In the Matter of the Petition

of
VINCENT L. FALOTICO, JOHN L. CORNIANI
and JOHN H. KLINGE, d/b/u the firm
name and style of V.L. FALOTICO &:ASSO.
For a Redetermination of a Deficiency or
a Refund of Unincorporated Business:
Taxes under Article(s) 23 of the
Tax Law for the (Year(s) October 31,:
1961 and 1962

AFFIDAVIT OF MAILING OF NOTICE OF DECISION BY (CERTIFIED) MAIL

State of New York County of Albany

Joyce S. Van Patten , being duly sworn, deposes and says that she is an employee of the Department of Taxation and Finance, over 18 years of age, and that on the 15 th day of December , 19 70, she served the within Notice of Decision (or Determination) by (certified) mail upon J. Henderickson, Esq.

(representative of) the petitioner in the within proceeding, by enclosing a true copy thereof in a securely sealed postpaid wrapper addressed as follows: J. Hendrickson, Esq. c/o Frederick J. Ludwig, Esq. 60 E. 42nd Street

and by depositing same enclosed New York, New York 10017 addressed wrapper in a (post office or official depository) under the exclusive care and custody of the United States Post Office Department within the State of New York.

That deponent further says that the said addressee is the (representative of) petitioner herein and that the address set forth on said wrapper is the last known address of the (representative of the) petitioner.

Sworn to before me this

15th day of December 1, 1970.

Juge S. Jan Patten

In the Matter of the Petition

of

VINCENT L. FALOTICO, JOHN L. CORNINANI
and JOHN H. KLINGE d/b/u the firm
name and style of V.L.FALOTICO & ASSO.
For a Redetermination of a Deficiency or
a Refund of Unincorporated Business:
Taxes under Article(s) 16-A of the
Tax Law for the (Year(s) October 31, :
1959 and 1960

AFFIDAVIT OF MAILING OF NOTICE OF DECISION BY (CERTIFIED) MAIL

State of New York County of Albany

Joyce S. Van Patten , being duly sworn, deposes and says that she is an employee of the Department of Taxation and Finance, over 18 years of age, and that on the 15thday of December , 19 70, she served the within Notice of Decision (or Determination) by (certified) mail upon

(representative of) the petitioner in the within proceeding, by enclosing a true copy thereof in a securely sealed postpaid wrapper addressed as follows: V. L. Falotico & Associates
26 Court Street
Brooklyn, New York

and by depositing same enclosed in a postpaid properly addressed wrapper in a (post office or official depository) under the exclusive care and custody of the United States Post Office Department within the State of New York.

That deponent further says that the said addressee is the (representative of) petitioner herein and that the address set forth on said wrapper is the last known address of the (representative of the) petitioner.

Sworn to before me this

15thay of December 1970.

Juge & Van Malley

STATE OF NEW YORK

STATE TAX COMMISSION

In the Matter of the Application

of

VINCENT L. FALOTICO, JOHN L. CORNIANI and JOHN H. KLINGE d/b/u the firm name and style of V. L. FALOTICO AND ASSOCIATES

DETERMINATION

for Revision or Refund of Unincorporated Business Taxes under Article 16-A of the Tax Law for the fiscal years ending October 31, 1959 and 1960

The taxpayers applied for revision of unincorporated business taxes imposed under Article 16-A of the Tax Law for the fiscal years ending October 31, 1959 and 1960. A formal hearing was held before Francis X. Boylan, Hearing Officer, in the offices of the State Tax Commission in the City of New York on November 12, 1969. The taxpayers were represented by J. Hendrickson, Esq. and the Income Tax Bureau was represented by Edward H. Best, Esq. (Alexander Weiss, Esq. of counsel).

FINDINGS OF FACT

- 1. The issue in this case is whether income derived from the business activities of a partnership engaged in the practice of engineering is subject to unincorporated business taxes when some member partners are not registered as professional engineers.
- 2. Taxpayers are partners of an engineering firm. Only Mr. Falotico was a licensed engineer under the laws of New York.
- 3. An audit of the taxpayers' returns for the years in question resulted in a determination that the business activities of the partnership constituted the carrying on of an unincorporated business subject to unincorporated business taxes. Accordingly, the partnership was assessed an additional \$1,405.82 for fiscal year 1959, assessment #TF339878, and \$2,913.62 for fiscal year 1960 assessment #TF339879. Both assessments were dated February 14, 1963.

4. Section 7202 of the Education Law prohibits the practice of engineering except by qualified engineers and architects duly licensed in New York. Section 7209(2) of the Education Law provides that engineers may only engage in the general practice of such activities in New York in partnership form where all members thereof are licensed in this state as either architects or engineers.

DETERMINATION

- A. The business activities of the taxpayers constitute the carrying on of an unincorporated business within the meaning and intent of Article 16-A of the Tax Law and the resulting income is subject to the unincorporated business tax.
- B. The notice of additional assessment is sustained and the application is denied.

DATED: Albany, New York

1602 meber 11,1226

STATE TAX COMMISSION

COMMISSIONER

COMMISSIONED

COMMISSIONER KACIME

In the Matter of the Petition

of VINCENT L. FALOTICO, JOHN L. CORNINANI and JOHN H. KLINGE d/b/u the firm name and style of V.L.FALOTICO & ASSO. For a Redetermination of a Deficiency or a Refund of Unincorporated Business: Taxes under Article(%) 16-A Tax Law for the (Year(s)October 31, <u>195</u>9 and 1960

AFFIDAVIT OF MAILING OF NOTICE OF DECISION BY (CERTIFIED) MAIL

DETERMINATION

State of New York County of Albany

, being duly sworn, deposes and says that JOYCE S. VAN PATTEN she is an employee of the Department of Taxation and Finance, over 18 years of day of JANUARY age, and that on the 3RD , 1972, she served the within Notice of Decision (or Determination) by (certified) mail upon ARTHUR F. X. (representative of) the petitioner in the within HENRICKSEN, ESQ. proceeding, by enclosing a true copy thereof in a securely sealed postpaid wrapper addressed as follows: ARTHUR F. X. HENRICKSEN, ESQ. 120-10-QUEENS BOULEVARD KEW GARDENS, NEW YORK

and by depositing same enclosed in a postpaid properly addressed wrapper in a (post office or official depository) under the exclusive care and custody of the United States Post Office Department within the State of New York.

That deponent further says that the said addressee is the (representative of) petitioner herein and that the address set forth on said wrapper is the last known address of the (representative of the) petitioner.

Sworn to before me this

3RD day of JANUARY , 19 72



STATE OF NEW YORK

DEPARTMENT OF TAXATION AND FINANCE

STATE CAMPUS
ALBANY, N. Y. 12226

AREA CODE 518 457-2655, 6, 7 STATE TAX COMMISSION HEARING UNIT

EDWARD ROOK
SECRETARY TO
COMMISSION

Tel.No. 457-6275

ADDRESS YOUR REPLY TO

Edward Rook, Sec'y State Tax Comm. Bldg.#9, Rm. 214-A Albany, New York 12227

STATE TAX COMMISSION

NORMAN F. GALLMAN, ACTING PRESIDENT
A. BRUCE MANLEY
MILTON KOERNER

Dated:

Albany, New York

January 3, 1972

Arthur F. X. Henricksen, Esq. 120-10 Queens Boulevard Kew Gardens, New York 11415

Dear Mr. Henricksen:

PERCHANGEMENTAL

Law Bureau

re: V. L. Falotico & Asso.

of

Please take notice of the **DETERMINATION** the State Tax Commission enclosed herewith.

Please take further notice that pursuant to **Section 722** the Tax Law any proceeding in court to review an adverse decision must be commenced within **four months** after the date of this notice.

Any inquiries concerning the computation of tax due or refund allowed in accordance with this decision or concerning any other matter relating hereto may be addressed to the undersigned. These will be referred to the proper party for reply.

Very truly yours,

XHORREGOXDKIROCOR

BDWARD ROOK

SECRETARY TO THE

STATE TAX COMMISSION

AD-1.12 (7/70)

Department of Taxation and Finance AD 32 (9-68) 50M ALBANY, N. Y. 12226 STATE OF NEW YORK **No** 237716 STATE CAMPUS NEW YORK, N. Y. 10022 26 Court Street V. L Falotico & Associates Brooklyn, New York



4-

•

AD 32 (9-70) 50M Department of Taxation and Finance STATE OF NEW YORK ALBANY, N. Y. 12226 STATE CAMPUS Park Avenue V. L. FALOFICO & ASSOCIATES BROOKLYN, NEW YORK



a)



STATE OF NEW YORK

DEPARTMENT OF TAXATION AND FINANCE

BUILDING 9, ROOM 214A STATE CAMPUS ALBANY, N. Y. 12226

> AREA CODE 518 457-2655, 6, 7

STATE TAX COMMISSION HEARING UNIT

> EDWARD ROOK SECRETARY TO COMMISSION

ADDRESS YOUR REPLY TO

STATE TAX COMMISSION

NORMAN F. GALLMAN, ACTING PRESIDENT
A. BRUCE MANLEY
MILTON KOERNER

Albany, New York

December 15, 1970

V. L. Falotico & Associates 26 Court Street Brooklyn, New York

Gentlemen:

Please take notice of the **DETERMINATION** of the State Tax Commission enclosed herewith.

Please take further notice that pursuant to **Section 722** the Tax Law any proceeding in court to review an adverse decision must be commenced within **four months** after the date of this notice.

Any inquiries concerning the computation of tax due or refund allowed in accordance with this decision or concerning any other matter relating hereto may be addressed to the undersigned. These will be referred to the proper party for reply.

Very truly yours,

HEARING OFFICER

cc Petitioner's Representative Law Bureau STATE OF NEW YORK
STATE TAX COMMISSION

In the Matter of the Application

of

VINCENT L. FALOTICO, JOHN L. CORNIANI and JOHN H. KLINGE d/b/u the firm name and style of V. L. FALOTICO AND ASSOCIATES

DETERMINATION

for Revision or Refund of Unincorporated Business Taxes under Article 16-A of the Tax Law for the fiscal years ending October 31, 1959 and 1960

The taxpayers applied for revision of unincorporated business taxes imposed under Article 16-A of the Tax Law for the fiscal years ending October 31, 1959 and 1960. A formal hearing was held before Francis X. Boylan, Hearing Officer, in the offices of the State Tax Commission in the City of New York on November 12, 1969. The taxpayers were represented by J. Hendrickson, Esq. and the Income Tax Bureau was represented by Edward H. Best, Esq. (Alexander Weiss, Esq. of counsel).

FINDINGS OF FACT

- 1. The issue in this case is whether income derived from the business activities of a partnership engaged in the practice of engineering is subject to unincorporated business taxes when some member partners are not registered as professional engineers.
- 2. Taxpayers are partners of an engineering firm. Only
 Mr. Falotico was a licensed engineer under the laws of New York.
- 3. An audit of the tampayers' returns for the years in question resulted in a determination that the business activities of the partnership constituted the carrying on of an unincorporated business subject to unincorporated business taxes. Accordingly, the partnership was assessed an additional \$1,405.82 for fiscal year 1959, assessment #TF339878, and \$2,913.62 for fiscal year 1960 assessment #TF339879. Both assessments were dated February 14, 1963.

4. Section 7202 of the Education Law prohibits the practice of engineering except by qualified engineers and architects duly licensed in New York. Section 7209(2) of the Education Law provides that engineers may only engage in the general practice of such activities in New York in partnership form where all members thereof are licensed in this state as either architects or engineers.

DETERMINATION

- A. The business activities of the taxpayers constitute the carrying on of an unincorporated business within the meaning and intent of Article 16-A of the Tax Law and the resulting income is subject to the unincorporated business tax.
- B. The notice of additional assessment is sustained and the application is denied.

DATED: Albany, New York

STATE TAX COMMISSION

COMMISSIONER

COMMISSIONER

COMMISSIONER KNEWNO



STATE TAX COMMISSION

STATE OF NEW YORK

DEPARTMENT OF TAXATION AND FINANCE

BUILDING 9, ROOM 214A STATE CAMPUS ALBANY, N. Y. 12226

> AREA CODE 518 457-2655, 6, 7

STATE TAX COMMISSION HEARING UNIT

> EDWARD ROOK SECRETARY TO COMMISSION

ADDRESS YOUR REPLY TO

NORMAN F. GALLMAN, ACTING PRESIDENT

A. BRUCE MANLEY
MILTON KOERNER

Albany, New York

December 15, 1970

V. L. Falotico &Associates 26 Court Street Brooklyn, New York

Gentlemen:

Please take notice of the **Decision** of the State Tax Commission enclosed herewith.

Please take further notice that pursuant to Section 722 the Tax Law any proceeding in court to review an adverse decision must be commenced within 4 Months after the date of this notice.

Any inquiries concerning the computation of tax due or refund allowed in accordance with this decision or concerning any other matter relating hereto may be addressed to the undersigned. These will be referred to the proper party for reply.

Very truly yours,

HEARING OFFICER

cc Petitioner's Representative Law Bureau In the Matter of the Petition

of

VINCENT L. FALOTICO, JOHN L. CORNIANI and JOHN H. KLINGE, d/b/u the firm name and style of

DECISION

2

V. L. FALOTICO & ASSOCIATES

for a Redetermination of a Deficiency or for Refund of Unincorporated Business Taxes: under Article 23 of the Tax Law for the fiscal years ending October 31, 1961 and : 1962

Taxpayers petitioned for a redetermination of a deficiency or for refund of unincorporated business taxes under Article 23 of the Tax Law for the fiscal years ending October 31, 1961 and 1962. A formal hearing was held before Francis X. Boylan, Hearing Officer, in the offices of the State Tax Commission in the City of New York on November 12, 1969. The petitioners appeared through J. Hendrickson, Esq. and the Income Tax Bureau was represented by Edward H. Best, Esq., (Alexander Weiss, Esq. of counsel).

FINDINGS OF FACT

- 1. The issue in this case is whether income derived from the business activities of a partnership engaged in the practice of engineering is subject to unincorporated business taxes when some member partners are not registered as professional engineers.
- 2. Taxpayers are partners of an engineering firm. Only Mr. Falotico was a licensed engineer under the laws of New York.
- 3. An audit of the petitioners' tax returns for the years in question resulted in a determination that the business activities of the petitioners constituted the carrying on of an unincorporated business. Accordingly, the partnership was issued a notice of deficiency in the amount of \$2,082.69 unincorporated business tax plus interest for fiscal year 1961, file #P-1409 dated November 4, 1963, and \$3,868.91 unincorporated business tax plus interest for

elendiko ka eser

reisland our to rotter set at

VITATARO .. VARA CALTORET .. CORETARO CALLOS VINIDES .. CALLOS RECENTOS CARROLLOS CAL

for a lede contration of a National or of the contration of the co

COAS SO CORTANTO

- I. The instruction in this case is who he interest desired from street in the circumstance of leadings and in the circumstance of the circumstance in the circumstance constance in the circumstance of the ci
- 2. Succession on producing of administration of firm. Spirit Resident States. Spirit Resident States. See Seat Social States of the seat of the control of t
- The realizations are trained to the contract of the contract o

TOUR LOW

the fiscal year 1962, file #P-1409 dated March 28, 1966.

- Taxpayers filed the equivalent of a petition for redetermination of the assessment for fiscal year 1961 on February 6, 1964, more than ninety days after issuance of the deficiency. They filed the petition for redetermination of the assessment for fiscal year 1962 on June 24, 1966.
- 5. Section 7202 of the Education Law prohibits the practice of engineering except by qualified engineers and architects duly licensed in New York. Section 7207(2) of the Education Law provides that engineers may only engage in the general practice of such activities in New York in partnership form where all members thereof are licensed in this state as either architects or engineers.

DECISION

- The equivalent of a petition for redetermination of the assessment for fiscal year 1961 was untimely filed.
- The business activities of the taxpayers constitute the carrying on of an unincorporated business within the meaning and intent of Article 23 of the Tax Law and the resulting income is subject to the unincorporated business tax.
- C. The notices of deficiency are sustained and the petitions are denied.

Albany, New York
Lecember 11,1970

STATE TAX COMMISSION

Mauley
ONER Koeme

386

the alternative and the state of the same and the same and

ic for expense of the particular of the content of a portion of the expense of the content of th

And the second of the second o

- a is the result of the second of the confidence of the second of the sec
- officers and the contract of the contract to the contract of t
- ringis er and home benisland als completed to section and law.

man wat his sin a surfac

HOTESTON CONTESTOR

REASON CALATA

AD 32 (9.70) 50M

Depurtment of Taxation and Finance Johnsown STATE CAMPUS Insultident Campus we such post enice in stage. Moved, Last no address. ALBANY, N. 1. 12226

Falotico & Associates

10022 Mew York, New York Park Avenue

237761

Department of Taxation and Finance ALBANY, N. Y. 12226 STATE OF NEW YORK No 237715 STATE CAMPUS 38.35 DEG 22 1970 c/o Frederick J. Ludwig, Esq. 60/E. 42md Street J. Hendrickson, Esq. New York, New York

AD 32 (9.70) 50M

DE JAN T.

SAVE

AD 32 (9.70) 50M

Department of Taxation and Finance STATE OF NEW YORK STATE CAMPUS

ALBANY, N. Y. 12226

23771

New York

10017

Net in Directory 12 1970



SAVE



STATE TAX COMMISSION

STATE OF NEW YORK

DEPARTMENT OF TAXATION AND FINANCE

BUILDING 9, ROOM 214A STATE CAMPUS ALBANY, N. Y. 12226

> AREA CODE 518 457-2655, 6, 7

STATE TAX COMMISSION HEARING UNIT

EDWARD ROOK SECRETARY TO COMMISSION

ADDRESS YOUR REPLY TO

NORMAN F. GALLMAN, ACTING PRESIDENT

A. BRUCE MANLEY MILTON KOERNER

Albany, New York

December 15, 1970

V. L. Falotico & Associates 26 Court Street Brooklyn, New York

Gentlemen:

Please take notice of the DETERMINATION of the State Tax Commission enclosed herewith.

Please take further notice that pursuant to Section 722 the Tax Law any proceeding in court to review an adverse decision must be commenced within four months after the date of this notice.

Any inquiries concerning the computation of tax due or refund allowed in accordance with this decision or concerning any other matter relating hereto may be addressed to the undersigned. These will be referred to the proper party for reply.

Very truly yours,

HEARING OFFICER

cc Petitioner's Representative Law Bureau



STATE TAX COMMISSION

STATE OF NEW YORK

DEPARTMENT OF TAXATION AND FINANCE

STATE CAMPUS ALBANY, N. Y. 12226

> AREA CODE 518 457-2655, 6, 7

STATE TAX COMMISSION HEARING UNIT

EDWARD ROOK
SECRETARY TO
COMMISSION

ADDRESS YOUR REPLY TO

NORMAN F. GALLMAN, ACTING PRESIDENT

A. BRUCE MANLEY
MILTON KOERNER

Albany, New York

December 15, 1970

V. L. Falotico &Associates 26 Court Street Brooklyn, New York

Gentlemen:

Please take notice of the Decision the State Tax Commission enclosed herewith.

of

Please take further notice that pursuant to Section 722 the Tax Law any proceeding in court to review an adverse decision must be commenced within 4 Months after the date of this notice.

Any inquiries concerning the computation of tax due or refund allowed in accordance with this decision or concerning any other matter relating hereto may be addressed to the undersigned. These will be referred to the proper party for reply.

Very truly yours,

HEARING OFFICER

cc Petitioner's Representative Law Bureau STATE OF NEW YORK
STATE TAX COMMISSION

In the Matter of the Application

of

VINCENT L. FALOTICO, JOHN L. CORNIANI and JOHN H. KLINGE d/b/u the firm name and style of V. L. FALOTICO AND ASSOCIATES

DETERMINATION

:

for Revision or Refund of Unincorporated Business Taxes under Article 16-A of the Tax Law for the fiscal years ending October 31, 1959 and 1960

The taxpayers applied for revision of unincorporated business taxes imposed under Article 16-A of the Tax Law for the fiscal years ending October 31, 1959 and 1960. A formal hearing was held before Francis X. Boylan, Hearing Officer, in the offices of the State Tax Commission in the City of New York on November 12, 1969. The taxpayers were represented by J. Hendrickson, Esq. and the Income Tax Bureau was represented by Edward H. Best, Esq. (Alexander Weiss, Esq. of counsel).

FINDINGS OF FACT

- 1. The issue in this case is whether income derived from the business activities of a partnership engaged in the practice of engineering is subject to unincorporated business taxes when some member partners are not registered as professional engineers.
- 2. Taxpayers are partners of an engineering firm. Only
 Mr. Falotico was a licensed engineer under the laws of New York.
- 3. An audit of the taxpayers' returns for the years in question resulted in a determination that the business activities of the partnership constituted the carrying on of an unincorporated business subject to unincorporated business taxes. Accordingly, the partnership was assessed an additional \$1,405.82 for fiscal year 1959, assessment #TF339878, and \$2,913.62 for fiscal year 1960 assessment #TF339879. Both assessments were dated February 14, 1963.

ean same fig.

ti til 1994 og 1996 og skiller kalle skiller i blerke bler klatte ett forskelat blerke brekt ett skiller i ski Frakkliga et klatter for til skiller ett folkslige for at folkske bler blevet ett folkste folkst blevet folksl

4. Section 7202 of the Education Law prohibits the practice of engineering except by qualified engineers and architects duly licensed in New York. Section 7209(2) of the Education Law provides that engineers may only engage in the general practice of such activities in New York in partnership form where all members thereof are licensed in this state as either architects or engineers.

DETERMINATION

- A. The business activities of the taxpayers constitute the carrying on of an unincorporated business within the meaning and intent of Article 16-A of the Tax Law and the resulting income is subject to the unincorporated business tax.
- B. The notice of additional assessment is sustained and the application is denied.

DATED: Albany, New York

December 11, 1976

STATE TAX COMMISSION

Gallman

COMMISSIONER

COMMISSIONER

COMMISSIONER

entropy of and the complete of the complete of

ent endite monte all all legend communication de l'encourait de l'entre de la communication de la communic

indent (desta) de recompletar (la fille desta) en la recompleta de la completa de la completa de la fille de l La completa de la co

- :		, 9 , 5			- 22				1.		. /		1	1		T.			9 '									,	Ş.	Ų.	. , , ,		- ()	, i	Ą,	Ź,
												1.5																								
			14			1																. 202	1			1	١		111		- 3	et is		>	<i>t.</i> .	
					, 'a																							. 1								
٠,								41					ú,																			0			- 1	
					ا المائد	ria de Alemania Santa	·			•		Š.													ř									, " ₂		
													+ /		•.,			W,		٠.													T.			
	، ند	أنيون.			in the second	dia	energy.	. Agica	Communication of the Communica				و پروازد داید اما				2 4	÷.																		ġ.
												113	الحيد العاد ا	1, 1					2.		21	last Policy														
																								Υ.					, i							
	ا تعمر												1																							
			- 55	2								14	1.5	1	61			-							25.				1							

Mr. Louis Etlinger

Mr. Edward Rook

V. L. Falotico & Associates

In regard to the above case, I would appreciate your sending me the transcript of the formal hearing.

EDWARD ROOK Secretary to the State Tax Commission

August 2, 1971