STATE OF NEW YORK STATE TAX COMMISSION

In the Matter of the Petition

of

ARTHUR & LYDIA S. DANA

For a Redetermination of a Deficiency or a Refund of Unincorporated Business: Taxes under Article(s) 23 of the Tax Law for the (Year(s) 1963 - 1965 : AFFIDAVIT OF MAILING OF NOTICE OF DECISION BY (CERTIFIED) MAIL

State of New York County of Albany

, being duly sworn, deposes and says that Lynn Wilson she is an employee of the Department of Taxation and Finance, over 18 years of age, and that on the 16th day of August , 1972, she served the within Notice of Decision (or Determination) by (certified) mail upon ARTHUR & (representative of) the petitioner in the within LYDIA S. DANA proceeding, by enclosing a true copy thereof in a securely sealed postpaid wrapper addressed as follows: Arthur W. & Lydia S. Dana 60 Sutton Place So. New York, New York 10022

and by depositing same enclosed in a postpaid properly addressed wrapper in a (post office or official depository) under the exclusive care and custedy of the United States Post Office Department within the State of New York.

That deponent further says that the said addressee is the (representative of) petitioner herein and that the address set forth on said wrapper is the last known address of the (representative of the) petitioner.

Sworn to before me this

ma Wilson 16th day of August

STATE OF NEW YORK STATE TAX COMMISSION

In the Matter of the Petition of ARTHUR & LYDIA S. DANA For a Redetermination of a Deficiency or a Refund of Unincorporated Business : Taxes under Article(s) 23 of the Tax Law for the (Year(s) 1963 - 1965 :

AFFIDAVIT OF MAILING OF NOTICE OF DECISION BY (CERTIFIED) MAIL

State of New York County of Albany

Lynn Wilson , being duly sworn, deposes and says that she is an employee of the Department of Taxation and Finance, over 18 years of age, and that on the 16th day of August , 1972, she served the within Notice of Decision (or Determination) by (certified) mail upon MILTON M. WITCHEL, ESQ. (representative of) the petitioner in the within proceeding, by enclosing a true copy thereof in a securely sealed postpaid wrapper addressed as follows: Milton M. Witchel, Esq. 36 West 44th Street New York, New York

and by depositing same enclosed in a postpaid properly addressed wrapper in a (post office or official depository) under the exclusive care and custody of the United States Post Office Department within the State of New York.

That deponent further says that the said addressee is the (representative of) petitioner herein and that the address set forth on said wrapper is the last known address of the (representative of the) petitioner.

Sworn to before me this t, 1972. Typen Wilson toth day of August

STATE OF NEW YORK STATE TAX COMMISSION

In the Matter of the Petition of ARTHUR & LYDIA S. DANA For a Redetermination of a Deficiency or a Refund of Unincorporated Business:

Tax Law for the (Year(s) 1963 - 1965 :

AFFIDAVIT OF MAILING OF NOTICE OF DECISION BY (CERTIFIED) MAIL

State of New York County of Albany

Taxes under Article(s) 23

Lynn Wilson , being duly sworn, deposes and says that she is an employee of the Department of Taxation and Finance, over 18 years of age, and that on the 16th day of August , 1972, she served the within Notice of Decision (or Determination) by (certified) mail upon ALAN PRINGAL. ESO. (representative of) the petitioner in the within proceeding, by enclosing a true copy thereof in a securely sealed postpaid wrapper addressed as follows: Alan Pringal, Esg.

2

of the

36 West 44th Street New York, New York

and by depositing same enclosed in a postpaid properly addressed wrapper in a (post office or official depository) under the exclusive care and custody of the United States Post Office Department within the State of New York.

That deponent further says that the said addressee is the (representative of) petitioner herein and that the address set forth on said wrapper is the last known address of the (representative of the) petitioner.

Sworn to before me this Lynn Wilson 16th day of August The ant



STATE OF NEW YORK

DEPARTMENT OF TAXATION AND FINANCE

STATE TAX COMMISSION

NORMAN F. GALLMAN, PRESIDENT A. BRUCE MANLEY MILTON KOERNER BUILDING 9, ROOM 214A STATE CAMPUS ALBANY, N.Y. 12227 AREA CODE 518 457-2655, 6, 7 STATE TAX COMMISSION HEARING UNIT

> EDWARD ROOK SECRETARY TO COMMISSION

ADDRESS YOUR REPLY TO

DATED: Albany, New York Angust 16, 1972

Arthur & Igdia #. Dana 60 Setton Flace So. New York, New York 10022

Dear Mr. and Mrs. Deas:

Please take notice of the **DECESTOR** the State Tax Commission enclosed herewith.

of

Please take further notice that pursuant to the Tax Law any proceeding in court to review an adverse decision must be commenced within **4 member** after the date of this notice.

Any inquiries concerning the computation of tax due or refund allowed in accordance with this decision or concerning any other matter relating hereto may be addressed to the undersigned. These will be referred to the proper party for reply.

Very truly yours,

Myel I Wright

Higel G. Wright HEARING OFFICER

cc Petitioner's Representative Law Bureau

STATE OF NEW YORK

STATE TAX COMMISSION

In the Matter of the Petition

of

ARTHUR & LYDIA S. DANA

DECISION

for a Redetermination of Deficiencies or for Refund of Unincorporated Business Taxes under Article 23 of the Tax Law for the years 1963 through 1965.

Arthur and Lydia S. Dana filed a petition under section 689 of the Tax Law for refund of unincorporated business taxes paid under Article 23 of the Tax Law for the years 1963 through 1965. A hearing was held on January 26, 1971, at the offices of the State Tax Commission, 80 Centre Street, New York City, before Nigel G. Wright, Hearing Officer. Alan Prigal, Esq., and Milton M. Witchel, Esq., of Nearing, Soybel and Prigal appeared for petitioners and Edward H. Best, Esq., (Francis X. Boylan, Esq., of Counsel) appeared for the Income Tax Bureau. The record of said hearing has been duly examined and considered.

ISSUE

The issue in this case is whether a "food facilities operations consultant" is a professional within the meaning of section 703(c) of the Tax Law and so as to be exempt from the unincorporated business tax.

FINDINGS OF FACT

 Mr. Dana describes his work as a "food facilities consultant".
A food facilities consultant engages in the design of kitchen facilities and serving areas in various kinds of restaurants and institutions.
He is concerned with area requirements, layout of the space and traffic fl. the design and choice of the type of equipment needed, the specification of the gas, electric, water and other utility requirements for such equipment, the purchase, inspection and installation of such equipment and the demonstration of the use of the layout. He must have a knowledge of health, sanitary and building codes.

2. Mr. Dana has been a member of the Food Facilities Consultant Society, now called Food Facilities Engineering Society, since its founding in 1935. It now has 130 members. The Society promotes research and requires that its members have no financial or other connection with companies supplying food facilities. An applicant must have practical experience in the field and is examined on this experience to become a member.

3. Since 1957, Cornell University has offered a degree of Bachelor of Science in Hotel Administration with a major in food facilities planning and design. It gives nine credit hours of courses specifically designated in this field. Practical work experience is required for the degree. A Masters degree has also been given in this field. Mr. Dana consulted with Cornell on the scope of the curriculum. Other schools offering classes in food facilities are Michigan State, University of Massachusetts, University of Denver, and Florida State University.

4. The activity of food facilities consulting is subject to no licensing requirements. It was not argued that it is part of the profession of engineering and in any event there is no evidence that Mr. Dana is licensed as an engineer.

- 2 -

5. Mr. Dana has an office currently at 41 East 42nd Street, New York City, and employs a secretary, a senior associate who supervises drafting work, and three draftsmen.

6. Mr. Dana's employment in the food industry began as a manager for Child's restaurants for five years. He then managed a restaurant in a department store, was a supervisor for a diversified group of restaurant interests and was a manager of the University Club in New York.

7. Mr. Dana has published a book called "Kitchen Planning for Quantity Food Service", used as a textbook in college courses and has written 30 or 40 articles for such magazines as "Restaurant Management" and "Architectural Record". He has lectured before private groups and college audiences.

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

Mr. Dana is subject to tax. His occupation as a food facilities consultant is not a profession. Implicit in the term "professional" is knowledge of an advanced type in a well recognized field of science or learning gained by a prolonged course of specialized instruction and study as contrasted with skill in practical affairs gained primarily from experience (see <u>Tower v. State Tax Commission</u>, 282 N.Y. 407). The Commission will be slow to extend the exemption to new categories of activity (Wilson V. State Tax Commission, 282 App. Div. 1099). Academic degrees alone do not indicate a professional especially where the activity is to advise business concerns in the methods of conducting the business itself (<u>Booz, Allen & Hamilton v. Bragalini</u>, 2 AD 2d 639; Kormes v. Murphy 9 AD 2d 1003; Herman v. Murphy

- 3 -

14 AD 2d 473). A "restaurant and food engineer" has been held subject to tax (Blaike v. State Tax Commission, 267 App. Div. 923).

DECISION

The petition for refund is denied.

DATED: Albany, New York

STATE TAX COMMISSION

Dello

August 16, 1972

COMMISSIONER

manley COMMISSIONER

____ Multin Kom

COMMISSIONER