In the Matter of the Petition

of

ARTHUR LURIA

AFFIDAVIT OF MAILING OF NOTICE OF DECISION BY (CERTIFIED) MAIL

For a Redetermination of a Deficiency or a Refund of Unincorporated Business: Taxes under Article(%) 23 of the Tax Law for the Year(s)1964 through: 1970.

State of New York County of Albany

JANET MACK

, being duly sworn, deposes and says that

(representative xof) the petitioner in the within

ant mack

proceeding, by enclosing a true copy thereof in a securely sealed postpaid wrapper addressed as follows: Mr. Arthur Luria

102-10 66th Road Forest Hills, New York 11375

and by depositing same enclosed in a postpaid properly addressed wrapper in a (post office or official depository) under the exclusive care and custody of the United States Post Office Department within the State of New York.

That deponent further says that the said addressee is the (representative **) petitioner herein and that the address set forth on said wrapper is the last known address of the (representative of the) petitioner.

Sworn to before me this

16th day of July

1975.

In the Matter of the Petition

of

ARTHUR LURIA

AFFIDAVIT OF MAILING OF NOTICE OF DECISION BY (CERTIFIED) MAIL

For a Redetermination of a Deficiency or a Refund of Unincorporated Business: Taxes under Article(s) 23 of the Tax Law for the Year(s)1964 through: 1970.

State of New York County of Albany

JANET MACK

, being duly sworn, deposes and says that

she is an employee of the Department of Taxation and Finance, over 18 years of age, and that on the 16th day of July , 1975, she served the within

Notice of Decision (xxx Determination) by (certified) mail upon Julius A. Baylis, CPA

(representative of) the petitioner in the within

proceeding, by enclosing a true copy thereof in a securely sealed postpaid

wrapper addressed as follows: Julius A. Baylis, CPA

1457 Broadway

New York, New York 10036

and by depositing same enclosed in a postpaid properly addressed wrapper in a (post office or official depository) under the exclusive care and custody of the United States Post Office Department within the State of New York.

That deponent further says that the said addressee is the (representative of) petitioner herein and that the address set forth on said wrapper is the last known address of the (representative of the) petitioner.

Sworn to before me this

16th day of July

. 19 75



A. BRUCE MANLEY

MILTON KOERNER

STATE OF NEW YORK DEPARTMENT OF TAXATION AND FINANCE

STATE TAX COMMISSION HEARING UNIT

EDWARD ROOK
SECRETARY TO
COMMISSION

ADDRESS YOUR REPLY TO

MR. WRIGHT 457-2655 MR. LEISNER 457-2657 MR. COBURN 457-2896

BUILDING 9, ROOM 214-A STATE CAMPUS ALBANY, N.Y. 12227

AREA CODE 518

DATED: Albany, New York
July 16, 1975

Mr. Arthur Luria 102-10 66th Road Forest Hills, New York 11375

Dear Mr. Luria:

Please take notice of the **DECISION** of the State Tax Commission enclosed herewith.

Please take further notice that pursuant to Section (x) 722 of the Tax Law, any proceeding in court to review an adverse decision must be commenced within 4 months from the date of this notice.

Any inquiries concerning the computation of tax due or refund allowed in accordance with this decision or concerning any other matter relative hereto may be addressed to the undersigned. These will be referred to the proper party for reply.

Very truly yours,

Paul B. Coburn HEARING OFFICER

Enc.

cc: Petitioner's Representative
Law Bureau

AD-1.12 (8/73)

STATE OF NEW YORK

STATE TAX COMMISSION

In the Matter of the Petition

of

ARTHUR LURIA :

DECISION

for Redetermination of Deficiency or for Refund of Unincorporated Business Tax under Article 23 of the Tax Law for the Years 1964 through 1970.

:

Petitioner, Arthur Luria, residing at 102-10 66th Road, Forest Hills, New York 11375, has filed a petition for redetermination of deficiency or for refund of unincorporated business tax under Article 23 of the Tax Law for the years 1964 through 1970. (File Nos. 6-66049311 and 0-64328354). A formal hearing was held before Paul B. Coburn, Hearing Officer, at the offices of the State Tax Commission, Two World Trade Center, New York, New York, on February 24, 1975, at 1:30 P.M. Petitioner appeared by Julius A. Baylis, C.P.A. The Income Tax Bureau appeared by Saul Heckelman, Esq., (James A. Scott, Esq. of counsel).

ISSUE

Did petitioner, Arthur Luria's selling activities during the years 1964 through 1970 constitute the carrying on of an unincorporated business?

FINDINGS OF FACT

1. Petitioner, Arthur Luria, and his wife filed New York State income tax resident returns for the years 1964 through 1970. He

did not file New York State unincorporated business tax returns for said years.

- 2. On December 18, 1972, the Income Tax Bureau issued statements of audit changes against petitioner, Arthur Luria, imposing unincorporated business tax upon the income received by him from his activities as a gift ware and notions salesman during the years 1964 through 1970 in the total sum of \$5,389.45. In accordance with the aforesaid statements of audit changes, it issued notices of deficiency against him in the total sum of \$6,713.74.
- 3. Petitioner, Arthur Luria, was a gift ware and notions salesman during the years 1964 through 1970. He represented two to three unaffiliated firms in the sale of said items. The merchandise sold for each firm were noncompetitive. He did not have any employees. He used one room in his home as an office.
- 4. During the years 1964 through 1970, the firms for whom petitioner, Arthur Luria, sold merchandise did not withhold Federal or New York State income taxes or social security tax from the commissions paid to him. They did not cover him for unemployment insurance. One of the firms did cover him for workmen's compensation, automobile liability and hospitalization insurance. He was not reimbursed for any of his business expenses. He deducted expenses incurred in connection with his sales activities on Schedule "C" of his Federal income tax returns. The firms for whom he sold merchandise did not exercise any substantial control or supervision over his sales activities or techniques or over

the time he devoted to sales, except to limit the territory in which he could sell.

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

- A. That the income received by petitioner, Arthur Luria, from the firms that he represented during the years 1964 through 1970 constituted income from his regular business of selling gift ware and notions and not compensation as an employee exempt from the imposition of the unincorporated business tax in accordance with the meaning and intent of section 703(b) of the Tax Law.
- B. That the aforesaid activities of petitioner, Arthur Luria, during the years 1964 through 1970 constituted the carrying on of an unincorporated business and his income derived therefrom was subject to the unincorporated business tax in accordance with the meaning and intent of section 703 of the Tax Law.
- C. That the petition of Arthur Luria is denied and the notices of deficiency issued December 18, 1972, are sustained.

DATED: Albany, New York

July 16, 1975

STATE TAX COMMISSION

COMMISSIONER