In the Matter of the Petition

of

HARVEY B. ROSENBLOOM

AFFIDAVIT OF MAILING OF NOTICE OF DECISION BY (CERTIFIED) MAIL

For a Redetermination of a Deficiency or a Refund of Unincorporated Business: Taxes under Article(s) 23 of the Tax Law for the (Year(s) 1964, 1965 &: 1966

State of New York County of Albany

Martha Funaro , being duly sworn, deposes and says that she is an employee of the Department of Taxation and Finance, over 18 years of age, and that on the 27th day of February , 1973, she served the within Notice of Decision (or Determination) by (certified) mail upon Harvey B. Rosenbloom

(representative of) the petitioner in the within proceeding, by enclosing a true copy thereof in a securely sealed postpaid wrapper addressed as follows: Harvey B. Rosenbloom
96 Dartmouth Street
Rochester, New York 14606

and by depositing same enclosed in a postpaid properly addressed wrapper in a (post office or official depository) under the exclusive care and custody of the United States Post Office Department within the State of New York.

That deponent further says that the said addressee is the (representative of) petitioner herein and that the address set forth on said wrapper is the last known address of the (representative of the) petitioner.

Sworn to before me this

27th day of February , 19 73

Jonatha Furan



STATE OF NEW YORK .

DEPARTMENT OF TAXATION AND FINANCE

BUILDING 9. ROOM 214A STATE TAX COMMISSION

STATE CAMPUS

ALBANY, N. Y. 12227

AREA CODE 518 457-2655, 6, 7

STATE TAX COMMISSION HEARING UNIT

> EDWARD ROOK SECRETARY TO COMMISSION

ADDRESS YOUR REPLY TO

NORMAN F. GALLMAN, PRESIDENT

A. BRUCE MANLEY MILTON KOERNER

Dated: Albany, New York

February 27, 1973

Harvey B. Rosenbloom 96 Dartmouth Street Rochester, New York

14606

Dear Mr. Rosenbloom:

Please take notice of the

DECISION

of

the State Tax Commission enclosed herewith.

Please take further notice that pursuant to section 690 of the Tax Law any proceeding in court to review an adverse decision must be commenced within 4 Months after the date of this notice.

Any inquiries concerning the computation of tax due or refund allowed in accordance with this decision or concerning any other matter relating hereto may be addressed to the undersigned. These will be referred to the proper party for reply.

Very truly yours,

Robert Leisner

HEARING OFFICER

cc Petitioner's Representative Law Bureau

STATE OF NEW YORK

STATE TAX COMMISSION

In the Matter of the Petition

of

HARVEY B. ROSENBLOOM

DECISION

for a Redetermination of a Deficiency or for Refund of Unincorporated Business Taxes under Article 23 of the Tax Law for the Years 1964, 1965 and 1966.

:

Harvey B. Rosenbloom petitioned for a redetermination of deficiencies in unincorporated business taxes under Article 23 of the Tax Law for the years 1964, 1965 and 1966.

A formal hearing was held at the offices of the State Tax

Commission, Rochester, New York on November 10, 1971, before

L. Robert Leisner, Hearing Officer. The taxpayer appeared personally and the Income Tax Bureau was represented by Edward H. Best, Esq.

(Alexander Weiss, Esq., of Counsel).

ISSUE

Was the petitioner, as a real estate appraiser, a professional exempt from unincorporated business tax?

FINDINGS OF FACT

- 1. Petitioner, Harvey B. Rosenbloom, timely filed New York State income tax returns for the years 1964, 1965 and 1966.
- 2. A Notice of Determination of deficiencies in unincorporated business taxes for the years 1964, 1965 and 1966 was issued on March 17, 1969, against the taxpayer under File No. 66091898.

The taxpayer was licensed as a real estate salesman in

In 1942 he was licensed as a real estate broker.

active continuously in real estate and did general appraisals, but

must have specialized knowledge and also skill and experience in

making appraisals.

in the 1950's he began to specialize in appraisal work. An appraiser

- 9. The taxpayer has performed appraisal assignments for the City of Rochester, the Rochester Housing Authority, the Rochester Urban Renewal Agency, the City of Glens Falls and the Glens Falls Urban Renewal Agency, among others.
- 10. The taxpayer has testified before the New York Supreme
 Court and several court-appointed commissions. His opinion as to
 fair market value has aided courts in determining just compensation.
- 11. Business corporations have for many years engaged in appraisal work and services.

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

- A. Although appraisers, as well as persons in many other occupations, trades, or businesses have some of the characteristics of professionals, the appraisal field is a business subject to unincorporated business tax. Adelsberg v. Comm., 278 App. Div. 606.
- B. The petition is denied and the determination of the deficiency is sustained.
- C. Pursuant to the Tax Law, interest shall be added to the total amount due until paid.

DATED: Albany, New York February 27, 1973 STATE TAX COMMISSION

COMMISSIONER/

COMMISSIONER

COMMISSIONER