## In the Matter of the Petition of HAROLD & SYLVIA MALSKY For a Redetermination of a Deficiency or a Refund of Unincorporated Business : Taxes under Article(s) 23 of the

Tax Law for the (Year(s) 1963, 1964, :

State of New York County of Albany

& 1965.

, being duly sworn, deposes and says that Martha Funaro she is an employee of the Department of Taxation and Finance, over 18 years of age, and that on the 13thday of February , 1974, she served the within Notice of Decision (or Determination) by (certified) mail upon Harold and Sylvia (representative of) the petitioner in the within Malsky proceeding, by enclosing a true copy thereof in a securely sealed postpaid Mr. & Mrs. Harold Malsky wrapper addressed as follows: 123 Shepard Lane Roslyn Heights, L.T., New York

:

and by depositing same enclosed in a postpaid properly addressed wrapper in a (post office or official depository) under the exclusive care and custody of the United States Post Office Department within the State of New York.

That deponent further says that the said addressee is the (representative of) petitioner herein and that the address set forth on said wrapper is the last known address of the (representative of the) petitioner.

Sworn to before me this 13th day of February

# In the Matter of the Petition

of

#### HAROLD & SYLVIA MALSKY

For a Redetermination of a Deficiency or a Refund of Unincorporated Business: Taxes under Article(s) <sup>23</sup> of the Tax Law for the (Year(s) 1963, 1964, : & 1965

State of New York County of Albany

Martha Funaro , being duly sworn, deposes and says that she is an employee of the Department of Taxation and Finance, over 18 years of age, and that on the 13th day of February , 1974, she served the within Notice of Decision (or Determination) by (certified) mail upon Samuel Speyer, C.P.A. (representative of) the petitioner in the within proceeding, by enclosing a true copy thereof in a securely sealed postpaid wrapper addressed as follows: Samuel Speyer, C.P.A.

:

22 East 40th Street New York, New York 10016

and by depositing same enclosed in a postpaid properly addressed wrapper in a (post office or official depository) under the exclusive care and custody of the United States Post Office Department within the State of New York.

That deponent further says that the said addressee is the (representative of) petitioner herein and that the address set forth on said wrapper is the last known address of the (representative of the) petitioner.

Sworn to before me this

13th day of Februatv

The Sunaco

In the Matter of the Petition of HAROLD & SYLVIA MALSKY For a Redetermination of a Deficiency or a Refund of Unincorporated Business Taxes under Article(s) 23 of the Tax Law for the (Year(s) 1963, 1964, : - 1965

State of New York County of Albany

Martha Funaro , being duly sworn, deposes and says that she is an employee of the Department of Taxation and Finance, over 18 years of age, and that on the 13th day of February , 1974, she served the within Notice of Decision (or Determination) by (certified) mail upon Joseph Calderon, Esq. (representative of) the petitioner in the within proceeding, by enclosing a true copy thereof in a securely sealed postpaid wrapper addressed as follows: Joseph Calderon, Esq. Linden & Deutsch

110 East 59th Street

New York, New York 10022 and by depositing same enclosed in a postpaid properly addressed wrapper in a (post office or official depository) under the exclusive care and custody of the United States Post Office Department within the State of New York.

That deponent further says that the said addressee is the (representative of) petitioner herein and that the address set forth on said wrapper is the last known address of the (representative of the) petitioner.

Sworn to before me this

13th day of February, 1974.

Faitha Sunaco

## In the Matter of the Petition of HAROLD & SYLVIA MALSKY For a Redetermination of a Deficiency or a Refund of Unincorporated Business : Taxes under Article(s) 23 of the Tax Law for the (Year(s) 1963, 1964, : & 1965.

State of New York County of Albany

, being duly sworn, deposes and says that Martha Funaro she is an employee of the Department of Taxation and Finance, over 18 years of age, and that on the 13th day of February , 1974, she served the within Notice of Decision (or Determination) by (certified) mail upon Elliot S. Shaw, (representative of) the petitioner in the within Esq. proceeding, by enclosing a true copy thereof in a securely sealed postpaid Elliot S. Shaw wrapper addressed as follows:

:

Linden and Deutsch 110 East 59th Street New York, New York 10022

and by depositing same enclosed in a postpaid properly addressed wrapper in a (post office or official depository) under the exclusive care and custody of the United States Post Office Department within the State of New York.

That deponent further says that the said addressee is the (representative of) petitioner herein and that the address set forth on said wrapper is the last known address of the (representative of the) petitioner.

Sworn to before me this

February , 1974. 13th day of

hasthe Junan



### STATE OF NEW YORK

STATE TAX COMMISSION HEARING UNIT

DEPARTMENT OF TAXATION AND FINANCE

BUILDING 9, ROOM 214A STATE CAMPUS

ALBANY, N. Y. 12226

AREA CODE 518

457-2655,6,7

EDWARD ROOK

COMMISSION

ADDRESS YOUR REPLY TO

A BRUCE MANLEY

STATE TAX COMMISSION

DATED: Albany, New York February 13, 1974

Mr. & Mrs. Harold Malsky 123 Shepard Lane Roslyn Heights, L.I., New York

### Dear Mr. & Mrs. Malsky:

Please take notice of the **DECISION** of the State Tax Commission enclosed herewith.

Please take further notice that pursuant to Section(s) 722 of the Tax Law, any proceeding in court to review an adverse decision must be commenced within 4 months from the date of this notice.

Any inquiries concerning the computation of tax due or refund allowed in accordance with this decision or concerning any other matter relative hereto may be addressed to the undersigned. These will be referred to the proper party for reply.

Very truly yours,

Nigel Hlwngt

Nigel G. Wright HEARING OFFICER

Enc.

cc: Petitioner's Representative Law Bureau

### STATE OF NEW YORK

STATE TAX COMMISSION

In the Matter of the Petition

of

### HAROLD and SYLVIA MALSKY

for a Redetermination of a Deficiency or: for Refund of Unincorporated Business : Tax under Article 23 of the Tax Law for the Years 1963, 1964 and 1965. : DECISION

Harold and Sylvia Malsky, filed a petition under sections 689 and 722 of the Tax Law for the redetermination of deficiency issued under date of March 11, 1968, in the amount of \$1,041.87, plus interest of \$169.64, for a total of \$1,211.51 in unincorporated business taxes under Article 23 of the Tax Law for the years 1963, 1964 and 1965.

:

: :

: :

:

:

: :

A hearing was duly held on January 9, 1973, at the offices of the State Tax Commission, 80 Centre Street, New York City, before Nigel G. Wright, Hearing Officer. The petitioners were represented by Samuel Speyer, C.P.A. with Joseph Calderon, Esq., and Elliot S. Shaw, Esq., of Linden & Leutsch appearing on the brief. The Income Tax Bureau was represented by Saul Heckelman, Esq., appearing by James A. Scott, Esq.

The record of said hearing has been duly examined and considered.

### ISSUE

The issue in this case is whether the petitioners are conducting an unincorporated business.

- 2 -

### FINDINGS OF FACT

Petitioners are residents of Roslyn Heights, Queens
County. They did not file a return for unincorporated business
tax.

2. Mr. Malsky, one of the petitioners, receives his sole income in the form of salary from each of five corporations. These corporations each own at least one small apartment house for from fifteen to sixty families in a working class neighborhood. The apartments are in Jackson Heights, Queens, Astoria, Queens, and in the Bronx. Each building employs one janitor. Mr. Malsky and his wife are the sole stockholders in these corporations. Mr. Malsky is the president of each. The salary paid by each corporation is in fixed periodic amounts from which Federal income tax and social security is withheld.

3. Mr. Malsky operated out of his home through 1963. In 1964 he rented an office in Astoria, Queens, in the name of one of the corporations, Esro Realty Corp. This was not in any building owned either by him or by one of the six corporations. This office was used for the business of all the corporations.

4. Mr. Malsky was an attorney and a licensed real estate broker but did not hold himself out to the general public in either of these or in any other capacity.

5. The services Mr. Malsky performed included title closings, mortgage financing, dispossess proceedings, as well as rent collection and repair of the property. - 3 -

6. The reason for the separate real estate corporations is stated to be to limit legal liability for the protection of the owners and the mortgagees.

7. Sylvia Malsky is not involved with her husband in the services he performs for the corporations.

### CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

Petitioners are not taxable. Mr. Malsky is a legitimate employee of the several corporations and is not holding himself out as a building manager.

DATED: Albany, New York February 13, 1974 STATE TAX COMMISSION

COMMISSIONER

Cer. COMMISSIONER

\_\_\_\_ COMMISSIONER