#### STATE OF NEW YORK STATE TAX COMMISSION

In the Matter of the Petition of MARVIN HOLLAND For a Redetermination of a Deficiency or a Refund of Unincorporated Business: Taxes under Article(s) 23 of the Tax Law for the (Year(s) 1964 :

AFFIDAVIT OF MAILING OF NOTICE OF DECISION BY (CERTIFIED) MAIL

State of New York County of Albany

Martha Funaro , being duly sworn, deposes and says that she is an employee of the Department of Taxation and Finance, over 18 years of age, and that on the 26th day of July , 1972 , she served the within Notice of Decision (or Determination) by (certified) mail upon Marvin Holland (representative of) the petitioner in the within

proceeding, by enclosing a true copy thereof in a securely sealed postpaid wrapper addressed as follows: Marvin Holland 2749 Covered Bridge Road

Merrick, New York 11566

and by depositing same enclosed in a postpaid properly addressed wrapper in a (post office or official depository) under the exclusive care and custody of the United States Post Office Department within the State of New York.

That deponent further says that the said addressee is the (representative of) petitioner herein and that the address set forth on said wrapper is the last known address of the (representative of the) petitioner.

Sworn to before me this

26th day of July . 1972. nn Wilson

Graitha Furaid

### STATE OF NEW YORK STATE TAX COMMISSION

In the Matter of the Petition of MARVIN HOLLAND For a Redetermination of a Deficiency or a Refund of Unincorporated Business: Taxes under Article(s) 23 of the Tax Law for the (Year(s) 1964 :

AFFIDAVIT OF MAILING. OF NOTICE OF DECISION BY (CERTIFIED) MAIL

State of New York County of Albany

Martha Funaro, being duly sworn, deposes and says that she is an employee of the Department of Taxation and Finance, over 18 years of age, and that on the 26th day of July, 1972, she served the within Notice of Decision (or Determination) by (certified) mail upon Ira Levy, C.P.A. (representative of) the petitioner in the within

proceeding, by enclosing a true copy thereof in a securely sealed postpaid wrapper addressed as follows: Ira Levy, C.P.A. 276 Fifth Avenue

New York, New York 10001

and by depositing same enclosed in a postpaid properly addressed wrapper in a (post office or official depository) under the exclusive care and custody of the United States Post Office Department within the State of New York.

That deponent further says that the said addressee is the (representative of) petitioner herein and that the address set forth on said wrapper is the last known address of the (representative of the) petitioner.

Sworn to before me this

26thday of July , 1972 nn Wilson

who Junan



# STATE OF NEW YORK

STATE TAX COMMISSION HEARING UNIT

DEPARTMENT OF TAXATION AND FINANCE

STATE TAX COMMISSION

NORMAN F. GALLMAN, ACTING PRESIDENT A. BRUCE MANLEY MILTON KOERNER

BUILDING 9, ROOM 214A STATE CAMPUS ALBANY, N. Y. 12226 AREA CODE 518

457-2655, 6, 7

EDWARD ROOK SECRETARY TO COMMISSION

ADDRESS YOUR REPLY TO

Dated: Albany, New York

July 26, 1972

Marvin Holland 2749 Covered Bridge Road Merrick, New York 11566

## Dear Mr. Holland:

Please take notice of the **DECISION** of the State Tax Commission enclosed herewith.

Please take further notice that pursuant to Section(s) 722 of the Tax Law, any proceeding in court to review an adverse decision must be commenced within 4 Months from the date of this notice.

Any inquiries concerning the computation of tax due or refund allowed in accordance with this decision or concerning any other matter relative hereto may be addressed to the undersigned. These will be referred to the proper party for reply.

Very truly yours,

Paul B. Coburn HEARING OFFICER

Enc.

cc: Petitioner's Representative Law Bureau

### STATE OF NEW YORK

STATE TAX COMMISSION

In the Matter of the Petition	:	
of	:	
MARVIN HOLLAND	:	DECISION
for Redetermination of Deficiency or for Refund of Unincorporated Business Tax under Article 23 of the Tax Law for	:	
the Year 1964.		

Petitioner, Marvin Holland, has filed a petition for redetermination of deficiency or for refund of unincorporated business tax under Article 23 of the Tax Law for the year 1964. (File No. 26223196). A formal hearing was scheduled before Paul B. Coburn, Hearing Officer, at the offices of the State Tax Commission, 80 Centre Street, New York, New York, for June 15, 1971, at 9:15 A.M. On said date, petitioner's representative, Ira Levy, C.P.A. advised the State Tax Commission, in writing, that petitioner waived a formal hearing and requested that it decide the case upon the entire record contained in the file. The State Tax Commission renders the following decision after due consideration of said record.

## ISSUE

Did Petitioner, Marvin J. Holland's selling activities during the year 1964 constitute the carrying on of an unincorporated business?

## FINDINGS OF FACT

1. Petitioner, Marvin Holland, and his wife, filed a New York State income tax resident return for the year 1964. He did not file a New York State unincorporated business tax return for said year.

2. On January 29, 1968, the Income Tax Bureau issued a Statement of Audit Changes against petitioner, Marvin Holland, imposing unincorporated business tax upon the income received by

k dite

him from his activities as a salesman during the year 1964, and accordingly issued a Notice of Deficiency in the sum of \$948.91. On August 23, 1968, the Income Tax Bureau sent a letter to him reducing his unincorporated business tax liability plus accrued interest to said date to \$404.88. The reduction resulted from the allowance of certain business expenses not previously allowed.

3. Petitioner, Marvin Holland, was an optical frame salesman during the year 1964. He represented three unaffiliated firms. He derived in excess of 90% of his gross commissions from one of the firms. He did not have any employees.

4. During the year 1964, the firms for whom petitioner, Marvin Holland, sold optical frames did not withhold Federal and New York State income taxes from the commissions paid to him. The firm from whom he derived 90% of his gross commissions withheld social security tax on less than 10% of his gross commission income. He was not reimbursed for any of his business expenses. He reported a self-employed retirement deduction on his 1964 Federal income tax return. He failed to prove that the firms for whom he sold merchandise exercised substantial supervision and control over his sales activities and techniques or over the time that he devoted to sales.

#### CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

A. That the income received by petitioner, Marvin Holland, during the year 1964 constituted income from his regular business of selling optical frames and not compensation as an employee exempt from the imposition of the unincorporated business tax in accordance with the meaning and intent of section 703(b) of the Tax Law.

B. That the aforesaid activities of petitioner, Marvin Holland, during the year 1964 constituted the carrying on of an unincorporated business and his income derived therefrom was subject to the unincorporated business tax in accordance with the meaning and intent of section 703 of the Tax Law.

- 2 -

C. That the petition of Marvin Holland is denied and the Notice of Deficiency issued January 29, 1968, as modified by the Income Tax Bureau letter of August 23, 1968, is sustained.

DATED: Albany, N.Y. July 26, 1972

STATE TAX COMMISSION

COMMISSIONER

Morm COMMISSIONER Morm COMMISSIONER Voem