STATE OF NEW YORK STATE TAX COMMISSION Schimmel Herbert 16-A

In the Matter of the Petition

of

Herbert Schimmel

AFFIDAVIT OF MAILING OF NOTICE OF DECISION BY (CERTIFIED) MAIL

For a Redetermination of a Deficiency or a Refund of Unincorporated Business Taxes under Article(s) 16-A of the Tax Law for the (Year(s) 1959:

State of New York County of Albany

Martha Funaro , being duly sworn, deposes and says that she is an employee of the Department of Taxation and Finance, over 18 years of age, and that on the 13th day of January , 1971, she served the within Notice of Decision (or Determination) by (certified) mail upon Herbert Schimmel (representative of) the petitioner in the within proceeding, by enclosing a true copy thereof in a securely sealed postpaid wrapper addressed as follows: Herbert Schimmel 166-40 Powells Cove Road Flushing, New York

and by depositing same enclosed in a postpaid properly addressed wrapper in a (post office or official depository) under the exclusive care and custody of the United States Post Office Department within the State of New York.

That deponent further says that the said addressee is the (representative of) petitioner herein and that the address set forth on said wrapper is the last known address of the (representative of the) petitioner.

Sworn to before me this

13th day of January , 1971

Joantha Lunas

STATE OF NEW YORK

STATE TAX COMMISSION

In the Matter of the Application

of

HERBERT SCHIMMEL

DETERMINATION

for Revision or Refund of Unincorporated Business Taxes under Article 16-A of the Tax Law for the Year 1959

Applicant, Herbert Schimmel, has filed an application for revision or refund of unincorporated business taxes under Article 16-A of the Tax Law for the year 1959 (file numbers B842530 and AB026989). A formal hearing was held before Vincent P. Molineaux, Hearing Officer, at the offices of the State Tax Commission, 80 Centre Street, New York, New York, on June 30, 1965, at 9:00 a.m. Applicant Herbert Schimmel appeared in person.

FINDINGS OF FACT

- 1. Applicant, Herbert Schimmel, and his wife filed a New York State Income Tax Resident Return for the year 1959. He did not file an Unincorporated Business Tax Return for the year 1959.
- 2. On March 14, 1961, the Income Tax Bureau issued a notice of additional assessment against applicant, Herbert Schimmel, imposing unincorporated business taxes upon the income received by him from his business activities during the year 1959, upon the ground that those activities constituted the carrying on of an unincorporated business in accordance with the provisions of Section 386 of the Tax Law and assessed unincorporated business taxes of \$130.62, a penalty of \$6.53 and interest of \$7.18 making a total amount due of \$144.33. On October 19, 1962, the Income Tax Bureau issued a further notice of assessment against him assessing additional income tax on the basis of the audit of his Federal Return in the sum of \$98.66 and additional unincorporated business taxes thereon in the

- 3. Applicant, Herbert Schimmel, received a B.A., M.S. and Ph.D. in mathematics and physics from the University of Pennsylvania. He also did graduate work in the field of economics. He was not a licensed professional engineer. On his 1959 Income Tax Return he lists his occupation as business consultant.
- 4. All of applicant, Herbert Schimmel's, income was derived from personal services rendered by him, and capital was not a material income-producing factor.
- 5. Applicant, Herbert Schimmel, received business income from four firms during the year 1959. He received a fee of \$750.00 from Morris Cohon, a Wall Street investment firm, for an opinion as to whether a certain machine owned by a company it had invested in would theoretically work. He received a fee of \$7,600.00 from Techniflex for working on the development of a system to transmit data over a data-phone. He received \$6,000.00 from Nulife Products, a corporation owned by his father. He received this in the form of a fee of \$500.00 a month for helping his father run the business. He received \$1460.00 from Dibrell & Co. for helping the company attempt to sell a machine that would take the place of pesticides in killing insects.

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

- A. That applicant, Herbert Schimmel, was a professional physicist and mathematician within the meaning and intent of Section 703(c) of the Tax Law.
- B. That with reference to the income received from Morris Cohon and Techniflex totalling \$8,350.00, applicant, Herbert Schimmel's professional education, training and skill as a physicist and mathematician were essential to produce said income or was so material to its production so that without them he could not have obtained these fees under normal conditions of business and competition.

- C. That with reference to the income received from Nulife Products and Dibrell & Co. totalling \$7,460.00, applicant, Herbert Schimmel's, professional education, training and skill as a physicist and mathematician were not essential to produce said income or was not so material to its production so that without them he could have obtained these fees under normal conditions of business and competition.
- D. That after the allowance of the salary credit and the statutory exemption, no unincorporated business tax was due on that portion of applicant, Herbert Schimmel's, income in the year 1959 subject to imposition of unincorporated business tax.
- E. That the application of Herbert Schimmel for revision or refund of unincorporated business taxes under Article 16-A of the Tax Law for the year 1959 is granted, and the notice of assessment dated March 14, 1961, imposing unincorporated business taxes for the year 1959 and that portion of the notice of assessment dated October 19, 1962, imposing additional unincorporated business taxes for the year 1959 are cancelled.

DATED:

Albany, New York January (1,197)

STATE TAX COMMISSION

COMMISSIONER

BALLEY Matiley

COMMISSIONER

Department of Taxation and Finance STATE OF NEW YORK STATE CAMPUS

ALBANY, N. Y. 12226

NEW LORD, LLL. 1030 THE CANAL FO. BOX Report CIGARETTE

Herbert Schimmel

166-40 Powells Cove Road

☐ Moved, left no address

Moved, not forward Addressee unknown

Flushing, New York

Ray D. Colum

1818 G)

STATE OF NEW YORK

Scheminel, Herbert

aut, 16-A. STATE TAX COMMISSION

HEARING UNIT

A. BRUCE MANLEY MILTON KOERNER

STATE TAX COMMISSION

NORMAN F. GALLMAN, ACTING PRESIDENT

DEPARTMENT OF TAXATION AND FINANCE

BUILDING 9, ROOM 214A STATE CAMPUS **ALBANY, N. Y. 12226**

> AREA CODE 518 457-2655, 6, 7

EDWARD ROOK SECRETARY TO COMMISSION

ADDRESS YOUR REPLY TO

Albany, New York

January 13, 1971

Herbert Schimmel 166-40 Powells Cove Road Flushing, New York

Please take notice of the Determination of the State Tax Commission enclosed herewith.

Section 386j Please take further notice that pursuant to the Tax Law any proceeding in court to review an adverse decision must be commenced within 90 Days the date of this notice.

Any inquiries concerning the computation of tax due or refund allowed in accordance with this decision or concerning any other matter relating hereto may be addressed to the undersigned. These will be referred to the proper party for reply.

Very truly yours,

Paul B. Coburn **HEARING OFFICER**

cc Petitioner's Representative Law Bureau

STATE TAX COMMISSION

In the Matter of the Application

of

HERBERT SCHIMMEL

DETERMINATION

for Revision or Refund of Unincorporated Business Taxes under Article 16-A of the Tax Law for the Year 1959

Applicant Herbert Schimmel has filed an application for revision or refund of unincorporated business taxes under Article 16-A of the Tax Law for the year 1959 (file numbers B842530 and AB026989). A formal hearing was held before Vincent P. Molineaux, Hearing Officer, at the offices of the State Tax Commission, 80 Centre Street, New York, New York, on June 30, 1965, at 9:00 a.m. Applicant Herbert Schimmel appeared in person.

FINDINGS OF FACT

- 1. Applicant Herbert Schimmel and his wife filed a New York State Income Tax Resident Return for the year 1959. He did not file an Unincorporated Business Tax Return for the year 1959.
- 2. On March 14, 1961, the Income Tax Bureau issued a notice of additional assessment against applicant Herbert Schimmel imposing unincorporated business taxes upon the income received by himefrom his business activities during the year 1959, upon the ground that those activities constituted the carrying on of an unincorporated business in accordance with the provisions of Section 386 of the Tax Law and assessed unincorporated business taxes of \$130.62, a penalty of \$6.53 and interest of \$7.18 making a total amount due of \$144.33. On October 19, 1962, the Income Tax Bureau issued a further notice of assessment against him assessing additional income tax on the basis of the audit of his Federal Return in the sum of \$98.66 and additional unincorporated business taxes thereon in the

NORON BANK TO YEMAND

SARYIN AND LINE

en de la company de la comp La company de la company de

ega par di servicio de la companio del la companio de la companio del la companio de la companio del la companio de la compani

THE STATE OF THE STATE OF

AND COME TO SERVICE SERVICES OF THE SERVICES O

ikala da kangga ang kangga kapilagan ang kanala kanggan ang kalang kanggan <mark>da kanggan kanggan kanggan kanggan k</mark>

sum of \$53.55 making a total additional amount of \$152.21. He is not contesting the assessment of additional income taxes based upon the audit of his Federal Return.

- 3. Applicant, Herbert Schimmel, received a B.A., M.S. and Ph.D. in mathematics and physics from the University of Pennsylvania. He also did graduate work in the field of economics. He was not a licensed professional engineer. On his 1959 Income Tax Return he lists his occupation as business consultant.
- 4. All of applicant, Herbert Schimmel's, income was derived from personal services rendered by him, and capital was not a material income-producing factor.
- 5. Applicant, Herbert Schimmel, received business income from four firms during the year 1959. He received a fee of \$750.00 from Morris Cohon, a Wall Street investment firm, for an opinion as to whether a certain machine owned by a company it had invested in would theoretically work. He received a fee of \$7,600.00 from Techniflex for working on the development of a system to transmit data over a data-phone. He received \$6,000.00 from Nulife Products, a corporation owned by his father. He received this in the form of a fee of \$500.00 a month for helping his father run the business. He received \$1460.00 from Dibrell & Co. for helping the company attempt to sell a machine that would take the place of pesticides in killing insects.

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

- A. That applicant, Herbert Schimmel, was a professional physicist and mathematician within the meaning and intent of Section 703(c) of the Tax Law.
- B. That with reference to the income received from Morris Cohon and Techniflex totalling \$8,350.00, applicant, Herbert Schimmel's prefessional education, training and skill as a physicist and mathematician were essential to produce said income or was so material to its production so that without them he could not have obtained these fees under normal conditions of business and competition.

elen de la ferie de la completa del la completa de la completa del la completa de la completa del la completa del la completa de la completa del l

ferior de la company de la La company de la company d

li i produci i sepretali independenca i un la traduciata per filibiti jeste di l

The second of th

en desemble production and the control of the contr

entre de la companya La companya de la co La companya de la companya del companya de la companya de la companya del companya de la companya del companya de la companya de la companya del companya de la companya del compan

- C. That with reference to the income received from Nulife
 Products and Dibrell & Co. totalling \$7,460.00, applicant, Herbert
 Schimmel's, professional education, training and skill as a physicist
 and mathematician were not essential to produce said income or was
 not so material to its production so that without them he could have
 obtained these fees under normal conditions of business and competition.
- D. That after the allowance of the salary credit and the statutory exemption, no unincorporated business tax was due on that portion of applicant, Herbert Schimmel's, income in the year 1959 subject to imposition of unincorporated business tax.
- E. That the application of Herbert Schimmel for revision or refund of unincorporated business taxes under Article 16-A of the Tax Law for the year 1959 is granted, and the notice of assessment dated March 14, 1961, imposing unincorporated business taxes for the year 1959 and that portion of the notice of assessment dated October 19, 1962, imposing additional unincorporated business taxes for the year 1959 are cancelled.

DATED: Albany, New York
January 11, 1971

STATE TAX COMMISSION

COMMISSIONER

COMMISSIONER

COMMISSIONER

The college of the co

namen in the application of the first and the state of th

A TOTAL TOTAL