In the Matter of the Petition

of

ESTATE OF THOMAS LUCKESE

For a Redetermination of a Deficiency or a Refund of Unincorporated Business; Taxes under Article(s) 23 of the Tax Law for the (Year(s) 1963, 1964: and 1965

AFFIDAVIT OF MAILING OF NOTICE OF DECISION BY (CERTIFIED) MAIL

State of New York County of Albany

Lynn Wilson , being duly sworn, deposes and says that

she is an employee of the Department of Taxation and Finance, over 18 years of

age, and that on the 19th day of September , 1972, she served the within

Notice of Decision (or Determination) by (certified) mail upon ESTATE OF THOMAS

LUCKESE, ROBERT T. LUCKESE, (representative of) the petitioner in the within

EXECUTOR

proceeding, by enclosing a true copy thereof in a securely sealed postpaid

Estate of Thomas Luckese

wrapper addressed as follows: Robert T. Luckese, Executor

74 Royat Street

Lido Beach, New York

and by depositing same enclosed in a postpaid properly addressed wrapper in a (post office or official depository) under the exclusive care and custody of the United States Post Office Department within the State of New York.

That deponent further says that the said addressee is the (representative of) petitioner herein and that the address set forth on said wrapper is the last known address of the (representative of the) petitioner.

Sworn to before me this

19thday of September , 1972.

Lynn Wilson

In the Matter of the Petition

of

ESTATE OF THOMAS LUCKESE

AFFIDAVIT OF MAILING OF NOTICE OF DECISION BY (CERTIFIED) MAIL

For a Redetermination of a Deficiency or a Refund of Unincorporated Business: Taxes under Article(s) 23 of the Tax Law for the (Year(s) 1963, 1964: and 1965

State of New York County of Albany

Lynn Wilson , being duly sworn, deposes and says that she is an employee of the Department of Taxation and Finance, over 18 years of age, and that on the 19th day of September , 19 72, she served the within Notice of Decision (or Determination) by (certified) mail upon AMEDEO L.

LAURITANO, ESQ. (representative of) the petitioner in the within proceeding, by enclosing a true copy thereof in a securely sealed postpaid wrapper addressed as follows: Amedeo L. Lauritano, Esq.

205 West 34th Street
New York, New York 10001

and by depositing same enclosed in a postpaid properly addressed wrapper in a (post office or official depository) under the exclusive care and custedy of the United States Post Office Department within the State of New York.

That deponent further says that the said addressee is the (representative of) petitioner herein and that the address set forth on said wrapper is the last known address of the (representative of the) petitioner.

Sworn to before me this

19thday of September , 1972.

Lynn Wilson



STATE OF NEW YORK

DEPARTMENT OF TAXATION AND FINANCE

BUILDING 9, ROOM 214A STATE CAMPUS

ALBANY, N. Y. 12227

AREA CODE 518 457-2655, 6, 7 STATE TAX COMMISSION
HEARING UNIT

EDWARD ROOK
SECRETARY TO
COMMISSION

ADDRESS YOUR REPLY TO

STATE TAX COMMISSION

NORMAN F. GALLMAN, PRESIDENT
A. BRUCE MANLEY
MILTON KOERNER

DATED:

Albany, New York

September 19, 1972

Estate of Thomas Luckese Robert T. Luckese, Executor 74 Royat Street Lido Beach, New York

Dear Mr. Luckese:

Please take notice of the **DECISION** the State Tax Commission enclosed herewith.

of

ut Leiner

Please take further notice that pursuant to the Tax Law any proceeding in court to review an adverse decision must be commenced within after the date of this notice.

Any inquiries concerning the computation of tax due or refund allowed in accordance with this decision or concerning any other matter relating hereto may be addressed to the undersigned. These will be referred to the proper party for reply.

Very truly yours

L. Robert Leisner HEARING OFFICER

cc Petitioner's Representative
Law Bureau

STATE OF NEW YORK

STATE TAX COMMISSION

In the Matter of the Petition

of

ESTATE OF THOMAS LUCKESE

DECISION

for a Redetermination of a Deficiency or for Refund of Unincorporated Business Taxes under Article 23 of the Tax Law for the Years 1963, 1964 and 1965.

The taxpayer petitioned for a redetermination of deficiencies in unincorporated business taxes under Article 23 of the Tax Law for the years 1963, 1964 and 1965.

A formal hearing was held at the offices of the State Tax

Commission, 80 Centre Street, New York, New York on December 9, 1971,

before L. Robert Leisner, Hearing Officer. The taxpayer was

represented by Amedeo Lauritano, Esq., and the Income Tax Bureau

was represented by Edward H. Best, Esq. (Alexander Weiss, Esq., of

Counsel).

ISSUE

Was income of the taxpayer from Inwood Fashions, Inc., Oneonta Dress Co., Inc., State Fabrics Co., Antwood Associates, Inc. and Sherwood Fashions, Inc. subject to unincorporated business tax during the years involved?

FINDINGS OF FACT

- 1. Petitioner, Thomas Luckese, timely filed New York State income tax returns and unincorporated business tax returns for the years 1963, 1964 and 1965.
- 2. A Notice of Determination of deficiencies in unincorporated business tax for the years 1963, 1964 and 1965 was issued on January 22, 1968, against Thomas Luckese under File No. 46248670.

- 3. The taxpayer petitioned for redetermination of the deficiencies.
- 4. During the years in issue the taxpayer filed unincorporated business tax returns for income and losses from Laurie Sportswear Co. and Navarro Dress Co. both of which had sales of over several hundred thousand dollars, large payrolls for labor, depreciation, taxes, interest, factory expense, light, power, etc.
- 5. The Income Tax Bureau asserted that taxpayer's salaries received from other companies and corporations was subject to unincorporated business tax.
- 6. The taxpayer had a salary of \$1,050.00 weekly, from Sherwood Fashions, Inc. and a salary of \$833.33 monthly from Antwood Associates, Inc. Sherwood Fashions, Inc. sold ladies dresses and contracted out for their production, and the taxpayer arranged the production contracts. Antwood Associates, Inc. did the cutting of dress sections. Inwood Fashions, Inc. made dresses, sewing the parts together. Oneonta Dress Co. put dresses together, State Fabrics Co. also contracted to put dresses together. The taxpayer's duties with Sherwood Fashions, Inc. were to find factories that would make dresses, see to it that the work got to them, schedule it for delivery, keep a supply of trimming, visit the factory and report to Sherwood Fashions, Inc. at all times,he was the liason man between Sherwood Fashions, Inc. and the contractor. The taxpayer had a written employment contract with Sherwood Fashions, Inc. with retirement and termination benefits.
- 7. The taxpayer's regular salary from both Sherwood Fashions, Inc. and Antwood Associates, Inc. had deductions for Federal and New York State withholding taxes, social security and other payroll deductions during the years in issue.

- 8. The taxpayer also received salaries from Inwood Fashions, Inc., Oneonta Dress Co. Inc., and State Fabrics Co., with deductions for Federal and New York State withholding taxes, social security and other payroll deductions during the years in issue. He received these salaries for lining up contracts and work for these concerns with other dress companies and seeing that production was good.
- 9. The taxpayer was a key employee of these concerns, but he did not own or control the concerns, their policies, facilities or properties.

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

- A. Thomas Luckese was an employee of Inwood Fashions, Inc., Oneonta Dress Co., Inc., State Fabrics Co., Antwood Associates, Inc. and Sherwood Fashions, Inc. His work on their behalf was not subject to unincorporated business tax.
- B. The taxpayer's petition is sustained and it is determined that there is no deficiency in unincorporated business tax for the years in issue.

DATED: Albany, New York September 19, 1972

STATE TAX COMMISSION

COMMISSIONER

COMMISSIONER

COMMISSIONED