STATE OF NEW YORK STATE TAX COMMISSION

In the Matter of the Petition of CLIFFORD L. MILLER, JR. For a Redetermination of a Deficiency or a Refund of Unincorporated Business: Taxes under Article(s) 23 of the Tax Law for the Year(s) 1968 and 1969.

State of New York County of Albany

Martha Funaro, being duly sworn, deposes and says that she is an employee of the Department of Taxation and Finance, over 18 years of age, and that on the 15thday of May , 1974, she served the within Notice of Decision (or Determination) by (certified) mail upon Clifford L. Miller, Jr. (representative of) the petitioner in the within proceeding, by enclosing a true copy thereof in a securely sealed postpaid wrapper addressed as follows: Mr. Clifford L. Miller, Jr. R.D. #1 Claverack, New York 12513

AFFIDAVIT OF MAILING

OF NOTICE OF DECISION BY (CERTIFIED) MAIL

and by depositing same enclosed in a postpaid properly addressed wrapper in a (post office or official depository) under the exclusive care and custody of the United States Post Office Department within the State of New York.

That deponent further says that the said addressee is the (representative of) petitioner herein and that the address set forth on said wrapper is the last known address of the (representative of the) petitioner.

Sworn to before me this Martha Dura , 1974/ 15th day of May

AD-1.30 (1/74)

STATE OF NEW YORK STATE TAX COMMISSION

In the Matter of the Petition of CLIFFORD L. MILLER, JR. For a Redetermination of a Deficiency or a Refund of Unincorporated Business : Taxes under Article(s) 23 of the Tax Law for the Year(s) 1968 and 1969.:

State of New York County of Albany

Martha Funaro, being duly sworn, deposes and says that she is an employee of the Department of Taxation and Finance, over 18 years of age, and that on the 15th day of May , 1974, she served the within Notice of Decision (or Determination) by (certified) mail upon Carmi Rapport, Esq. (representative of) the petitioner in the within proceeding, by enclosing a true copy thereof in a securely sealed postpaid wrapper addressed as follows: Carmi Rapport, Esq. Rapport, Kennedy & Rapport 434 Union Street

AFFIDAVIT OF MAILING

OF NOTICE OF DECISION BY (CERTIFIED) MAIL

Hudson, New York 12534 and by depositing same enclosed in a postpaid properly addressed wrapper in a (post office or official depository) under the exclusive care and custody of the United States Post Office Department within the State of New York.

That deponent further says that the said addressee is the (representative of) petitioner herein and that the address set forth on said wrapper is the last known address of the (representative of the) petitioner.

Sworn to before me this houth Sunald 15th day of

AD-1.30 (1/74)



STATE OF NEW YORK

DEPARTMENT OF TAXATION AND FINANCE

STATE TAX COMMISSION Mario A. Procaccino

A. BRUCE MANLEY MILTON KOERNER BUILDING 9, ROOM 214A STATE CAMPUS

ALBANY, N.Y. 12227 AREA CODE 518 457-2655, 6, 7 STATE TAX COMMISSION HEARING UNIT

> EDWARD ROOK SECRETARY TO COMMISSION

ADDRESS YOUR REPLY TO

Albany, New York

May 15, 1974

Mr. Clifford L. Miller, Jr. R.D. # 1 Claverack, New York 12513

Dear M. MALLer.

Please take notice of the **DUCIGION** the State Tax Commission enclosed herewith. of

Please take further notice that pursuant to **cettion 722 of** the Tax Law any proceeding in court to review an adverse decision must be commenced within **4 months** after the date of this notice.

Any inquiries concerning the computation of tax due or refund allowed in accordance with this decision or concerning any other matter relating hereto may be addressed to the undersigned. These will be referred to the proper party for reply.

Very truly yours,

L. Robert Leisner HEARING OFFICER

cc Petitioner's Representative Law Bureau

STATE OF NEW YORK

STATE TAX COMMISSION

• .

| In the Matter of the Petition | : |
|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---|
| of | : |
| CLIFFORD L. MILLER, JR. | : |
| for Redetermination of a Deficiency or for Refund of Unincorporated Business | : |
| Taxes under Article 23 of the Tax Law | : |
| for the Years 1968 and 1969. | |
| | : |

DECISION

Petitioner, Clifford L. Miller, Jr., petitioned for a redetermination of deficiencies in unincorporated business taxes under Article 23 of the Tax Law for the years 1968 and 1969.

A formal hearing was held at the offices of the State Tax Commission, Albany, New York, on July 11, 1973, before L. Robert Leisner, Hearing Officer. The taxpayer was represented by Carmi Rapport, Esq., and the Income Tax Bureau was represented by Saul Heckelman, Esq., (Francis X. Boylan, Esq., of Counsel).

ISSUE

Were the taxpayer's activities during the years in issue subject to unincorporated business taxes?

FINDINGS OF FACT

1. Petitioner, Clifford L. Miller, Jr., timely filed New York State income but did not file unincorporated business tax returns for the years 1968 and 1969.

2. A Notice of Determination of deficiencies in unincorporated business taxes for the years 1968 and 1969 was issued on February 22, 1971, against the taxpayer under File No. 9-46586247. 3. The taxpayer petitioned for redetermination of the deficiencies.

• • .

4. During the years in issue the taxpayer was a salesman of lime products for the Lee Lime Corporation, the Kelsey-Ferguson Brick Company, Shaffer-Grimm Building Supply, Milhall Brick Works and the Process Solvent Company.

5. He received commissions on sales and was paid the following month.

6. There was no written contract between the various corporations and the taxpayer.

7. There were no written reports. There was no division of time as to which companies' products he sold.

8. The taxpayer, while asserting workmen's compensation, presented only evidence of some Blue Cross or health insurance. The taxpayer had no paid vacation. There were no set hours of work. He never worked at the offices of the various corporations.

9. The taxpayer handled lime and building product sales from Westchester County to the Canadian border.

10. There was no substantial evidence that any of the companies, whose products the taxpayer sold, exerted any degree of control over him so that he would be deemed an employee.

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

A. The taxpayer was an independent sales agent and his activities during the years in issue were subject to unincorporated business tax. (Price v. STC, 338 NYS 2d 337.)

B. The taxpayer's petition is denied. The deficiencies

- 2 -

in tax are sustained.

· · ·

C. Pursuant to the Tax Law interest shall be added to the total amount due until paid.

DATED: Albany, New York May 15, 1974

STATE TAX COMMISSION

- -

COMMISSIONER

Kunn COMMISSIONER

m Kve

COMMI