In the Matter of the Petition

of

FREIDAY & COMPANY

For a Redetermination of a Deficiency or a Refund of Unincorporated Business: Taxes under Article(s) 23 of the Tax Law for the (Year &s) 1966:

AFFIDAVIT OF MAILING OF NOTICE OF DECISION BY (CERTIFIED) MAIL

State of New York County of Albany

(representative of) the petitioner in the within

proceeding, by enclosing a true copy thereof in a securely sealed postpaid

wrapper addressed as follows:

Mr. Jack Wong

Oppenheim, Appel, Dixon & Co.

Juge S. Van Patten

140 Broadway

and by depositing same enclosed in a postpaid properly addressed wrapper in a (post office or official depository) under the exclusive care and custedy of the United States Post Office Department within the State of New York.

That deponent further says that the said addressee is the (representative of) petitioner herein and that the address set forth on said wrapper is the last known address of the (representative of the) petitioner.

Sworn to before me this

1st day of December ,

1972

In the Matter of the Petition

of

FREIDAY & COMPANY

AFFIDAVIT OF MAILING OF NOTICE OF DECISION BY (CERTIFIED) MAIL

For a Redetermination of a Deficiency or a Refund of Unincorporated Business. Taxes under Article(s) 23 of the Tax Law for the (Year(s)) 1966:

State of New York County of Albany

New York, New York 10006 and by depositing same enclosed in a postpaid properly addressed wrapper in a (post office or official depository) under the exclusive care and custedy of the United States Post Office Department within the State of New York.

60 Broad Street

That deponent further says that the said addressee is the (representative of) petitioner herein and that the address set forth on said wrapper is the last known address of the (representative of the) petitioner.

Sworn to before me this

lst day of December , 1972

Juge



STATE OF NEW YORK

DEPARTMENT OF TAXATION AND FINANCE

STATE TAX COMMISSION

NORMAN F. GALLMAN, PRESIDENT
A. BRUCE MANLEY
MILTON KOERNER

BUILDING 9, ROOM 214A STATE CAMPUS ALBANY, N. Y. 12227

> AREA CODE 518 457-2655, 6, 7

STATE TAX COMMISSION
HEARING UNIT

EDWARD ROOK SECRETARY TO COMMISSION

ADDRESS YOUR REPLY TO

MICHE G. WRIGHT

Albany, New York

December 1,1972

Freiday & Company 60 Broad Street New York, New York 10006

Gentlemen:

Please take notice of the **Decision** the State Tax Commission enclosed herewith.

of

Please take further notice that pursuant to the Tax Law any proceeding in court to review an adverse decision must be commenced within four months after the date of this notice.

Any inquiries concerning the computation of tax due or refund allowed in accordance with this decision or concerning any other matter relating hereto may be addressed to the undersigned. These will be referred to the proper party for reply.

Very truly yours,

HEARING OFFICER

cc Petitioner's Representative Law Bureau

Copy sent to:

John O'Shea, Esq. c/o Lewin Sternbach & Co. 10 E. 40th Street New York, New York 10016 STATE OF NEW YORK

STATE TAX COMMISSION

In the Matter of the Petition

of

FREIDAY AND COMPANY

DECISION

for a Redetermination of a Deficiency or for Refund of Unincorporated Business Taxes under Article 23 of the Tax Law for the Year 1966.

:

Freiday and Company filed a petition for a redetermination of.

a deficiency dated March 30, 1970, in unincorporated business taxes

under Article 23 of the Tax Law for the year 1966.

A hearing was held on June 25, 1971, at the offices of the State Tax Commission, 80 Centre Street, New York City, before Nigel G. Wright, Hearing Officer. Jack Wong, of Oppenheim, Appel, Dixon & Co., C.P.A.'s represented petitioners. Edward H. Best, Esq. (Francis X. Boylan, Esq., of Counsel) represented the Income Tax Bureau.

The record of said hearing has been duly examined and considered.

ISSUE

The issue in this case is whether the gain from the sale of a stock exchange seat is income of the petitioner stock exchange firm.

FINDINGS OF FACT

1. Petitioner is engaged in the business of a stockbroker in New York City and is a member firm of the New York Stock Exchange. Part of their business is to act as specialists on the floor of the Exchange.

- 2. In 1962, Mr. Vincent La Frence, became a general partner of Freiday and Company. Prior thereto he had been a member of the stock brokerage firm of La Frence & Carmichael which had dissolved.

 Mr. La Frence had owned his own stock exchange seat in connection therewith. However, he had not yet paid in full for such seat and Freiday and Company agreed to loan him sufficient funds at 6% interest to purchase full ownership thereof.
- 3. The partnership agreement provided as follows: Mr. La Frence would contribute to the partnership the use of the stock exchange seat. Apart from trades for his own account all income derived from the use of said seat would be income of the partnership. The proceeds of the sale of any stock exchange seat would be the property of the individual partner and the firm would have no interest in any increase nor any liability for any decrease in value of any such seats.

 Mr. La Frence's seat however, was encumbered by the loan from the firm. For the use of the seat the firm paid the partner interest at the rate of 6% upon the value of such seat as revalued quarterly by reference to sales of other seats.
- 4. Mr. La Frence sold his stock exchange seat on February 28, 1966. He put additional capital in the partnership and continued as "both a general and limited partner" under a new partnership agreement dated March 1, 1966.
- 5. The deficiency in issue amounts to \$5,225.84 plus interest of \$927.38 for a total of \$6,153.22.

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

The stock exchange seat in question was an asset of the firm

as a matter of law and the gain thereon is subject to tax. Gregory & Sons, State Tax Commission, June 19, 1972.)

DATED: Albany, New York

STATE TAX COMMISSION

December 1, 1972

COMMISSIONER Count