Application

In the Matter of the Petrition

of

DOMINICK NOCERINO & EDMUND NOCERINO: Individually and as co-partners d/b/u the firm name and style of KNOTTY PINE LANES

For a Redetermination of a Deficiency or a Refund of Unincorporated Business: Taxes under Article(s) 16-A of the Tax Law for the (Year(s) 1959:

AFFIDAVIT OF MAILING OF NOTICE OF DECISION BY (CERTIFIED) MAIL

State of New York County of Albany

Martha Funaro, being duly sworn, deposes and says that
she is an employee of the Department of Taxation and Finance, over 18 years of
age, and that on the 14thday of January, 1974, she served the within
Notice of Decision (or Determination) by (certified) mail upon Knotty Pine Lanes
(representative of) the petitioner in the within

proceeding, by enclosing a true copy thereof in a securely sealed postpaid wrapper addressed as follows: Knotty Pine Lanes
2295 Forest Avenue
Staten Island, New York 10303

and by depositing same enclosed in a postpaid properly addressed wrapper in a (post office or official depository) under the exclusive care and custody of the United States Post Office Department within the State of New York.

That deponent further says that the said addressee is the (representative of) petitioner herein and that the address set forth on said wrapper is the last known address of the (representative of the) petitioner.

Sworn to before me this

14th day of January, 1974

hartha Dunaro



DEPARTMENT OF TAXATION AND FINANCE

BUILDING 9, ROOM 214A STATE CAMPUS ALBANY, N. Y. 12226

> AREA CODE 518 457-2655, 6, 7

STATE TAX COMMISSION HEARING LINIT

EDWARD ROOK SECRETARY TO COMMISSION

ADDRESS YOUR REPLY TO

A. BRUCE MANLEY
MILTON KOERNER

Dated: Albany, New York

January 14, 1974

Knotty Pine Lanes 2295 Forest Avenue Staten Island, New York 10303

Gentlemen:

Please take notice of the **DETERMINATION** of the State Tax Commission enclosed herewith.

Please take further notice that pursuant to Section(s) **386j** of the Tax Law, any proceeding in court to review an adverse decision must be commenced within **90 Days** from the date of this notice.

Any inquiries concerning the computation of tax due or refund allowed in accordance with this decision or concerning any other matter relative hereto may be addressed to the undersigned. These will be referred to the proper party for reply.

Very truly yours,

Nigel G. Wright

Enc.

HEARING OFFICER

Application In the Matter of the Putition

of

DOMINICK NOCERINO & EDMUND NOCERINO: Individually and as co-partners d/b/u the firm name and style of KNOTTY PINE LANES BY (CERTIFIED) MAIL

AFFIDAVIT OF MAILING OF NOTICE OF DECISION

For a Redetermination of a Deficiency or Unincorporated Business a Refund of Taxes under Article(s) 16-A Tax Law for the (Year(s) 1959

State of New York County of Albany

Martha Funaro , being duly sworn, deposes and says that she is an employee of the Department of Taxation and Finance, over 18 years of January , 1974, she served the within age, and that on the 14th day of Notice of Decision (or Determination) by (certified) mail upon Dominick Nocerino

proceeding, by enclosing a true copy thereof in a securely sealed postpaid wrapper addressed as follows: Mr. Dominick Nocerino

c/o Knotty Pine Lanes 2295 Forest Avenue

Staten Island, New York

(representative of) the petitioner in the within

and by depositing same enclosed in a postpaid properly addressed wrapper in a (post office or official depository) under the exclusive care and custody of the United States Post Office Department within the State of New York.

That deponent further says that the said addressee is the (representative of) petitioner herein and that the address set forth on said wrapper is the last known address of the (representative of the) petitioner.

Sworn to before me this

anuary, 1974 Man The Dunasa 14th day of



STATE TAX COMMISSION

MILTON KOERNER

STATE OF NEW YORK

DEPARTMENT OF TAXATION AND FINANCE

BUILDING 9, ROOM 214A STATE CAMPUS ALBANY, N. Y. 12226

> AREA CODE 518 457-2655, 6, 7

STATE TAX COMMISSION HEARING UNIT.

EDWARD ROOK SECRETARY TO COMMISSION

ADDRESS YOUR REPLY TO

Mario A. Procaccino, NORMANIE PRESIDENT

A. BRUCE MANLEY

Dated:

Albany, New York

January 14, 1974

Mr. Dominick Nocerino c/o Knotty Pine Lanes 2295 Forest Avenue Staten Island, New York 10303

Dear Mr. Nocerino:

Please take notice of the **DETERMINATION** of the State Tax Commission enclosed herewith.

Please take further notice that pursuant to Section(s) **386** of the Tax Law, any proceeding in court to review an adverse decision must be commenced within **90 Days** from the date of this notice.

Any inquiries concerning the computation of tax due or refund allowed in accordance with this decision or concerning any other matter relative hereto may be addressed to the undersigned. These will be referred to the proper party for reply.

Very truly yours,

Mysternight

Nigel G. Wright

Enc.

HEARING OFFICER

Application

In the Matter of the Retition

of

DOMINICK NOCERINO & EDMUND NOCERING
Individually and as co-partners d/b/u the
firm name and style of KNOTTY PINE:LANES
For a Redetermination of a Deficiency or
a Refund of Unincorporated Business
Taxes under Article(s) 16-A of the
Tax Law for the (Year(s) 1959 :

AFFIDAVIT OF MAILING OF NOTICE OF DECISION BY (CERTIFIED) MAIL

State of New York County of Albany

Martha Funaro , being duly sworn, deposes and says that
she is an employee of the Department of Taxation and Finance, over 18 years of
age, and that on the 14th day of January , 1974, she served the within
Notice of Decision (or Determination) by (certified) mail upon Edmund Nocerino

(representative of) the petitioner in the within

proceeding, by enclosing a true copy thereof in a securely sealed postpaid

wrapper addressed as follows: Mr. Edmund Nocerino c/o Knotty Pine Lanes

2295 Forest Avenue

Staten Island, New York 10303

and by depositing same enclosed in a postpaid properly addressed wrapper in a (post office or official depository) under the exclusive care and custody of the United States Post Office Department within the State of New York.

That deponent further says that the said addressee is the (representative of) petitioner herein and that the address set forth on said wrapper is the last known address of the (representative of the) petitioner.

Sworn to before me this

14th day of January, , 1974

Jantha Duraso



DEPARTMENT OF TAXATION AND FINANCE

BUILDING 9, ROOM 214A STATE CAMPUS ALBANY, N. Y. 12226

> AREA CODE 518 457-2655, 6, 7

STATE TAX COMMISSION HEARING UNIT

EDWARD ROOK
SECRETARY TO
COMMISSION

ADDRESS YOUR REPLY TO

Mario A. Procaccino MORNENE AL BRUCE MANLEY

STATE TAX COMMISSION

MILTON KOERNER

Dated: Albany, New York

January 14, 1974

Mr. Edmund Nocerino c/o Knotty Pine Lanes 2295 Forest Avenue Staten Island, New York 10303

Dear Mr. Mocerino:

Please take notice of the of the State Tax Commission enclosed herewith.

Please take further notice that pursuant to Section(s) of the Tax Law, any proceeding in court to review an adverse decision must be commenced within from the date of this notice.

Any inquiries concerning the computation of tax due or refund allowed in accordance with this decision or concerning any other matter relative hereto may be addressed to the undersigned. These will be referred to the proper party for reply.

Very truly yours,

Nigel G. Wright

Enc.

HEARING OFFICER

Application

In the Matter of the Petrician

of

EDMUND & JOAN NOCERINO

AFFIDAVIT OF MAILING OF NOTICE OF DECISION BY (CERTIFIED) MAIL

For a Redetermination of a Deficiency or a Refund of Personal Income
Taxes under Article(s) 16 of the
Tax Law for the (Year(s) 1959

State of New York County of Albany

Martha Funaro , being duly sworn, deposes and says that she is an employee of the Department of Taxation and Finance, over 18 years of age, and that on the 14th day of January , 1974 , she served the within Notice of Decision (or Determination) by (certified) mail upon Edmund & Joan Nocerino (representative of) the petitioner in the within

proceeding, by enclosing a true copy thereof in a securely sealed postpaid wrapper addressed as follows: Mr. & Mrs. Edmund Nocerino
221 Bryson Avenue
Staten Island, New York

and by depositing same enclosed in a postpaid properly addressed wrapper in a (post office or official depository) under the exclusive care and custody of the United States Post Office Department within the State of New York.

That deponent further says that the said addressee is the (representative of) petitioner herein and that the address set forth on said wrapper is the last known address of the (representative of the) petitioner.

Sworn to before me this

14th day of January ,1974.

Traithe Euran



DEPARTMENT OF TAXATION AND FINANCE

BUILDING 9, ROOM 214A STATE CAMPUS ALBANY, N. Y. 12226

> AREA CODE 518 457-2655, 6, 7

STATE TAX COMMISSION HEARING UNIT ..

EDWARD ROOK
SECRETARY TO
COMMISSION

ADDRESS YOUR REPLY TO

STATE TAX COMMISSION

Dated: Albany, New York

January 14, 1974

Mr. & Mrs. Edmund Nocerino 221 Bryson Avenue Staten Island, New York

Dear Mr. & Mrs. Nocerino:

Please take notice of the **DETERMINATION** of the State Tax Commission enclosed herewith.

Please take further notice that pursuant to Section(s) 375 of the Tax Law, any proceeding in court to review an adverse decision must be commenced within 90 Days from the date of this notice.

Any inquiries concerning the computation of tax due or refund allowed in accordance with this decision or concerning any other matter relative hereto may be addressed to the undersigned. These will be referred to the proper party for reply.

Very truly yours,

Nigel G. Wright

Enc.

HEARING OFFICER

of

DOMINICK & MARY NOCERINO

AFFIDAVIT OF MAILING OF NOTICE OF DECISION BY (CERTIFIED) MAIL

For a Redetermination of a Deficiency or a Refund of Personal Income
Taxes under Article(s) 16 of the
Tax Law for the (Year(s) 1959

State of New York County of Albany

Martha Funaro, being duly sworn, deposes and says that she is an employee of the Department of Taxation and Finance, over 18 years of age, and that on the 14th day of January, 1974, she served the within Notice of Decision (or Determination) by (certified) mail upon Dominick & Mary Nocerino

(representative of) the petitioner in the within proceeding, by enclosing a true copy thereof in a securely sealed postpaid wrapper addressed as follows: Mr. & Mrs. Dominick Nocerino 216 Brookside Avenue Staten Island, New York

and by depositing same enclosed in a postpaid properly addressed wrapper in a (post office or official depository) under the exclusive care and custody of the United States Post Office Department within the State of New York.

That deponent further says that the said addressee is the (representative of) petitioner herein and that the address set forth on said wrapper is the last known address of the (representative of the) petitioner.

Sworn to before me this

14th day of Januarly

Joantha Delano



STATE TAX COMMISSION

STATE OF NEW YORK

DEPARTMENT OF TAXATION AND FINANCE

BUILDING 9, ROOM 214A STATE CAMPUS ALBANY, N. Y. 12226

> AREA CODE 518 457-2655, 6, 7

STATE TAX COMMISSION HEARING UNIT

EDWARD ROOK
SECRETARY TO
COMMISSION

ADDRESS YOUR REPLY TO

Dated: Albany, New York

January 14, 1974

Mr. & Mrs. Dominick Nocerino 216 Brookside Avenue Staten Island, New York

Dear Mr. & Mrs. Nocerino:

Please take notice of the **DETERMINATION** of the State Tax Commission enclosed herewith.

Please take further notice that pursuant to Section(s) of the Tax Law, any proceeding in court to review an adverse decision must be commenced within from the date of this notice.

Any inquiries concerning the computation of tax due or refund allowed in accordance with this decision or concerning any other matter relative hereto may be addressed to the undersigned. These will be referred to the proper party for reply.

Very truly yours,

Migel G. Wright

Enc.

HEARING OFFICER

DOMINICK NOCERINO & EDMUND NOCERINQ Individually and as co-partners d/b/u the firm name and style of KNOTTY PINE LANES

AFFIDAVIT OF MAILING OF NOTICE OF DECISION BY (CERTIFIED) MAIL

For a Redetermination of a Deficiency or a Refund of Unincorporated Business Taxes under Article(s) 16-A of the Tax Law for the (Year(s) 1959 :

State of New York County of Albany

Martha Funaro , being duly sworn, deposes and says that she is an employee of the Department of Taxation and Finance, over 18 years of age, and that on the ^{14th} day of January , 1974, she served the within Notice of Decision (or Determination) by (certified) mail upon Patrick Martinetti, C.P.A. (representative of) the petitioner in the within

proceeding, by enclosing a true copy thereof in a securely sealed postpaid wrapper addressed as follows: Patrick Martinetti, C.P.A.

1034 Castleton Avenue

West New Brighton, S.I., New York 103

and by depositing same enclosed in a postpaid properly addressed wrapper in a (post office or official depository) under the exclusive care and custody of the United States Post Office Department within the State of New York.

That deponent further says that the said addressee is the (representative of) petitioner herein and that the address set forth on said wrapper is the last known address of the (representative of the) petitioner.

Sworn to before me this

4th day of January ,1974

of

EDMUND & JOAN NOCERINO

AFFIDAVIT OF MAILING OF NOTICE OF DECISION BY (CERTIFIED) MAIL

For a Redetermination of a Deficiency or a Refund of Personal Income Taxes under Article(s) 16 of the Tax Law for the (Year(s) 1959

State of New York County of Albany

Martha Funaro , being duly sworn, deposes and says that
she is an employee of the Department of Taxation and Finance, over 18 years of
age, and that on the 14th day of January , 19 74, she served the within

Notice of Decision (or Determination) by (certified) mail upon Patrick Martinetti,
C.P.A.

(representative of) the petitioner in the within

proceeding, by enclosing a true copy thereof in a securely sealed postpaid wrapper addressed as follows: Patrick Martinetti, C.P.A.

1034 Castleton Avenue
West New Brighton, S.I., New York 1033

and by depositing same enclosed in a postpaid properly addressed wrapper in a (post office or official depository) under the exclusive care and custody of the United States Post Office Department within the State of New York.

That deponent further says that the said addressee is the (representative of) petitioner herein and that the address set forth on said wrapper is the last known address of the (representative of the) petitioner.

Sworn to before me this

14th day of January.

1974.

of

DOMINICK & MARY NOCERINO

AFFIDAVIT OF MAILING OF NOTICE OF DECISION BY (CERTIFIED) MAIL

For a Redetermination of a Deficiency or a Refund of Personal Income
Taxes under Article(s) 16 of the
Tax Law for the (Year(s) 1959

State of New York County of Albany

Martha Funaro, being duly sworn, deposes and says that
she is an employee of the Department of Taxation and Finance, over 18 years of
age, and that on the 14th day of January, 19 74, she served the within

Notice of Decision (or Determination) by (certified) mail upon Patrick Martinetti,
C.P.A.

(representative of) the petitioner in the within

proceeding, by enclosing a true copy thereof in a securely sealed postpaid wrapper addressed as follows: Patrick Martinetti, C.P.A.
1034 Castleton Avenue

West New Brighton, S.I., New York 10310

and by depositing same enclosed in a postpaid properly addressed wrapper in a (post office or official depository) under the exclusive care and custody of the United States Post Office Department within the State of New York.

That deponent further says that the said addressee is the (representative of) petitioner herein and that the address set forth on said wrapper is the last known address of the (representative of the) petitioner.

Sworn to before me this

14th day of January ,1974.

mathe Dunaro

STATE TAX COMMISSION

In the Matter of the Application

of

DOMINICK NOCERINO and EDMUND NOCERINO individually and as co-partners d/b/u the firm name and style of

KNOTTY PINE LANES

for Revision or Refund of Unincorporated Business Taxes under Article 16-A of the Tax Law for the Year 1959.

In the Matter of the Application

of

DOMINICK & MARY NOCERINO

for Revision or Refund of Personal Income Taxes under Article 16 of the Tax Law for the Year 1959.

In the Matter of the Application

of

EDMUND & JOAN NOCERINO

for Revision or Refund of Personal Income Taxes under Article 16 of the Tax Law for the Year 1959.

DETERMINATION

Dominick Nocerino and Edmund Nocerino individually and as co-partners d/b/u the firm name and style of Knotty Pine Lanes, filed an application for revision of an additional assessment in the amount of \$496.40 issued under date of March 28, 1963,

for unincorporated business tax under Article 16-A of the Tax Law for the year 1959.

Dominick and Mary Nocerino, filed an application for revision of an additional assessment issued under date of March 28, 1963, in the amount of \$687.86 for personal income tax under Article 16 of the Tax Law for the year 1959.

Edmund and Joan Nocerino, filed an application for revision of an additional assessment issued under date of March 28, 1963, in the amount of \$687.86 for personal income tax under Article 16 of the Tax Law for the year 1959.

Said applications were denied and a demand for hearing was filed. A hearing was, however, waived and the case was submitted to the State Tax Commission on the file of the Income Tax Bureau. Said file has been duly examined and considered.

ISSUE

The issue in this case is whether the applicant can depreciate furniture, fixtures and equipment by the sum-of-the-year digits method instead of by the straight-line method.

FINDINGS OF FACT

1. Applicants own and operate a bowling alley in Staten Island, New York, where they maintained sixteen lanes with automatic pin-setting machines. They computed depreciation on their tax returns for furniture, fixtures and equipment by the "sum-of-the-year digits" method.

2. The issue here involved was the subject of a determination of the State Tax Commission with respect to this same taxpayer for the years 1957 and 1958 and dated, October 6, 1969. That determination denied the application on the basis that Article 531 of the Income Tax Regulations and the instructions to the returns mandated the use of straight-line depreciation.

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

The applications are denied. The question is one of law only. The Commission adheres to its view stated in the October 6, 1969, determination that only straight-line depreciation is allowable under Articles 16 and 16-A of the Tax Law. The additional arguments presented here raising comparisons between Articles 16 and 16-A on the one hand and Articles 9-A and 22 on the other hand with respect to accelerated depreciation are hereby noted but are found to be not persuasive.

The assessments under review are found to be correct.

DATED: Albany, New York

January 14, 1974

STATE TAX COMMISSION

COMMISSIONER

COMMISSIONER

COMMISSIONER

STATE OF NEW YORK

Bepartment of Taxation and Finance

STATE CAMPUS

ALBANY, N. Y. 12227

Mr. & Mrs. Edmund Nocerino

Staten Island, New York

221 Bryson Avenue

Wisel WRIGHT BLDA9



STATE TAX COMMISSION

STATE OF NEW YORK

DEPARTMENT OF TAXATION AND FINANCE

BUILDING 9, ROOM 214A STATE CAMPUS ALBANY, N. Y. 12226

> AREA CODE 518 457-2655, 6, 7

STATE TAX COMMISSION HEARING UNIT

EDWARD ROOK SECRETARY TO COMMISSION

ADDRESS YOUR REPLY TO

Mario A. Procaccino,

A. BRUCE MANLEY
MILTON KOERNER

Dated: Albany, New York

January 14, 1974

Mr. & Mrs. Edmund Nocerino 221 Bryson Avenue Staten Island, New York

Dear Mr. & Mrs. Nocerino:

Please take notice of the DETERMINATION of the State Tax Commission enclosed herewith.

Please take further notice that pursuant to Section(s) 375 of the Tax Law, any proceeding in court to review an adverse decision must be commenced within 90 Days from the date of this notice.

Any inquiries concerning the computation of tax due or refund allowed in accordance with this decision or concerning any other matter relative hereto may be addressed to the undersigned. These will be referred to the proper party for reply.

Very truly yours,

Regel Il with

Nigel G. Wright

HEARING OFFICER

cc: Petitioner's Representative Law Bureau

Enc.

STATE TAX COMMISSION

In the Matter of the Application

of

DOMINICK NOCERINO and EDMUND NOCERINO individually and as co-partners d/b/u the firm name and style of

KNOTTY PINE LANES

for Revision or Refund of Unincorporated Business Taxes under Article 16-A of the Tax Law for the Year 1959.

In the Matter of the Application

of

DOMINICK & MARY NOCERINO

for Revision or Refund of Personal Income Taxes under Article 16 of the Tax Law for the Year 1959.

In the Matter of the Application .

of

EDMUND & JOAN NOCERINO

for Revision or Refund of Personal Income Taxes under Article 16 of the Tax Law for the Year 1959.

Dominick Nocerino and Edmund Nocerino individually and as co-partners d/b/u the firm name and style of Knotty Pine Lanes, filed an application for revision of an additional assessment in the amount of \$496.40 issued under date of March 28, 1963,

DETERMINATION

for unincorporated business tax under Article 16-A of the Tax Law for the year 1959.

Dominick and Mary Nocerino, filed an application for revision of an additional assessment issued under date of March 28, 1963, in the amount of \$687.86 for personal income tax under Article 16 of the Tax Law for the year 1959.

Edmund and Joan Nocerino, filed an application for revision of an additional assessment issued under date of March 28, 1963, in the amount of \$687.86 for personal income tax under Article 16 of the Tax Law for the year 1959.

Said applications were denied and a demand for hearing was filed. A hearing was, however, waived and the case was submitted: to the State Tax Commission on the file of the Income Tax Bureau. Said file has been duly examined and considered.

ISSUE

The issue in this case is whether the applicant can depreciate furniture, fixtures and equipment by the sum-of-the-year digits method instead of by the straight-line method.

FINDINGS OF FACT

1. Applicants own and operate a bowling alley in Staten Island, New York, where they maintained sixteen lanes with automatic pin-setting machines. They computed depreciation on their tax returns for furniture, fixtures and equipment by the "sum-of-the-year digits" method.

2. The issue here involved was the subject of a determination of the State Tax Commission with respect to this same taxpayer for the years 1957 and 1958 and dated, October 6, 1969. That determination denied the application on the basis that Article 531 of the Income Tax Regulations and the instructions to the returns mandated the use of straight-line depreciation.

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

The applications are denied. The question is one of law only. The Commission adheres to its view stated in the October 6, 1969, determination that only straight-line depreciation is allowable under Articles 16 and 16-A of the Tax Law. The additional arguments presented here raising comparisons between Articles 16 and 16-A on the one hand and Articles 9-A and 22 on the other hand with respect to accelerated depreciation are hereby noted but are found to be not persuasive.

The assessments under review are found to be correct.

DATED: Albany, New York
January 14, 1974

STATE TAX COMMISSION

COMMISSIONER

COMMISSIONED

COMMISSIONER