In the Matter of the Petition of HARRY PRESTON & RAY PRESTON, his wife For a Redetermination of a Deficiency or a Refund of Unincorporated Business Taxes under Article(s) 23 of the Tax Law for the (Year(s) 1962, 1963 &: 1964

State of New York County of Albany

Martha Funaro, being duly sworn, deposes and says that she is an employee of the Department of Taxation and Finance, over 18 years of age, and that on the 3rd day of April , 19 72, she served the within Notice of Decision (or Determination) by (certified) mail upon Harry & Ray Preston, (representative of) the petitioner in the within proceeding, by enclosing a true copy thereof in a securely sealed postpaid wrapper addressed as follows: Harry Preston & Ray Preston 880 Fifth Avenue New York, New York 10021

and by depositing same enclosed in a postpaid properly addressed wrapper in a (post office or official depository) under the exclusive care and custody of the United States Post Office Department within the State of New York.

That deponent further says that the said addressee is the (representative of) petitioner herein and that the address set forth on said wrapper is the last known address of the (representative of the) petitioner.

Sworn to before me this

Martha Februario

3rd day of April

AFFIDAVIT OF MAILING OF NOTICE OF DECISION BY (CERTIFIED) MAIL In the Matter of the Petition of HARRY PRESTON & RAY PRESTON, his wife For a Redetermination of a Deficiency or a Refund of Unincorporated Business: Taxes under Article(s) 23 of the Tax Law for the (Year(s)1962, 1963 & : 1964

AFFIDAVIT OF MAILING OF NOTICE OF DECISION BY (CERTIFIED) MAIL

State of New York County of Albany

Martha Funaro, being duly sworn, deposes and says that she is an employee of the Department of Taxation and Finance, over 18 years of age, and that on the 3rd day of April , 19 72, she served the within Notice of Decision (or Determination) by (certified) mail upon H. Sheldon Kaufman (representative of) the petitioner in the within proceeding, by enclosing a true copy thereof in a securely sealed postpaid wrapper addressed as follows: H. Sheldon Kaufman 1440 Broadway New York, New York 10018

and by depositing same enclosed in a postpaid properly addressed wrapper in a (post office or official depository) under the exclusive care and custody of the United States Post Office Department within the State of New York.

That deponent further says that the said addressee is the (representative of) petitioner herein and that the address set forth on said wrapper is the last known address of the (representative of the) petitioner.

Sworn to before me this 3rd day of April 1972. Maithe Furgase Juge Man Matter



STATE TAX COMMISSION

A. BRUCE MANLEY MILTON KOERNER

NORMAN F. GALLMAN, ACTING PRESIDENT

STATE OF NEW YORK

DEPARTMENT OF TAXATION AND FINANCE

BUILDING 9, ROOM 214A STATE CAMPUS

ALBANY, N. Y. 12226 AREA CODE 518 457-2655, 6, 7

STATE TAX COMMISSION HEARING UNIT

> EDWARD ROOK SECRETARY TO COMMISSION

ADDRESS YOUR REPLY TO

Datad: Albany, New York

April 3, 1972

Harry Preston & Ray Preston 880 Fifth Avenue New York, New York 10021

Dear Mr. & Mrs. Preston:

DECISION Please take notice of the the State Tax Commission enclosed herewith.

of

Please take further notice that pursuant to section 722 of the Tax Law any proceeding in court to review an adverse decision 4 Months must be commenced within after the date of this notice.

Any inquiries concerning the computation of tax due or refund allowed in accordance with this decision or concerning any other matter relating hereto may be addressed to the undersigned. These will be referred to the proper party for reply.

Very truly yours,

Myel & Wright

Nigel G. Wright HEARING OFFICER

cc Petitioner's Representative Law Bureau

STATE OF NEW YORK

STATE TAX COMMISSION

In the Matter of the Petition	:	
of	:	
HARRY PRESTON & RAY PRESTON, his wife	:	DECISION
for a Redetermination of a Deficiency or for Refund of Unincorporated Business	:	
Taxes under Article 23 of the Tax Law for the years 1962, 1963 and 1964.	:	
the years 1902, 1905 and 1904.	:	

Harry Preston and Ray Preston, his wife, filed a petition under section 689 of the Tax Law for the redetermination of deficiencies in unincorporated business taxes under Article 23 of the Tax Law for the years 1962, 1963 and 1964. A hearing was held on November 19, 1970, at the offices of the State Tax Commission, 80 Centre Street, New York City, before Nigel G. Wright, Hearing Officer. H. Sheldon Kaufman, Esq., appeared for petitioners and Edward H. Best, Esq., (Francis X. Boylan, Esq., of Counsel) appeared for the Income Tax Bureau. The record of said hearing has been duly examined and considered.

ISSUE

The issue in this case is whether petitioner is subject to unincorporated business tax when he performs services under contract with a corporation which had bought out his own previous business firm.

FINDINGS OF FACT

1. Prior to July 28, 1961, petitioner was a stockholder and officer of Golden Fleece Tissue Mills, Inc. whose business was

tissue products which it sold mainly to grocery chains under their own house labels. On that date, he, and other shareholders, agreed to sell their stock in Golden Fleece to Standard Packaging Corporation for cash after which Golden Fleece would be liquidated.

2. As part of said agreement, petitioner agreed that for five years he would not, except as an officer or employee of Standard or with Standard's written consent be connected with any company engaged in the tissue business or any other business competitive with the business of Golden Fleece.

3. Also on July 28, 1961, petitioner entered into an agreement to serve as an independent consultant as to duties assigned to him from time to time by Standard. He agreed to devote such time as Standard may reasonably request to the business of Standard, to preserve secrecy as to Standard's affairs and to assign to Standard any inventions, patents, etc. made or conceived during his "term of employment" with Standard. His compensation was to be \$24,000.00 per annum during the "term of employment." The agreement could be terminated for any reason on 60 days notice from Standard and would automatically terminate at petitioner's death.

4. Petitioner was over 65 years of age when he sold his business. He did not become a member of Standard's pension plan and Standard did not withhold social security nor income tax from his remuneration. He was a member of Standard's Blue Shield and Blue Cross plan but did not participate in the Stock Option Plan. Standard would reimburse him for some entertainment expenses but not for entertainment at his own home.

-2-

1

Petitioner went to the office of Standard for a few hours every day. His duties were promotional and he spent most of his time contacting and entertaining the chain grocery stores which had been long-time customers of Golden Fleece.

5. Petitioner's son and son-in-law had also been stockholders and officers of Golden Fleece and had sold out to Standard at the same time. They each entered into contracts for their services with Standard wherein they were designated vice presidents. Thev were eligible for Standard's pension plan and stock options.

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

Α. The petitioner, Harry Preston, was an employee of Standard Packaging Corporation. He was doing much the same work as he had previously been doing before he sold his business. He was not holding himself out to other companies as engaged in his own business and his agreement with Standard assumes that he was subject to their direction and control. (See U.S. Treasury Revenue Ruling 55-695, 55-2 Cum. Bull. 410; compare U.S. Treasury Revenue Ruling 57-10; 57-1 Cum. Bull. 314).

DECISION

The petition is granted and the deficiencies are cancelled.

DATED: Albany, New York

STATE TAX COMMISSION

COMMISSIONER

SSIONER SSIONER

- 3 -