In the Matter of the Petition

of

HENRY REIDER

AFFIDAVIT OF MAILING OF NOTICE OF DECISION BY (CERTIFIED) MAIL

For a Redetermination of a Deficiency or a Refund of Unincorporated Business: Taxes under Article(s) 23 Tax Law for the (Year(s) 1967.

State of New York County of Albany

Lynn Wilson , being duly sworn, deposes and says that she is an employee of the Department of Taxation and Finance, over 18 years of age, and that on the 21st day of August , 1972, she served the within Notice of Decision (or Determination) by (certified) mail upon HENRY REIDER (representative of) the petitioner in the within

proceeding, by enclosing a true copy thereof in a securely sealed postpaid wrapper addressed as follows: Mr. Henry Reider

200 Valentine Lane

Yonkers, New York 10705

and by depositing same enclosed in a postpaid properly addressed wrapper in a (post office or official depository) under the exclusive care and custody of the United States Post Office Department within the State of New York.

That deponent further says that the said addressee is the (representative of) petitioner herein and that the address set forth on said wrapper is the last known address of the (representative of the) petitioner.

Sworn to before me this

21st day of

Lynn Wilson

In the Matter of the Petition

of

HENRY REIDER

AFFIDAVIT OF MAILING OF NOTICE OF DECISION BY (CERTIFIED) MAIL

For a Redetermination of a Deficiency or a Refund of Unincorporated Business: Taxes under Article(s) 23 of the Tax Law for the (Year(s) 1967 :

State of New York County of Albany

Lynn Wilson , being duly sworn, deposes and says that she is an employee of the Department of Taxation and Finance, over 18 years of age, and that on the 21st day of August , 1972, she served the within Notice of Decision (or Determination) by (certified) mail upon JOEL ROTHSTEIN, ESQ.

(representative of) the petitioner in the within

proceeding, by enclosing a true copy thereof in a securely sealed postpaid
Joel Rothstein, Esq.

wrapper addressed as follows: c/o Hanigsburg, Delson and Broser
711 Fifth Avenue
New York, New York 10022

and by depositing same enclosed in a postpaid properly addressed wrapper in a (post office or official depository) under the exclusive care and custody of the United States Post Office Department within the State of New York.

That deponent further says that the said addressee is the (representative of) petitioner herein and that the address set forth on said wrapper is the last known address of the (representative of the) petitioner.

Sworn to before me this

21stday of August , 1972

Lynn Wilson



STATE OF NEW YORK

DEPARTMENT OF TAXATION AND FINANCE

BUILDING 9, ROOM 214A STATE CAMPUS

ALBANY, N. Y. 12227

AREA CODE 518 457-2655, 6, 7 EDWARD ROOK

COMMISSION

STATE TAX COMMISSION HEARING UNIT

ADDRESS YOUR REPLY TO

NORMAN F. GALLMAN, PRESIDENT

STATE TAX COMMISSION

A. BRUCE MANLEY
MILTON KOERNER

DATED:

Albany, New York

August 21, 1972

Mr. Henry Reider 200 Valentine Lane

Yonkers, New York 10705

Dear Mr. Reider:

Please take notice of the **DE**

DECISION

of

the State Tax Commission enclosed herewith.

Please take further notice that pursuant to section 722 of the Tax Law any proceeding in court to review an adverse decision must be commenced within 4 months after the date of this notice.

Any inquiries concerning the computation of tax due or refund allowed in accordance with this decision or concerning any other matter relating hereto may be addressed to the undersigned. These will be referred to the proper party for reply.

Very truly yours,

Nigel G. Wright

Myel I Wright

HEARING OFFICER

cc Petitioner's Representative
Law Bureau

STATE OF NEW YORK
STATE TAX COMMISSION

In the Matter of the Petition

of

HENRY REIDER

DECISION

for a Redetermination of a Deficiency or for Refund of Unincorporated Business Taxes under Article 23 of the Tax Law for the Year 1967.

:

Henry Reider filed a petition for a redetermination of a deficiency issued under date of February 24, 1970, for unincorporated business taxes under Article 23 of the Tax Law for the year 1967.

A hearing was duly held at the offices of the State Tax Commission, 80 Centre Street, New York City, on March 6, 1972, before Nigel G. Wright, Hearing Officer.

Joel Rothstein, C.P.A., represented petitioner. Saul Heckelman, Esq., appearing by Francis X. Boylan, Esq., represented the Income Tax Bureau.

The record of said hearing has been duly examined and considered.

ISSUE

The issue in this case is whether the petitioner, a salesman, is subject to unincorporated business tax.

FINDINGS OF FACT

1. Petitioner is a resident of Yonkers, New York, and he is a salesman. During 1967 he represented two principals; Rosenau Brothers, Inc. and Nannette Manufacturing Co., Inc., both of

Philadelphia, Pennsylvania. Rosenau Brothers, Inc. makes girls dresses and is controlled by three members of the Rosenau family.

Nannette Manufacturing Co., Inc. makes infant and toddler dresses and is controlled by two members of the Rosenau family who have no interest in Rosenau Brothers, Inc. Both firms have a joint sales office in New York City. All salesmen of one company are simultaneously salesmen of the other company. They cannot represent any other line. Petitioner's territory is Connecticut, Rhode Island and major cities in upstate New York. He travels four times a year for about four weeks each. When not traveling he reports to the New York City office.

- 2. Petitioner works on the basis of a straight commission on goods delivered less returns and allowances. His commissions were \$34,000.00 from Rosenau Brothers, Inc. and \$27,000.00 from Nannette Manufacturing Co., Inc. He pays all of his own expenses which totaled about \$20,000.00 for the year and includes \$1,200.00 paid to a "sales assistant". This assistant was hired to handle luggage and displays on trips because of Mr. Reider's age and health. The assistant did no selling.
 - 3. Both Rosenau Brothers, Inc. and Nannette Manufacturing Co., Inc. withheld Federal and New York State income taxes and social security from compensation paid petitioner. They cover him for workmen's compensation unemployment insurance and pension. They have a mandatory retirement age of 65.

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

Petitioner is exempt from tax. On the basis of all the evidence, he is clearly an employee and not an independent contractor. Of

greatest significance in the context of these facts is the prohibition against carrying other lines and the status of petitioner for unemployment insurance, workmen's compensation, social security and pension purposes.

Although he works for two separate corporations, the close relation of those in control of these corporations insure that there is no conflict concerning who has a right to control petitioner's activities. Also the fact that petitioner hires an assistant, in the context of all the facts herein, is entitled to very little weight in rebutting an employee status. (See Tax Law section 703(f).)

DECISION

The petition is granted and the deficiency is cancelled in its entirety.

DATED: Albany, New York

STATE TAX COMMISSION

August 21, 1972.

COMMISSIONER

COMMISSIONER