STATE OF NEW YORK STATE TAX COMMISSION

In the Matter of the Petition of OSCAR SCHECHNER For a Redetermination of a Deficiency or a Refund of Unincorporated Business: Taxes under Article(s) 23 of the Tax Law for the (Year(s) 1965

AFFIDAVIT OF MAILING OF NOTICE OF DECISION BY (CERTIFIED) MAIL

State of New York County of Albany

Martha Funaro, being duly sworn, deposes and says that she is an employee of the Department of Taxation and Finance, over 18 years of age, and that on the 4th day of April , 1972, she served the within Notice of Decision (or Determination) by (certified) mail upon Oscar Schechner

:

:

proceeding, by enclosing a true copy thereof in a securely sealed postpaid wrapper addressed as follows: Oscar Schechner 506 Queen Street

Westbury, New York

and by depositing same enclosed in a postpaid properly addressed wrapper in a (post office or official depository) under the exclusive care and custody of the United States Post Office Department within the State of New York.

That deponent further says that the said addressee is the (representative of) petitioner herein and that the address set forth on said wrapper is the last known address of the (representative of the) petitioner.

Sworn to before me this

4th day of April , 1972 hommenna

Martha Furaio

(representative of) the petitioner in the within

In the Matter of the Petition of OSCAR SCHECHNER For a Redetermination of a Deficiency or a Refund of Unincorporated Business. Taxes under Article(s) 23 of the Tax Law for the (Year(s) 1965

AFFIDAVIT OF MAILING OF NOTICE OF DECISION BY (CERTIFIED) MAIL

State of New York County of Albany

Martha Funaro, being duly sworn, deposes and says that she is an employee of the Department of Taxation and Finance, over 18 years of age, and that on the 4th day of April , 1972, she served the within Notice of Decision (or Determination) by (certified) mail upon Benjamin Rashkin (representative of) the petitioner in the within

proceeding, by enclosing a true copy thereof in a securely sealed postpaid wrapper addressed as follows: Benjamin Rashkin 1450 Broadway

New York, New York

and by depositing same enclosed in a postpaid properly addressed wrapper in a (post office or official depository) under the exclusive care and custody of the United States Post Office Department within the State of New York.

That deponent further says that the said addressee is the (representative of) petitioner herein and that the address set forth on said wrapper is the last known address of the (representative of the) petitioner.

Sworn to before me this

, 1972 dav of 4th April ammen

Martha Funano



STATE OF NEW YORK

DEPARTMENT OF TAXATION AND FINANCE

STATE TAX COMMISSION

NORMAN F. GALLMAN, ACTING PRESIDENT A. BRUCE MANLEY MILTON KOERNER BUILDING 9, ROOM 214A STATE CAMPUS ALBANY, N. Y. 12226 AREA CODE 518 457-2655, 6, 7

Dated : Albany, New York

STATE TAX COMMISSION HEARING UNIT

> EDWARD ROOK SECRETARY TO COMMISSION

ADDRESS YOUR REPLY TO

April 4, 1972

Oscar Schechner 506 Queen Street Westbury, New York

Dear Mr. Schednner:

Please take notice of the **DBCISION** the State Tax Commission enclosed herewith.

Please take further notice that pursuant to **section 722 of** the Tax Law any proceeding in court to review an adverse decision must be commenced within **4 Nonths** after the date of this notice.

Any inquiries concerning the computation of tax due or refund allowed in accordance with this decision or concerning any other matter relating hereto may be addressed to the undersigned. These will be referred to the proper party for reply.

*k*ulv Verv vours Coburn

of

HEARING OFFICER

cc Petitioner's Representative Law Bureau

STATE OF NEW YORK

STATE TAX COMMISSION

In the Matter of the Petition	:	,
of	:	
OSCAR SCHECHNER	:	DECISION
for Redetermination of Deficiency or for Refund of Unincorporated Business	:	
Tax under Article 23 of the Tax Law for the Year 1965.	:	
	:	

Petitioner, Oscar Schechner, has filed a petition for redetermination of deficiency or for refund of unincorporated business tax under Article 23 of the Tax Law for the year 1965. (File No. 46227867). A formal hearing was to be held before Paul B. Coburn, Hearing Officer, at the offices of the State Tax Commission, 80 Centre Street, New York, New York. Petitioner's representative, Benjamin Rashkin, C.P.A., advised the State Tax Commission in writing on October 5, 1971, that petitioner wished to waive a formal hearing and submit the case to the State Tax Commission on the entire record contained in the file. The State Tax Commission renders the following decision after due consideration of said record.

ISSUES

I. Did the selling activities of petitioner, Oscar Schechner, during the year 1965 constitute the carrying on of an unincorporated business?

II. Did petitioner, Oscar Schechner, have reasonable cause for failing to file New York State unincorporated business tax return for the year 1965?

FINDINGS OF FACT

1. Petitioner, Oscar Schechner, and his wife filed a New York State income tax resident return for the year 1965. He did not file a New York State unincorporated business tax return for said year.

2. On January 29, 1968, the Income Tax Bureau issued a Statement of Audit Changes against petitioner, Oscar Schechner, imposing unincorporated business tax upon the income received by him as a salesman during the year 1965. It also imposed a penalty of \$166.27 for failure to file a New York State unincorporated business tax return for said year. In accordance with the aforesaid Statement of Audit Changes, it issued a Notice of Deficiency in the sum of \$902.72.

3. Petitioner, Oscar Schechner, was a children's wear salesman during the year 1965. He represented two firms in the sale of children's wear. The items sold by him for each firm were noncompetitive.

4. Only one of the firms for whom petitioner, Oscar Schechner, sold merchandise during the year 1965 withheld Federal and New York State income taxes and social security tax from the commissions paid to him. He received approximately two-thirds of his commission income from said firm. He was not reimbursed for any of his business expenses. He deducted these business expenses on his federal income tax return. The firms for whom he sold merchandise did not exercise any substantial control or supervision over his sales activities or techniques or to the time he devoted to sales, except to limit the

- 2 -

territory in which he could sell.

5. Petitioner, Oscar Schechner, was advised by his accountant that he was not required to file a New York State unincorporated business tax return for the year 1965.

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

That the income received by petitioner, Oscar Schechner, Α. from the two firms he represented during the year 1965 constituted income from his regular business of selling children's wear and not compensation as an employee exempt from the imposition of the unincorporated business tax in accordance with the meaning and intent of section 703(b) of the Tax Law.

That the aforesaid activities of petitioner, Oscar Schechner, в. during the year 1965 constituted the carrying on of an unincorporated business and his income derived therefrom was subject to the unincorporated business tax in accordance with the meaning and intent of section 703 of the Tax Law.

That petitioner, Oscar Schechner, had reasonable cause for C. failing to file a New York State unincorporated business tax return for the year 1965, and, therefore the penalty assessed pursuant to section 685(a) of the Tax Law is waived.

D. That the petition of Oscar Schechner is granted to the extent of cancelling the penalty imposed for the year 1965 pursuant to section 685(a) of the Tax Law and the Notice of Deficiency issued January 29, 1968, is reduced from \$902.72 to \$736.45 together with such interest as may be due from January 29, 1968, and, except as so granted, the petition is in all other respects denied.

DATED: Albany, New York april 4, 1972

STATE TAX COMMISSION COMMISSIONER

COMMISSIONER

- 3 -