In the Matter of the Petition

of

ROBERT MICHAELS

AFFIDAVIT OF MAILING OF NOTICE OF DECISION BY (CERTIFIED) MAIL

For a Redetermination of a Deficiency or a Refund of Unincorporated Business: Taxes under Article(x) 23 of the Tax Law for the Year(x) 1968 :

State of New York County of Albany

(TEMPESENEAUXINEXOS) the petitioner in the within

proceeding, by enclosing a true copy thereof in a securely sealed postpaid

wrapper addressed as follows: Mr. Robert Michaels

Kings Point

Apartment Waterford B-44

and by depositing same enclosed in a postpaid properly addressed wrapper in a (post office or official depository) under the exclusive care and custody of the United States Post Office Department within the State of New York.

Sworn to before me this

15th day of July //

genet mach



A. BRUCE MANLEY

MILTON KOERNER

PRESIDENT

STATE OF NEW YORK DEPARTMENT OF TAXATION AND FINANCE

STATE TAX COMMISSION HEARING UNIT

> EDWARD ROOK SECRETARY TO COMMISSION

ADDRESS YOUR REPLY TO

MR. WRIGHT 457-2655 MR. LEISNER 457-2657 MR. COBURN 457-2896

BUILDING 9, ROOM 214-A STATE CAMPUS ALBANY, N.Y. 12227

AREA CODE 518

DATED: Albany, New York
July 15, 1975

Mr. Robert Michaels Kings Point Apartment Waterford B-44 Delray Beach, Florida 33444

Dear Mr. Michaels:

Please take notice of the **DECISION** of the State Tax Commission enclosed herewith.

Please take further notice that pursuant to Section (3) 722 of the Tax Law, any proceeding in court to review an adverse decision must be commenced within 4 months from the date of this notice.

Any inquiries concerning the computation of tax due or refund allowed in accordance with this decision or concerning any other matter relative hereto may be addressed to the undersigned. These will be referred to the proper party for reply.

Very Truly your

Enc.

HEARING OFFICER

cc:

Designation of the Property of the Party of

Law Bureau

STATE OF NEW YORK

STATE TAX COMMISSION

In the Matter of the Petition

of

ROBERT MICHAELS

DECISION

for Redetermination of Deficiency or for Refund of Unincorporated Business Tax under Article 23 of the Tax Law for the Year 1968.

Petitioner, Robert Michaels, residing at Kings Point, Apt.

Waterford B44, Delray Beach, Florida 33444, has filed a petition
for redetermination of deficiency or for refund of unincorporated
business tax under Article 23 of the Tax Law for the year 1968.

(File No. 8-18707651). A formal hearing was held before Paul B.

Coburn, Hearing Officer, at the offices of the State Tax Commission,
Two World Trade Center, New York, New York, on December 20, 1974,
at 9:00 A.M. Petitioner appeared pro se. The Income Tax Bureau
appeared by Saul Heckelman, Esq., (Solomon Sies, Esq. of counsel).

ISSUES

- I. Did petitioner, Robert Michaels' selling activities during the year 1968 constitute the carrying on of an unincorporated business?
- II. If petitioner, Robert Michaels, was carrying on an unincorporated business during the year 1968, what portion of the income derived therefrom should be allocated to New York State?

FINDINGS OF FACT

1. Petitioner, Robert Michaels, and his wife filed a New York
State combined income tax return for the year 1968. He did not

file a New York State unincorporated business tax return for said year.

- 2. On August 30, 1971, the Income Tax Bureau issued a Statement of Audit Changes against petitioner, Robert Michaels, imposing unincorporated business tax in the sum of \$316.51 upon the income received by him from his activities as a salesman during the year 1968. It also imposed additional personal income tax for said year in the sum of \$131.18 in accordance with a Federal audit, which additional assessment is not being contested by him. In accordance with the aforesaid Statement of Audit Changes, it issued a Notice of Deficiency against him in the sum of \$511.47.
- 3. Petitioner, Robert Michaels, was an electrical products salesman during the year 1968. He represented two unaffiliated firms in the sale of said merchandise. The products sold for each firm were noncompetitive. He sold the products of the two firms to the same customers. He did not have any employees.
- 4. During the year 1968, the firms for whom petitioner,
 Robert Michaels, sold electrical products did not withhold Federal
 and New York State income taxes and social security tax from the
 commissions paid to him, with the exception of \$500.00 on which
 one of the firms withheld said taxes. The said sum represented
 reimbursement for maintaining an answering service and mail drop
 in New York. He was not reimbursed by any of the firms for any
 other business expenses. He deducted said expenses on Schedule "C"
 of his Federal income tax return. He maintained a self-employed
 retirement deduction plan. One of the firms covered him for
 unemployment and disability insurance. He reported his commission

income as "business income" on his New York State income tax return. The firms for whom he sold merchandise did not exercise any substantial control or supervision over his sales activities and techniques or over the time that he devoted to sales, except to limit the territory in which he could sell.

5. Petitioner, Robert Michaels, did not have an office or regular place of business outside of New York State during the year 1968. Approximately fifty percent of his sales were made to customers located outside of New York State.

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

- A. That the income received by petitioner, Robert Michaels, from the firms he represented during the year 1968 constituted income from his regular business of selling electrical products and not compensation as an employee exempt from the imposition of unincorporated business tax in accordance with the meaning and intent of section 703(b) of the Tax Law.
- B. That the aforesaid activities of petitioner, Robert Michaels, during the year 1968 constituted the carrying on of an unincorporated business and his income derived therefrom was subject to unincorporated business tax in accordance with the meaning and intent of section 703 of the Tax Law.
- C. That since petitioner, Robert Michaels, did not have a regular place of business outside of the State of New York during the year 1968, all of his business income, including the income derived from out-of-state sales during the period, was properly

allocated to New York State in accordance with the meaning and intent of section 707(a) of the Tax Law.

D. That the petition of Robert Michaels is denied and the Notice of Deficiency issued August 30, 1971, is sustained.

DATED: Albany, New York

July 15, 1975

STATE TAX COMMISSION

PRESTDENT

COMMISSIONER COMMISSIONER

COMMISSIONER