of LENZNER BROTHERS

AFFIDAVIT OF MAILING OF NOTICE OF DECISION BY (CERTIFIED) MAIL

For a Redetermination of a Deficiency or a Refund of Unincorporated Business: Taxes under Article(s) 16-A of the Tax Law for the (Year(s) 1954 and 1956)

State of New York County of Albany

Rae Zimmerman, being duly sworn, deposes and says that
she is an employee of the Department of Taxation and Finance, over 18 years of
age, and that on the 10th day of May, 1972, she served the within
Notice of Decision (or Determination) by (certified) mail upon
Lenzner Brothers (representative of) the petitioner in the within

proceeding, by enclosing a true copy thereof in a securely sealed postpaid Lenzner Brothers

wrapper addressed as follows:

c/o Leonard A. Shair

900 Bay Drive

Miami Beach, Florida 33141

and by depositing same enclosed in a postpaid properly addressed wrapper in a (post office or official depository) under the exclusive care and custody of the United States Post Office Department within the State of New York.

That deponent further says that the said addressee is the (representative of) petitioner herein and that the address set forth on said wrapper is the last known address of the (representative of the) petitioner.

Sworn to before me this

10thday of May , 1972

n Wilson

Kal Jimmerman

of

WILLIAM LENZNER

AFFIDAVIT OF MAILING OF NOTICE OF DECISION BY (CERTIFIED) MAIL

For a Redetermination of a Deficiency or a Refund of Personal Income : Taxes under Article(s) 16 of the Tax Law for the (Year(s) 1954 & 1956 :

State of New York County of Albany

Rae Zimmerman , being duly sworn, deposes and says that she is an employee of the Department of Taxation and Finance, over 18 years of age, and that on the 10th day of May , 19 72, she served the within Notice of Decision (or Determination) by (certified) mail upon

William Lenzner (representative of) the petitioner in the within proceeding, by enclosing a true copy thereof in a securely sealed postpaid William Lenzner wrapper addressed as follows: c/o Leonard A. Shair 900 Bay Drive

and by depositing same enclosed in a postpaid properly addressed wrapper in a (post office or official depository) under the exclusive care and custody of the United States Post Office Department within the State of New York.

Miami Beach, Florida

That deponent further says that the said addressee is the (representative of) petitioner herein and that the address set forth on said wrapper is the last known address of the (representative of the) petitioner.

Sworn to before me this

10thday of May , 192.

nn Wilson

Ral Jammerman

of

LOUIS LENZNER, JR.

AFFIDAVIT OF MAILING OF NOTICE OF DECISION BY (CERTIFIED) MAIL

For a Redetermination of a Deficiency or a Refund of Personal Income: Taxes under Article(s) 16 of the Tax Law for the (Year(s) 1954 and 1956)

State of New York County of Albany

Rae Zimmerman , being duly sworn, deposes and says that she is an employee of the Department of Taxation and Finance, over 18 years of age, and that on the $10 \, \text{th}$ day of May , $19 \, 72$, she served the within Notice of Decision (or Determination) by (certified) mail upon

Louis Lenzner, Jr. (representative of) the petitioner in the within proceeding, by enclosing a true copy thereof in a securely sealed postpaid Louis Lenzner, Jr. wrapper addressed as follows: c/o Leonard A. Shair

900 Bay Drive Miami Beach, Florida 33141

and by depositing same enclosed in a postpaid properly addressed wrapper in a (post office or official depository) under the exclusive care and custody of the United States Post Office Department within the State of New York.

That deponent further says that the said addressee is the (representative of) petitioner herein and that the address set forth on said wrapper is the last known address of the (representative of the) petitioner.

Sworn to before me this

10thday of May , 1972

Kal Jimmenna

of LENZNER BROTHERS

AFFIDAVIT OF MAILING OF NOTICE OF DECISION BY (CERTIFIED) MAIL

For a Redetermination of a Deficiency or a Refund of Unincorporated Business Taxes under Article(s)16-A of the Tax Law for the (Year(s) 1954 & 1956:

State of New York County of Albany

Rae Zimmerman, being duly sworn, deposes and says that she is an employee of the Department of Taxation and Finance, over 18 years of age, and that on the 10th day of May, 1972, she served the within Notice of Decision (or Determination) by (certified) mail upon Leonard A. Shair (representative of) the petitioner in the within proceeding, by enclosing a true copy thereof in a securely sealed postpaid

wrapper addressed as follows: Leonard A. Shair
900 Bay Drive
Miami Beach, Florida 33141

and by depositing same enclosed in a postpaid properly addressed wrapper in a (post office or official depository) under the exclusive care and custody of the United States Post Office Department within the State of New York.

That deponent further says that the said addressee is the (representative of) petitioner herein and that the address set forth on said wrapper is the last known address of the (representative of the) petitioner.

Sworn to before me this

10th day of May , 1972.

Rae Jemmennen



STATE OF NEW YORK DEPARTMENT OF TAXATION AND FINANCE

STATE TAX COMMISSION

EDWARD ROOK SECRETARY TO COMMISSION

ADDRESS YOUR REPLY TO

STATE TAX COMMISSION

NORMAN F. GALLMAN, PRESIDENT
A. BRUCE MANLEY
MILTON KOERNER

STATE CAMPUS
ALBANY, N. Y. 12227
AREA CODE 518

457-2655, 6, 7

DATED:

Albany, New York May 10, 1972

Lenguer Brothers c/o Leonard A. Shair 900 Bay Drive Miami Beach, Florida 33141

Gentlemen:

Please take notice of the **Determination** the State Tax Commission enclosed herewith.

οf

Please take further notice that pursuant to section(s)
of the Tax Law any proceeding
in court to review an adverse decision must be commenced
within after the date of this notice.

Any inquiries concerning the computation of tax due or refund allowed in accordance with this decision or concerning any other matter relating hereto may be addressed to the undersigned. These will be referred to the proper party for reply.

Very truly yours,

Nigel G. Wright

Hearing Officer

cc Petitioner's Representative Law Bureau



STATE OF NEW YORK DEPARTMENT OF TAXATION AND FINANCE

STATE TAX COMMISSION HEARING UNIT

EDWARD ROOK
SECRETARY TO
COMMISSION

ADDRESS YOUR REPLY TO

STATE TAX COMMISSION

NORMAN F. GALLMAN, PRESIDENT
A. BRUCE MANLEY
MILTON KOERNER

STATE CAMPUS ALBANY, N. Y. 12227 AREA CODE 518

457-2655, 6, 7

Dated:

Albany, New York

May 10, 1972

William Lenguer c/o Leonard A. Shair 900 Bay Drive Miami Beach, Florida 33141

Dear Sir.

Please take notice of the **Determination** the State Tax Commission enclosed herewith.

οf

Please take further notice that pursuant to section(s)

375 of the Tax Law any proceeding
in court to review an adverse decision must be commenced
within 90 days after the date of this notice.

Any inquiries concerning the computation of tax due or refund allowed in accordance with this decision or concerning any other matter relating hereto may be addressed to the undersigned. These will be referred to the proper party for reply.

Very truly yours,

Nigel G. WrightHearing Officer

cc Petitioner's Representative Law Bureau



STATE OF NEW YORK DEPARTMENT OF TAXATION AND FINANCE

STATE TAX COMMISSION

EDWARD ROOK SECRETARY TO COMMISSION

ADDRESS: YOUR REPLY TO

STATE TAX COMMISSION

NORMAN F. GALLMAN, PRESIDENT
A. BRUCE MANLEY
MILTON KOERNER

STATE CAMPUS
ALBANY, N. Y. 12227
AREA CODE 518
457-2655, 6, 7

Darro Albany, New York

May 10, 1972

Lonis Leazner, Jr. c/o Leonard A. Shair 900 Bay Drive Miami Beach, Florida 33141

Dear Sire

Please take notice of the **Determination** the State Tax Commission enclosed herewith.

οf

Please take further notice that pursuant to section(s)
of the Tax Law any proceeding
in court to review an adverse decision must be commenced
within 90 days after the date of this notice.

Any inquiries concerning the computation of tax due or refund allowed in accordance with this decision or concerning any other matter relating hereto may be addressed to the undersigned. These will be referred to the proper party for reply.

Very truly yours,

Nigel G. Wright Hearing Officer

cc Petitioner's Representative
Law Bureau

STATE TAX COMMISSION

In the Matter of the Application

of

WILLIAM LENZNER AND LOUIS LENZNER, individually and as co-partners d/b/u the firm name and style of LENZNER BROTHERS

for Revision or Refund of Unincorporated Business Taxes under Article 16-A of the Tax Law for the Years 1954 and 1956

In the Matter of the Application

of

WILLIAM LENZNER

DETERMINATION

for Revision or Refund of Personal Income Taxes under Article 16 of the Tax Law for the Years 1954 and 1956

In the Matter of the Application

of

LOUIS LENZNER JR.

for Revision of Refund of Personal Income Taxes under Article 16 of the Tax Law for the Years 1954 and 1956

The taxpayers having filed applications for revision of additional assessments of unincorporated business taxes under Article 16-A of the Tax Law for the years 1954 and 1956 and of personal income taxes under Article 16 of the Tax Law for the years 1954 and 1956, and such applications having been denied and a hearing having been demanded and duly held, and the record having been duly examined and considered,

The State Tax Commission hereby

FINDS:

1. The sole issue herein is whether assessments may be made under Tax Law Section 373 more than three years after returns



XIVI WIR VO. MINE

Bleathance say areas

ton Levisor of Action is a formation of the control of the Santage of the Tark Local Control of the Cartage of

colubbations at the reduct with all

+4-

ang palaman nga sa bala banda da mada ka palama da kalama na palama ka balangan ngangan at sa kabana a ka kaba Bala mangan ka mangan mangan sa mangan ka saga mangangan na kabana na ka mangan na ka mangan mangan ka manganka

THE PROPERTY OF THE PARTY OF TH

nessen per encourage de la mente de la moderna de la composition della composition d

.

RESTRICTED TO TRANSFER

I so to the season of the season of the

THE CONTRACT CONTRACT

in Fertelland of the industrial for the continue of the contin

ed on the set of agenteed forms occorded to remember the politicity of the set of the se

The second section of the second second second second

的复数使用多种复数形式

SAME

en de la company de la com La company de la company d are filed because of an omission of 25% of gross income where the amount omitted from gross income was included in net capital gain on the same return or on a related return.

- 2. Lenzner Brothers filed a 1954 unincorporated business tax and partnership return (IT-204) on November 1, 1955. This showed total income from business of \$19,443.44 and net income from business of \$15,554.75. This further showed the partner's distributive share of net income to be \$16,393.44 and of net capital gain to be \$85,241.69, each divided equally between the partners. Included in the capital gain was the amount of \$68,632.08 which had been reported on Schedule D of the partnership portion of the return.
- 3. A notice of additional assessment was issued October 24, 1962, under a one-year extension valid under Tax Law 373(5), adding to unincorporated business tax net income the amount of \$68,632.08, which had been reported on Schedule D of the return as capital gain.
- 4. The 1954 personal income tax returns of William Lenzner and Louis Lenzner were each filed on or about November 1, 1955, and each reported net income of \$7,696.72 and net capital gain of \$42,620.84. Included in each return was \$8,196.72 which was reported as income received from the partnership of Lenzner Brothers, care of L. A. Shair, 17 East 45 Street, New York 17, New York and \$42,620.84, reported as capital gain from a partnership.
- 5. Notices of additional assessment for 1954 were issued to each partner on October 24, 1962, under a one-year extension valid under Tax Law Section 373(5). Each assessment transferred from capital gain to normal tax the amount of \$34,316.04 being each partner's distributive share of the amount similarly treated on the partnership assessment. The additional tax due from each was \$1,178.46.

the Amount constant to be selected of 25% of gross inches a caller.

The Amount control is a gross through the inches to a selected the same of the same callers.

- 2. Europen Spother Entropy of the Constitution of the Social Constitution of the success of carried the team of the Constitution of the Constituti
- eninte : () Villandisch det sind villa van versche ver
- F. The 1913 meaning to the less than the les
 - S. Fobice of additional assessment for the entropy of the entropy

- and partnership return (IT-204) on July 22, 1957. It showed for unincorporated business tax a total income from business of \$4,209.18 and total netincome of \$3,367.34. It further reported distributive shares totaling \$4,209.18 for net income and \$21,812.43 for capital gain. (While the capital gain is divided evenly between the partners, the net income was distributed \$3,404.59 to William Lenzner and \$804.59 to Louis Lenzner.) Included in the capital gains were the amounts of \$8,522.81 and \$5,600.00 which had been fully reported on Schedule D of the partnership portion of the return.
- 7. A notice of additional assessment for 1956 was issued on October 24, 1962, adding to unincorporated tax net income the amounts of \$8,522.81 and \$5,600.00 representing amounts previously reported as capital gain.
- 8. The 1956 returns of William Lenzner and Louis Lenzner were filed on or about July 22, 1957. William Lenzner reported net income of \$3,064.13, and Louis Lenzner reported net income of "none". Each reported net capital gain of \$10,906.21. Included in the net income of each was the distributive share each received from the paramership (\$3,404.59 for William Lenzner and \$804.59 for Louis Lenzner) which was reported as income from the partnership of Lenzner Brothers, care of L.A. Shair, 17 East 45th Street. Also included was \$10,906.21 reported as capital gain from a partnership.
- 9. A notice of additional assessment was issued against each partner on October 24, 1962, transferring from capital gain to ordinary income the amount of \$7,061.40 representing one-half of the amount similarly treated on the partnership assessment. The additional tax due was \$73.66 from William Lenzner and \$30.01 from Louis Lenzner.
- 10. The income subject to assessment was fully disclosed on the partnership returns which themselves were attached to the unincorporated business tax returns and referred to by cross Feference in the

7. A retide of auditional assessed in 1950 was isauci on gerchar 24, 1967, was isauci on gerchar 24, 1967, adding the encomposition in the careform and the encomposition of the

g. The 1916 request of William include and low level of the constant income to the constant income is and lower that include the include the include the include the include the constant include the include the constant included the constant include the constant included the constant incl

9. A notical of additional assessment was decode spained south in antimer on actors is all to assess the continuer of the angular of the continuer and the continuer of the angular of the angular of the angular of the angular of the tioner tax does as the tioner.

edu sa loccionil e la la describira de la companda del companda de la companda de la companda de

personal income tax returns.

Upon the foregoing findings and all the evidence in the case,
The State Tax Commission

DETERMINES:

- A. The three-year assessment period applies where there is adequate disclosure of the asserted additional income in question.

 All returns here in question give such disclosure (See Genevieve B. Walker v C.I.R. 46 T.C. 630).
- B. The application for revision is granted, and the assessments are cancelled in full.

DATED: Albany, New York

may 10, 197V

STATE TAX COMMISSION

COMMISSIONER

COMMISSIONER

COMMISSIONER

derecasi income cas cotures.

The the foresting findings and all the arthonomica to the sect. The State War donnerator

THE BENEFITS OF

A. The Chreat-veet absorbment period soullis where this is a season.

Shequate displaying of the heartred add tion in mastin question.

All returns here in contribut give ruth disclicate (Sec Generales).

Walker v o rik. 20 red 500).

F. The audication for vertainn is grated, and the assertance asserting

Henry will grand A course

7 may 10 1/2 V

WOISSIMANC KAI WIFE WAS STONE

REMOTERING

jaja saraki

2.1901321343