STATE OF NEW YORK STATE TAX COMMISSION

In the Matter of the Petition

of

LOUIS ROSENBERG, (deceased) BY ESTA ROSENBERG, Administratrix For a Redetermination of a Deficiency or a Refund of Unincorporated Business: Taxes under Article(s) 16-A of the Tax Law for the (Year(s) 1959. :

AFFIDAVIT OF MAILING OF NOTICE OF DECISION BY (CERTIFIED) MAIL

State of New York County of Albany

Martha Funaro , being duly sworn, deposes and says that she is an employee of the Department of Taxation and Finance, over 18 years of age, and that on the14th day of January , 19 74, she served the within Notice of Decision (or Determination) by (certified) mail upon Sam Boodman, C.P.A., Schoolman & Company (representative of) the petitioner in the within proceeding, by enclosing a true copy thereof in a securely sealed postpaid wrapper addressed as follows: Sam Boodman, C.P.A. Schoolman & Company 10 East 40th Street

New York, New York 10016 and by depositing same enclosed in a postpaid properly addressed wrapper in a (post office or official depository) under the exclusive care and custody of the United States Post Office Department within the State of New York.

That deponent further says that the said addressee is the (representative of) petitioner herein and that the address set forth on said wrapper is the last known address of the (representative of the) petitioner.

Sworn to before me this

14th day of

tartha Tunano

STATE OF NEW YORK STATE TAX COMMISSION

In the Matter of the Petition

of

LOUIS ROSENBERG, (deceased) By ESTA ROSENBERG, Administratrix For a Redetermination of a Deficiency or a Refund of Unincorporated Business: Taxes under Article(s) 16-A of the Tax Law for the (Year(s) 1959. :

AFFIDAVIT OF MAILING OF NOTICE OF DECISION BY (CERTIFIED) MAIL

State of New York County of Albany

Martha Funaro , being duly sworn, deposes and says that she is an employee of the Department of Taxation and Finance, over 18 years of age, and that on the 14th day of January , 19 74, she served the within Notice of Decision (or Determination) by (certified) mail upon Louis Rosenberg Estate Esta Rosenberg, ^{Administratrix} representative of) the petitioner in the within proceeding, by enclosing a true copy thereof in a securely sealed postpaid wrapper addressed as follows: Louis Rosenberg, Administratrix 233 East 3rd Street & 299 B'way

:

New York, New York

and by depositing same enclosed in a postpaid properly addressed wrapper in a (post office or official depository) under the exclusive care and custody of the United States Post Office Department within the State of New York.

That deponent further says that the said addressee is the (representative of) petitioner herein and that the address set forth on said wrapper is the last known address of the (representative of the) petitioner.

Sworn to before me this

January , 1974. 14th day of

hartha Suraro



STATE OF NEW YORK

STATE TAX COMMISSION HEARING UNIT

DEPARTMENT OF TAXATION AND FINANCE

STATE TAX COMMISSION Mario A. Procaccino

A. BRUCE MANLEY MILTON KOERNER BUILDING 9, ROOM 214A STATE CAMPUS ALBANY, N. Y. 12226 AREA CODE 518 457-2655, 6, 7

EDWARD ROOK SECRETARY TO COMMISSION

ADDRESS YOUR REPLY TO

DATED: Albany, New York January 14, 1974

Louis Rosenberg Estate Esta Rosenberg, Administratrix 233 East 3rd Street & 299 B'way New York, New York

Dear Mrs. Rosenberg:

Please take notice of the **DETERMINATION** of the State Tax Commission enclosed herewith.

Please take further notice that pursuant to Section(s) **3861** of the Tax Law, any proceeding in court to review an adverse decision must be commenced within **90 days** from the date of this notice.

Any inquiries concerning the computation of tax due or refund allowed in accordance with this decision or concerning any other matter relative hereto may be addressed to the undersigned. These will be referred to the proper party for reply.

Very truly yours,

Myel M Wright

Nigel G. Wright HEARING OFFICER

Enc.

cc: Petitioner's Representative Law Bureau

DATE

STATE OF NEW YORK

STATE TAX COMMISSION

In the Matter of the Application : of : LOUIS ROSENBERG, (deceased) : By ESTA ROSENBERG, Administratrix : for Revision or Refund of Unincorporated : Business Tax under Article 16-A of the : Tax Law for the Year 1959. :

DETERMINATION

Louis Rosenberg, (deceased) by Esta Rosenberg as administratrix, filed an application on July 22, 1966, under Article 16-A of the Tax Law for refund of unincorporated business taxes paid under Article 16-A of the Tax Law in the amount of \$180.53 for the year 1959. Said application was denied and a hearing was demanded.

A hearing was duly held on October 17, 1972, at the offices of the State Tax Commission, 80 Centre Street, New York City, before Nigel G. Wright, Hearing Officer. The applicant was represented by Sam Boodman, CP.A. of Schoolman & Company. The Income Tax Bureau was represented by Saul Heckelman, Esq., appearing by Francis X. Boylan, Esq. The record of said hearing has been duly examined and considered.

ISSUE

The issue in this case is whether the taxpayer's application was timely filed.

FINDINGS OF FACT

1. Taxpayer filed a timely 1959 tax return (form IT201) for both personal income and unincorporated business tax. He listed his business as city marshal and paid \$180.53 for unincorporated business tax.

2. Following an opinion of coursel dated December 5, 1963, the Income Tax Bureau held a city marshal to be an employee and therefore exempt from the unincorporated business tax. Refunds were granted for years beginning in 1963.

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

The application filed in 1966 was very clearly not timely with respect to the years in question under the provisions of the Tax Law.

The special statutory authority under section 373.3 of the Tax Law will not be exercised in the taxpayer's favor. That authority will be applied only where the refund is based upon a mistake which is patently a mistake on the face of the return. Prior to 1963, there had been no ruling with respect to the taxability of city marshals in general and certainly no ruling with respect to this taxpayer. At the time, these returns were filed the issues underlying the refund claim were clearly close questions of fact.

DATED: Albany, New York January 14, 1974 STATE TAX COMMISSION

COMMISSIONER

AD 32 (6-73) 250M

STATE OF NEW YORK Department of Taxation and Finance

STATE CAMPUS ALBANY, N. Y. 12227



Louis Rosenberg Estate Esta Rosenberg, Administratrix 233 East 3rd Street & 299 B'way New York New York ţ

No. N. N.



STATE TAX COMMISSION

STATE OF NEW YORK

STATE TAX COMMISSION HEARING UNIT

DEPARTMENT OF TAXATION AND FINANCE

BUILDING 9, ROOM 214A STATE CAMPUS ALBANY, N. Y. 12226 AREA CODE 518

457-2655, 6, 7

EDWARD ROOK SECRETARY TO COMMISSION

ADDRESS YOUR REPLY TO

Mario A. Procaccino WRMMXXXXXXXXXXXXX A. BRUCE MANLEY MILTON KOERNER

> DATED: Albany, New York January 14, 1974

Louis Rosenberg Estate Esta Rosenberg, Administratrix 233 East 3rd Street & 299 B'way New York, New York

Dear Mrs. Rosenberg:

Please take notice of the DETERMINATION of the State Tax Commission enclosed herewith.

Please take further notice that pursuant to Section(s) 386j of the Tax Law, any proceeding in court to review an adverse decision must be commenced within 90 days from the date of this notice.

Any inquiries concerning the computation of tax due or refund allowed in accordance with this decision or concerning any other matter relative hereto may be addressed to the undersigned. These will be referred to the proper party for reply.

Very truly yours,

nogel HW right

Nigel G. Wright HEARING OFFICER

Enc.

cc: Petitioner's Representative Law Bureau

STATE OF NEW YORK

STATE TAX COMMISSION

In the Matter of the Application

of

LOUIS ROSENBERG, (deceased) By ESTA ROSENBERG, Administratrix

for Revision or Refund of Unincorporated Business Tax under Article 16-A of the Tax Law for the Year 1959.

Louis Rosenberg, (deceased) by Esta Rosenberg as administratrix, filed an application on July 22, 1966, under Article 16-A of the Tax Law for refund of unincorporated business taxes paid under Article 16-A of the Tax Law in the amount of \$180.53 for the year 1959. Said application was denied and a hearing was demanded.

DETERMINATION

A hearing was duly held on October 17, 1972, at the offices of the State Tax Commission, 80 Centre Street, New York City, before Nigel G. Wright, Hearing Officer. The applicant was represented by Sam Boodman, CP.A. of Schoolman & Company. The Income Tax Bureau was represented by Saul Heckelman, Esq., appearing by Francis X. Boylan, Esq. The record of said hearing has been duly examined and considered.

ISSUE

The issue in this case is whether the taxpayer's application was timely filed.

FINDINGS OF FACT

1. Taxpayer filed a timely 1959 tax return (form IT201) for both personal income and unincorporated business tax. He listed his business as city marshal and paid \$180.53 for unincorporated business tax.

en de la constant Constant de la constant

felicity of the industry of a

All the second of the Band of Constant of Second of Seco

(a) data politica contrar, (accessed) as the Romanness, (activitient).
(b) data politica (activity) (activity)

America which is the contractor of the offer of the contractor of

uneradium con a reented edd to its we deel of the or of our dient

Set 12 Sciente

stop in the optimized in a second territorial in the second states of the second s

rest in explant participation and the second and the transformer with an

2. Following an opinion of coursel dated December 5, 1963, the Income Tax Bureau held a city marshal to be an employee and therefore exempt from the unincorporated business tax. Refunds were granted for years beginning in 1963.

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

The application filed in 1966 was very clearly not timely with respect to the years in question under the provisions of the Tax Law.

The special statutory authority under section 373,3 of the Tax Law will not be exercised in the taxpayer's favor. That authority will be applied yonly where the refund is based upon a mistake which is patently a mistake on the face of the return. Prior to 1963, there had been no ruling with respect to the taxability of city marshals in general and certainly no ruling with respect to this taxpayer. At the time, these returns were filed the issues underlying the refund claim were clearly close questions of fact.

2017 M

DATED: Albany, New York January 14, 1974

STATE TAX COMMISSION

COMMISSIONER

land

tin Kvernis

And Court in months in some provide and a stand with

and and the souther a constant of a start with a constant of the start of the souther and the south

n en selandade en altere de la companya de la compa

Another and a contract of the second state of

, sva ly godlas a saidt

Andrea Vience Vi

A sum in a same in