In the Matter of the Petition

of

### RICHARD MISHAAN

AFFIDAVIT OF MAILING OF NOTICE OF DECISION BY (CERTIFIED) MAIL

For a Redetermination of a Deficiency or a Refund of Unincorporated Business: Taxes under Article(%) 23 of the Tax Law for the Year(s) 1965, 1966 &: 1967.

State of New York County of Albany

Janet Mack , being duly sworn, deposes and says that she is an employee of the Department of Taxation and Finance, over 18 years of age, and that on the 30th day of January , 19<sup>75</sup>, she served the within Notice of Decision (or Determination) by (certified) mail upon Richard Mishaan

(PERSONNAL REPORTS) the petitioner in the within proceeding, by enclosing a true copy thereof in a securely sealed postpaid wrapper addressed as follows: Mr. Richard Mishaan
1912 E. 7th Street
Brooklyn, New York

and by depositing same enclosed in a postpaid properly addressed wrapper in a (post office or official depository) under the exclusive care and custody of the United States Post Office Department within the State of New York.

That deponent further says that the said addressee is the (REPERSONISEINE XXI) petitioner herein and that the address set forth on said wrapper is the last known address of the (REPERSONISEINE) petitioner.

Janet mach

Sworn to before me this

30th day of January

, 1975

Lathereni D. Tranly

In the Matter of the Petition

of

#### RICHARD MISHAAN

AFFIDAVIT OF MAILING OF NOTICE OF DECISION BY (CERTIFIED) MAIL

For a Redetermination of a Deficiency or a Refund of Unincorporated Business: Taxes under Article(\$) 23 of the Tax Law for the Year(s) 1965, 1966 &: 1967.

State of New York County of Albany

(representative of) the petitioner in the within proceeding, by enclosing a true copy thereof in a securely sealed postpaid wrapper addressed as follows: Edwin Shor, C.P.A.

26 Court Street
Brooklyn, New York 11242

and by depositing same enclosed in a postpaid properly addressed wrapper in a (post office or official depository) under the exclusive care and custody of the United States Post Office Department within the State of New York.

That deponent further says that the said addressee is the (representative of) petitioner herein and that the address set forth on said wrapper is the last known address of the (representative of the) petitioner.

and mach

Sworn to before me this

30th day of January

1975

Fatherne D. Manly



# STATE OF NEW YORK DEPARTMENT OF TAXATION AND FINANCE

STATE TAX COMMISSION HEARING UNIT

> EDWARD ROOK SECRETARY TO COMMISSION

STATE TAX COMMISSION

MARIO A. PROCACCINO, PRESIDENT
A. BRUCE MANLEY
MILTON KOERNER

BUILDING 9, ROOM 214-A STATE CAMPUS ALBANY, N.Y. 12227

AREA CODE 518

DATED: Albany, New York

ADDRESS YOUR REPLY TO

MR. WRIGHT 457-2655 MR. LEISNER 457-2657 MR. COBURN 457-2896

Mr. Richard Mishaan 1912 E. 7th Street Brooklyn, New York

Dear Mr. Mishaan:

Please take notice of the **DECISION** of the State Tax Commission enclosed herewith.

Please take further notice that pursuant to Section(\*) 722 of the Tax Law, any proceeding in court to review an adverse decision must be commenced within from the date of this notice.

Any inquiries concerning the computation of tax due or refund allowed in accordance with this decision or concerning any other matter relative hereto may be addressed to the undersigned. These will be referred to the proper party for reply.

- V/. / // /

Paul B. Coburn HEARING OFFICER

Enc.

cc: Petitioner's Representative Law Bureau

AD-1.12 (8/73)

#### STATE OF NEW YORK

### STATE TAX COMMISSION

In the Matter of the Petition

of

RICHARD MISHAAN : DECISION

for Redetermination of Deficiency or for Refund of Unincorporated Business
Tax under Article 23 of the Tax Law for the Years 1965, 1966 and 1967.

Petitioner, Richard Mishaan, has filed a petition for redetermination of deficiency or for refund of unincorporated business tax under Article 23 of the Tax Law for the years 1965, 1966 and 1967. (File No. 74314970). A formal hearing was held before Paul B. Coburn, Hearing Officer, at the offices of the State Tax Commission, 2 World Trade Center, New York, New York, on November 22, 1974, at 9:25 A.M. Petitioner appeared by Edwin Shor, C.P.A. The Income Tax Bureau appeared by Saul Heckelman, Esq., (James A. Scott, Esq., of counsel).

## ISSUE

Did petitioner, Richard Mishaan's selling activities during the years 1965, 1966 and 1967, constitute the carrying on of an unincorporated business?

## FINDINGS OF FACT

1. Petitioner, Richard Mishaan, and his wife filed New York State income tax resident returns for the years 1965, 1966 and 1967. He did not file New York State unincorporated business tax returns for said years.

- 2. On September 29, 1969, the Income Tax Bureau issued a Statement of Audit Changes against petitioner, Richard Mishaan, imposing unincorporated business tax in the total sum of \$1,623.93 upon the income received by him from his activities as a salesman during the years 1965, 1966 and 1967. In accordance with the aforesaid Statement of Audit Changes, it issued a Notice of Deficiency in the sum of \$1,848.15.
- 3. During the years 1965, 1966 and 1967, petitioner Richard Mishaan, was a ladies' accessories salesman. He sold ladies' handkerchiefs and scarves for Berkshire Handkerchief Company, Inc. and ladies' gloves, scarves and blouses for Regent International Corporation.
- 4. Petitioner, Richard Mishaan, owned one-third of the stock of Regent International Corporation. One-third of the stock was owned by Jack Shweky and one-third of the stock was owned by Berkshire Handkerchief Company, Inc. He did not derive any income from Regent International Corporation during the years 1965, 1966 and 1967.
- 5. During the years 1965, 1966 and 1967, Berkshire Handker-chief Company, Inc. paid petitioner, Richard Mishaan, commissions based on a percentage of the total sales made by him and Jack Shweky during said years. He, in turn, paid over to Jack Shweky amounts equal to the commissions due on sales actually made by Jack Shweky.

Berkshire Handkerchief Company, Inc. did not withhold Federal or New York State income taxes or social security tax from said commissions. It did not cover him for workmen's compensation insurance. It did not reimburse him for expenses incurred in connection with his sales activities. He deducted said expenses on Schedule "C" of his Federal income tax returns. Berkshire Handkerchief Company did not exercise any substantial control or supervision over his sales activities or techniques or over the time he devoted to sales, except to limit the territory in which he could sell.

# CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

- A. That the income received by petitioner, Richard Mishaan, from Berkshire Handkerchief Company, Inc. during the years 1965, 1966 and 1967, constituted income from his regular business of selling ladies' handkerchiefs and scarves and not compensation as an employee exempt from the imposition of the unincorporated business tax in accordance with the meaning and intent of section 703 (b) of the Tax Law.
- B. That the aforesaid activities of petitioner, Richard Mishaan, during the years 1965, 1966 and 1967, constituted the carrying on of an unincorporated business and his income derived therefrom was subject to the unincorporated business tax in accordance with the meaning and intent of section 703 of the Tax Law.

C. That the petition of Richard Mishaan is denied and the Notice of Deficiency issued September 29, 1969, is sustained.

DATED: Albany, New York

January 30, 1975

STATE TAX COMMISSION

PRESTDENT

COMMISSIONER