In the Matter of the Petition

of

IRVING LOBELL

AFFIDAVIT OF MAILING OF NOTICE OF DECISION BY (CERTIFIED) MAIL

For a Redetermination of a Deficiency or a Refund of Unincorporated Business: Taxes under Article(s) 23 Tax Law for the (Year(s) 1962 through:

State of New York County of Albany

, being duly sworn, deposes and says that Lynn Wilson she is an employee of the Department of Taxation and Finance, over 18 years of , 19 72, she served the within age, and that on the 23rd day of June Notice of Decision (or Determination) by (certified) mail upon IRVING LOBELL (representative of) the petitioner in the within

proceeding, by enclosing a true copy thereof in a securely sealed postpaid wrapper addressed as follows:

Irving Lobell 1776 Mt. Everest Lane Toms River, New Jersey 08753

and by depositing same enclosed in a postpaid properly addressed wrapper in a (post office or official depository) under the exclusive care and custody of the United States Post Office Department within the State of New York.

That deponent further says that the said addressee is the (representative of) petitioner herein and that the address set forth on said wrapper is the last known address of the (representative of the) petitioner.

Sworn to before me this

23rd day of June , 1972 Lynn Wilson

In the Matter of the Petition

IRVING LOBELL

AFFIDAVIT OF MAILING OF NOTICE OF DECISION BY (CERTIFIED) MAIL

For a Redetermination of a Deficiency or a Refund of Unincorporated Business: Taxes under Article(s) 23 of the Tax Law for the (Year(s) 1962 through:

State of New York County of Albany

, being duly sworn, deposes and says that Lynn Wilson she is an employee of the Department of Taxation and Finance, over 18 years of , 19 72, she served the within age, and that on the 23rd day of June Notice of Decision (or Determination) by (certified) mail upon SEYMOUR S. KANE, ESQ. and HARVEY (representative of) the petitioner in the within SCHEIN, ESQ. proceeding, by enclosing a true copy thereof in a securely sealed postpaid wrapper addressed as follows: Seymour S. Kane, Esq. and Harvey Schein, Esq. 51 Madison Avenue New York, New York 10010

and by depositing same enclosed in a postpaid properly addressed wrapper in a (post office or official depository) under the exclusive care and custody of the United States Post Office Department within the State of New York.

That deponent further says that the said addressee is the (representative of) petitioner herein and that the address set forth on said wrapper is the last known address of the (representative of the) petitioner.

Sworn to before me this

23rd day of June , 1972 Kal Jammenna

Lynn Wilson



STATE TAX COMMISSION

STATE OF NEW YORK

DEPARTMENT OF TAXATION AND FINANCE

BUILDING 9, ROOM 214A STATE CAMPUS ALBANY, N. Y. 12226

> AREA CODE 518 457-2655, 6, 7

STATE TAX COMMISSION HEARING UNIT

EDWARD ROOK
SECRETARY TO
COMMISSION

ADDRESS YOUR REPLY TO

NORMAN F. GALLMAN, ACTING PRESIDENT
A. BRUCE MANLEY

MILTON KOERNER

DATED

Albany, New York

June 23, 1972

Irving Lobell 1776 Mt. Everest Lane Toms River, New Jersey

08753

Dear Mr. Lobell:

Please take notice of the **DECISION** of the State Tax Commission enclosed herewith.

Please take further notice that pursuant to Section(s) 722 of the Tax Law, any proceeding in court to review an adverse decision must be commenced within 4 months from the date of this notice.

Any inquiries concerning the computation of tax due or refund allowed in accordance with this decision or concerning any other matter relative hereto may be addressed to the undersigned. These will be referred to the proper party for reply.

Very truly yours,

Wyl Thought

Nigel G. Wright HEARING OFFICER

Enc.

Petitioner's Representative Law Bureau

STATE OF NEW YORK

STATE TAX COMMISSION

In the Matter of the Petition

of

IRVING LOBELL

DECISION

for the Redetermination of Deficiencies or for Refund of Unincorporated Business Taxes due under Article 23 of the Tax Law for the Years 1962 through 1965.

:

Irving Lobell filed a petition under sections 722 and 689 of
the Tax Law for the redetermination of deficiencies in unincorporated
business tax imposed by Article 23 of the Tax Law for the years 1962
through 1965. A hearing was held on April 7, 1971, before Nigel G.
Wright, Hearing Officer, at the offices of the State Tax Commission,
80 Centre Street, New York, New York. Edward H. Best, Esq.,
(Francis X. Boylan, Esq., of Counsel) appeared for the Income Tax
Bureau and Harvey Schein, Esq., represented the petitioner.

ISSUE

The issue in this case is whether petitioner, an outside salesman, is an employee exempt from unincorporated business tax or an independent contractor subject to tax.

FINDINGS OF FACT

1. Mr. Lobell is a multiple line salesman of women's clothes. In the years in question he sold primarily for Ira Rentner, Inc. of 498 Seventh Avenue, New York, New York, and had three sidelines; Illsa Engel, Hong Kong House, and Sweetlo of Hong Kong.

- 2. Mr. Lobell has been a salesman for Ira Rentner, Inc. of 498 Seventh Avenue, New York, New York, from 1950. He received a guaranteed salary of \$150 a week against commissions of 5% on sales. He was not reimbursed for expenses. He reported directly to Ira Rentner.
- 3. Mr. Lobell covered a territory for Rentner from Washington, D.C., and Baltimore, Maryland to Massachusetts, Connecticut and Rhode Island including Philadelphia and upstate New York. It did not include New York City, Long Island or New Jersey. Rentner had three or four other salesmen to cover the rest of the country.
- 4. Rentner had four "lines" each year, one for each season.

 At the start of each seasonal line, Mr. Lobell would be in the Rentner showroom for 3 1/2 or 4 weeks. He received commissions on all sales made in the showroom to customers in his territory.

 After each show, Mr. Lobell would go on the road to contact people who had not come to the show.
- 5. Rentner did not withhold taxes or social security from Mr. Lobell's compensation during the years in question although prior to 1952, Rentner had withheld. In 1966, Mr. Lobell prevailed upon Rentner to begin withholding again. In 1965, Elsa Engel withheld taxes at Mr. Lobell's request.
- 6. Mr. Lobell used space in his home to store records and deducted the expense thereof on his income tax return.
- 7. Mr. Lobell employed no assistants during these years except for his daughter whomhe had employed for two months for family reasons.

8. Mr. Lobell averaged a net income of \$17,000 to \$18,000 each year. In 1964, Mr. Lobell had gross receipts of \$24,401 and expenses of \$7,314 reported on Federal Schedule"C". These included \$5,615 for travel. He paid self-employment tax on all of his Schedule "C" income. He estimates that 75% of his sales were for Rentner but he has submitted no more specific breakdown of sales.

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

Petitioner has not carried the burden of proof that he is an employee and exempt from tax. The withholding of taxes by a principal especially if done at the request of the taxpayer, is not itself sufficient to show that in fact the required employer-employee relationship existed so as to justify either the withholding or an exemption from the unincorporated business tax. There is not the slightest doubt that Mr. Lobell was independent with respect to his sidelines.

The failure of Mr. Lobell to submit records showing his earnings from his main line separately from his sidelines creates doubt that Mr. Lobell considered his status with respect to his main line to be any different from his status with respect to his sidelines and, in any event, makes a computation of tax on only his sidelines income impossible.

DECISION

The petition is denied and the deficiencies are affirmed together with such interest, if any, as may be due under section 684 of the Tax Law.

DATED: Albany, New York

June 23, 1972.

STATE TAX COMMISSION

Mure

COMMISSIONER

COMMISSIONER