STATE OF NEW YORK STATE TAX COMMISSION

In the Matter of the Petition : of : ROBERT F. OAKS • For a Redetermination of a Deficiency or a Refund of Unincorporated Business:

Tax Law for the (Year(s) 1961 and 1962.

OF NOTICE OF DECISION BY (CERTIFIED) MAIL

AFFIDAVIT OF MAILING

State of New York County of Albany

Taxes under Article(s) 23

Lynn Wilson , being duly sworn, deposes and says that she is an employee of the Department of Taxation and Finance, over 18 years of , 19 72, she served the within age, and that on the 6th day of April Notice of Decision (or Determination) by (certified) mail upon ROBERT F. OAKS (representative of) the petitioner in the within

proceeding, by enclosing a true copy thereof in a securely sealed postpaid

of the

wrapper addressed as follows: Mr. Robert F. Oaks 457 Parker Avenue Buffalo, New York 14216

and by depositing same enclosed in a postpaid properly addressed wrapper in a (post office or official depository) under the exclusive care and custody of the United States Post Office Department within the State of New York.

That deponent further says that the said addressee is the (representative of) petitioner herein and that the address set forth on said wrapper is the last known address of the (representative of the) petitioner.

Sworn to before me this

6th day of April , 1972 Lynn Wilson

STATE OF NEW YORK STATE TAX COMMISSION

> In the Matter of the Petition of ROBERT F. OAKS

For a Redetermination of a Deficiency or a Refund of Unincorporated Business: Taxes under Article(s) 23 of the Tax Law for the (Year(s) 1961 and 1962

State of New York County of Albany

Lynn Wilson , being duly sworn, deposes and says that she is an employee of the Department of Taxation and Finance, over 18 years of age, and that on the 6th day of April , 19 72, she served the within Notice of Decision (or Determination) by (certified) mail upon ROBERT J. HELLERER, ESQ. (representative of) the petitioner in the within proceeding, by enclosing a true copy thereof in a securely sealed postpaid wrapper addressed as follows: Robert J. Hellerer, Esq. 906 Genesee Building Buffalo, New York 14202

:

•

and by depositing same enclosed in a postpaid properly addressed wrapper in a (post office or official depository) under the exclusive care and custody of the United States Post Office Department within the State of New York.

That deponent further says that the said addressee is the (representative of) petitioner herein and that the address set forth on said wrapper is the last known address of the (representative of the) petitioner.

Sworn to before me this

Jupin Wilson

6th day of April , 1972

AFFIDAVIT OF MAILING OF NOTICE OF DECISION BY (CERTIFIED) MAIL



STATE OF NEW YORK DEPARTMENT OF TAXATION AND FINANCE

STATE TAX COMMISSION HEARING UNIT

STATE TAX COMMISSION

NORMAN F. GALLMAN, PRESIDENT A. BRUCE MANLEY MILTON KOERNER BUILDING 9, ROOM 214A STATE CAMPUS ALBANY, N. Y. 12227

> AREA CODE 518 457-2655,6,7

DATED: Albany, New York April 6, 1972

Mr. Robert F. Oaks 457 Parker Avenue Buffalo, New York 14216

Dear Mr. Oaks:

Please take notice of the **DECISION** the State Tax Commission enclosed herewith.

Please take further notice that pursuant to section(s) 722 of the Tax Law any proceeding in court to review an adverse decision must be commenced within **4 months** after the date of this notice.

Any inquiries concerning the computation of tax due or refund allowed in accordance with this decision or concerning any other matter relating hereto may be addressed to the undersigned. These will be referred to the proper party for reply.

Very truly yours,

L. Robert Leisner

of

Hearing Officer

cc Petitioner's Representative Law Bureau EDWARD ROOK SECRETARY TO COMMISSION

ADDRESS YOUR REPLY TO

STATE OF NEW YORK

STATE TAX COMMISSION

In the Matter of the Petition	:	
of	:	
ROBERT F. OAKS	:	DECISION
for a Redetermination of a Deficiency or for Refund of Unincorporated Business	:	
Taxes under Article 23 of the Tax Law for the Years 1961 and 1962.	:	
	:	

Robert F. Oaks petitioned for a redetermination of deficiencies in unincorporated business tax under Article 23 of the Tax Law for the years 1961 and 1962.

A formal hearing was held at the offices of the State Tax Commission, Buffalo, New York on June 21, 1971, before L. Robert Leisner, Hearing Officer. The taxpayer was represented by Robert J. Hellerer, Esq., and the Income Tax Bureau was represented by Edward H. Best, Esq., (Alexander Weiss, Esq., of Counsel).

ISSUE

Were the activities of Robert F. Oaks as a sales agent in 1961 and 1962 subject to the unincorporated business tax under section 703 of the Tax Law?

FINDINGS OF FACT

1. Petitioner, Robert F. Oaks, timely filed New York State income tax returns for the years 1961 and 1962 but did not file unincorporated business tax returns for the years 1961 and 1962.

2. A Notice of Determination of deficiencies in unincorporated business taxes for the years 1961 and 1962 was issued on June 7, 1965,

ر سے against Robert F. Oaks under File No. 2-5591540. The taxpayer petitioned for redetermination of the deficiencies.

. .

3. The petitioner was a manufacturer's representative during all the years in issue.

4. Petitioner represented six companies during the years in issue.

5. Petitioner did not maintain an office and had no employees.

6. The petitioner used the stationery of the various companies he represented. His name did not appear on the stationery.

7. Petitioner was required to submit weekly report sheets to the Metal Removal Company. He was required by it to attend several trade shows. He also was required to attend to service problems and to make special calls when directed by the company.

8. Petitioner worked on his own with little supervision from any of his principals. His hours were not definitely established.

 There was no arrangement between principals as to division of time.

10. During each year petitioner filed a Schedule "C" with his federal return with business income and expenses.

11. None of the companies withheld social security taxes or income taxes.

12. None of the companies gave petitioner a paid vacation.

DECISION

A. With respect to an unincorporated business, the issue of employer-employee relationship is a question of control in the absence of which there can be no finding of employment. A salesman

- 2 -

is not an employee where he is not subject to direction and control as to his activities and the manner in which he is to make sales by the concerns whose products he sells. Hardy v. Murphy 29 A.D. 2d 1038, 289 N.Y.S. 2d 694; Frishman v. Tax Commission, 33 A.D. 2d 1071, 307 N.Y.S. 2d 609.

Petitioner's case fails to show that he is under the direction в. and control of the firms whose products he sells. Petitioner's case fails to show any error in the Income Tax Bureau's determination that petitioner is operating an unincorporated business under the Tax Law and that his income is subject to unincorporated business tax.

C. Petitioner's business income as a salesman is subject to unincorporated business tax.

The deficiencies for 1961 and 1962 determined under File D. No. 2-5591540 are correct and are sustained.

Pursuant to section 684 of the Tax Law, interest shall be Ε. added to the total amount due until the date of payment.

DATED: Albany, New York april 6, 1972.

1 . . . ·

STATE TAX COMMISSION

man Geilinan

COMMISSIONER

Kvenne

COMMISSIONER

- 3 -