STATE OF NEW YORK STATE TAX COMMISSION

In the Matter of the Petition

of

THE ESTATE OF BERNARD WAGENSTEIN

For a Redetermination of a Deficiency or a Refund of Unincorporated Business: Taxes under Article(s) 23 of the Tax Law for the Year(s) 1966 & 1967 :

State of New York County of Albany

Martha Funaro , being duly sworn, deposes and says that she is an employee of the Department of Taxation and Finance, over 18 years of age, and that on the 4th day of April , 1974, she served the within Notice of Decision (or Determination) by (certified) mail upon Estate of Bernard Wagenstein (representative of) the petitioner in the within proceeding, by enclosing a true copy thereof in a securely sealed postpaid wrapper addressed as follows: Estate of Bernard Wagenstein 52 North Strathmore Street Valley Stream, New York 11581

and by depositing same enclosed in a postpaid properly addressed wrapper in a (post office or official depository) under the exclusive care and custody of the United States Post Office Department within the State of New York.

That deponent further says that the said addressee is the (representative of) petitioner herein and that the address set forth on said wrapper is the last known address of the (representative of the) petitioner.

Sworn to before me this

4th day of

April, 1974. Martha Surano

AD-1.30 (1/74)

AFFIDAVIT OF MAILING OF NOTICE OF DECISION BY (CERTIFIED) MAIL STATE OF NEW YORK STATE TAX COMMISSION

In the Matter of the Petition

of

THE ESTATE OF BERNARD WAGENSTEIN

AFFIDAVIT OF MAILING OF NOTICE OF DECISION BY (CERTIFIED) MAIL

For a Redetermination of a Deficiency or a Refund of Unincorporated Business: Taxes under Article(s) 23 of the Tax Law for the Year(s) 1966 & 1967 :

State of New York County of Albany

Martha Funaro, being duly sworn, deposes and says that she is an employee of the Department of Taxation and Finance, over 18 years of age, and that on the 4th day of April , 1974, she served the within Notice of Decision (or Determination) by (certified) mail upon Matthew D. Lippman, C.P.A.

proceeding, by enclosing a true copy thereof in a securely sealed postpaid wrapper addressed as follows: Matthew D. Lippman, C.P.A. Maser, Krasnow & Lippman

18 East 48th Street New York, New York 10017 and by depositing same enclosed in a postpaid properly addressed wrapper in a (post office or official depository) under the exclusive care and custody of the United States Post Office Department within the State of New York.

That deponent further says that the said addressee is the (representative of) petitioner herein and that the address set forth on said wrapper is the last known address of the (representative of the) petitioner.

Sworn to before me this

, 1974. April 4th day of

marthe June

AD-1.30 (1/74)



## STATE OF NEW YORK

# DEPARTMENT OF TAXATION AND FINANCE

STATE TAX COMMISSION Mario A. Procaccino

A. BRUCE MANLEY MILTON KOERNER BUILDING 9, ROOM 214A STATE CAMPUS ALBANY, N.Y. 12227

> AREA CODE 518 457-2655, 6, 7

Dated: Albany, New York

April 4, 1974

Estate of Bernard Wagenstein 52 North Strathmore Street Valley Stream, New York 11581

#### Gentlemen:

Please take notice of the **DECES ION** the State Tax Commission enclosed herewith.

of

Please take further notice that pursuant to **section 722 of** the Tax Law any proceeding in court to review an adverse decision must be commenced within **4 Months** after the date of this notice.

Any inquiries concerning the computation of tax due or refund allowed in accordance with this decision or concerning any other matter relating hereto may be addressed to the undersigned. These will be referred to the proper party for reply.

Very truly yours,

Hydelithright

Nigel G. Wright HEARING OFFICER

cc Petitioner's Representative Law Bureau STATE TAX COMMISSION HEARING UNIT

> EDWARD ROOK SECRETARY TO COMMISSION

ADDRESS YOUR REPLY TO

### STATE OF NEW YORK

STATE TAX COMMISSION

In the Matter of the Petition	:
of	:
THE ESTATE OF BERNARD WAGENSTEIN	:
for a Redetermination of a Deficiency or for Refund of Unincorporated Business	:
Tax under Article 23 of the Tax Law for the Years 1966 and 1967.	:
the rearb 1900 and 1907.	:

DECISION

The Estate of Bernard Wagenstein filed a petition under sections 722 and 689 of the Tax Law for a redetermination of a deficiency issued May 26, 1969, in the amount of \$1,019.26 plus interest of \$91.43 and a penalty for failure to file returns of \$254.82 for a total of \$1,365.51 in unincorporated business tax under Article 23 of the Tax Law for the years 1966 and 1967.

A hearing was duly held on May 2, 1973, at the offices of the State Tax Commission, 80 Centre Street, New York City, before Nigel G. Wright, Hearing Officer. The petitioner was represented by Matthew D. Lippman, C.P.A., of Maser, Krasnow and Lippman. The Income Tax Bureau was represented by Saul Heckelman, Esq., appearing by Solomon Sies, Esq. The record of said hearing has been duly examined and considered.

### ISSUE

The issue in this case is whether petitioner, a sales representative, is an independent contractor and subject to unincorporated business tax.

### FINDINGS OF FACT

1. Petitioner at one time was a retail furniture salesman

and later a furniture store manager. Around 1964 he began to sell for manufacturers and sought manufacturers which could be acceptable to him. When he found Benchmade in 1966, he concentrated on that account and did design and production work for them as well as selling. Petitioner died in 1968. Since then the company has gone bankrupt.

2. In 1966 and 1967, Mr. Wagenstein's sales were primarily for two furniture companies, Benchmade of Montreal, Canada, from which he earned about \$14,000.00 a year and Sieling of Pennsylvania from which he earned about \$13,000.00. He had smaller amounts of income from three other firms.

3. Mr. Wagenstein's territory was the New York metropolitan area. All of the companies he represented were outside of New York and he had to travel frequently to shows at their factories.

4. Mr. Wagenstein reported no withholding of taxes or social security on his own tax returns. He did pay self-employment tax and in 1967 took a self-employment retirement deduction computed with reference to his net profit shown on his Schedule "C".

5. Although petitioner filed a tax return for unincorporated business tax for 1965 he did not do so in 1966 and 1967 since he apparently thought that his association with Benchmade would exempt him from tax.

#### CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

Petitioner is subject to tax as he is clearly an independent contractor and not an employee. The penalty will however be cancelled.

- 2 -

The deficiency without the penalty is found to be correct and is due together with such interest as may be due under section 684 of the Tax Law.

DATED: Albany, New York April 4, 1974

+

STATE TAX COMMISSION

maun

COMMISSIONER

COMMISSIONER

im C

COMMISSIONER

• . .