Weintraub has never been involved in the preparation of filmstrips for anyone except Educational. She does not hold herself out for hire by anyone else.

- 6. Mr. Weintraub was responsible for choosing the subject of a filmstrip, setting its budget and approving the final product.
- 7. The amounts paid to Mrs. Weintraub and to the freelancers were not subject to withholding for income or social security taxes. After the years in question, withholding for taxes and social security has been commenced with respect to Mrs. Weintraub though apparently not for the other freelancers.

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

Educational Film Strips Inc. did not retain the legal right to direct and control the details of the manner and means by which Mrs. Weintraub was to perform her duties. She was an independent contractor and is subject to unincorporated business tax.

DATED: Albany, New York

March 20, 1975

STATE TAX COMMISSION

PRESIDENT

COMMISSIONER Commissioner

COMMISSIONER