Teitelbourn Chan V.B7.

,970

STATE OF NEW YORK STATE TAX COMMISSION

In the Matter of the Petition	
of	:
CHARLES TEITELBAUM	:
For a Redetermination of a Deficiency	
a Refund of Unincorporated Busines	5S :

Tax Law for the (Year(s) 1961 and 1962

AFFIDAVIT OF MAILING OF NOTICE OF DECISION BY (CERTIFIED) MAIL

State of New York County of Albany

Taxes under Article(s) 23

Diane Farrington , being duly sworn, deposes and says that she is an employee of the Department of Taxation and Finance, over 18 years of age, and that on the 20th day of November , 1970, she served the within Notice of Decision (or Determination) by (certified) mail upon Charles Teitelbaum (representative of) the petitioner in the within proceeding, by enclosing a true copy thereof in a securely sealed postpaid wrapper addressed as follows: Charles Teitelbaum 130 East 39th Street New York, New York

of the

and by depositing same enclosed in a postpaid properly addressed wrapper in a (post office or official depository) under the exclusive care and custody of the United States Post Office Department within the State of New York.

That deponent further says that the said addressee is the (representative of) petitioner herein and that the address set forth on said wrapper is the last known address of the (representative of the) petitioner.

Sworn to before me this _ Diane Farring ton 20th day of November , 1970. anet Unalit

STATE OF NEW YORK STATE TAX COMMISSION

4

In the Matter of the Petition

of Charles Teitelbaum

For a Redetermination of a Deficiency or a Refund of Unincorporated Business: Taxes under Article(s) 23 of the Tax Law for the (Year(s) 1961 and 1962: AFFIDAVIT OF MAILING OF NOTICE OF DECISION BY (CERTIFIED) MAIL

State of New York County of Albany

Diane Farrington , being duly sworn, deposes and says that she is an employee of the Department of Taxation and Finance, over 18 years of age, and that on the 20th day of November , 1970, she served the within Notice of Decision (or Determination) by (certified) mail upon Charles Teitelbaum (representative of) the petitioner in the within proceeding, by enclosing a true copy thereof in a securely sealed postpaid wrapper addressed as follows: Joseph W. Cannon CPA 33 West 42nd Street New York, New York

•

:

and by depositing same enclosed in a postpaid properly addressed wrapper in a (post office or official depository) under the exclusive care and custody of the United States Post Office Department within the State of New York.

That deponent further says that the said addressee is the (representative of) petitioner herein and that the address set forth on said wrapper is the last known address of the (representative of the) petitioner.

Sworn to before me this 20th day of November , 1970

Diane Farrington

STATE OF NEW YORK

STATE TAX COMMISSION

In the Matter of the Petition

of

CHARLES TEITELBAUM

DECISION

for a Redetermination of a Deficiency : or for Refund of Unincorporated Business Taxes under Article 23 of the : Tax Law for the years 1961 and 1962

Petitioner, Charles Teitelbaum, has filed a petition for redetermination of a deficiency or for refund of unincorporated **Du**siness taxes under Article 23 of the Tax Law for the years 1961 and 1962, (File #2-3728462). A formal hearing was held before Francis X. Boylan, Hearing Officer, at the offices of the State Tax Commission, 80 Centre Street, New York, New York, on January 6, 1970, at 10:55 A.M. Petitioner, Charles Teitelbaum, appeared in person. The Income Tax Bureau appeared by Edward H. Best, Esq. (Alexander Weiss, Esq., of counsel).

:

1

:

FINDINGS OF FACT

1. Petitioner, Charles Teitelbaum, filed New York State income Tax resident returns for the years 1961 and 1962. He did not file any unincorporated business tax returns for the years 1961 and 1962.

2. On October 5, 1964, the Income Tax Bureau issued a statement of audit changes against petitioner, Charles Teitelbaum, imposing unincorporated business tax upon the income received by him from his activities as a sales representative during 1961 and 1962 upon the ground that his activities constituted the carrying on of an unincorporated business in accordance with the provisions of Article 23 of the Tax Law and accordingly issued on July 12, 1965, a notice of deficiency therefor in the sum of \$1,074.99. 3. Petitioner, Charles Teitelbaum, was a costume jewelry salesman during the years 1961 and 1962. During each year he represented three unaffiliated firms in the sale of costume jewelery. The items sold by him for each firm were non-competitive. He did not maintain an office or a business telephone. He did not have his own stationery. He did not employ any assistants.

4. During the years 1961 and 1962 the firms for whom petitioner, Charles Teitelbaum, sold merchandise did not withhold New York State and federal withholding taxes and social security taxes from the commissions paid to him. He was not reimbursed for his expenses. He was not required to submit periodic reports of his activities. These firms did not exercise any control over him as to the time spent or the manner or means by which he was to perform his activities, except to limit the geographic area in which he could sell. On Schedule "C" of his 1961 and 1962 federal income tax returns, he deducted business expenses in connection with his sales activities.

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

A. That petitioner, Charles Teitelbaum's representation of more than one firm in the sale of costume jewelery during the year 1961 and 1962, did not in and of itself constitute the carrying on of an unincorporated business in accordance with the intent and meaning of Section 703(f) of the Tax Law.

B. That the income received by petitioner, Charles Teitelbaum, from the firms he represented during the years 1961 and 1962 constituted receipts from his regular business of selling costume jewelry and not compensation as an employee exempt from the imposition of unincorporated business tax in accordance with the intent and meaning of Section 703(b) of the Tax Law.

- 2 -

the set of the second second with the second states services party of each of a second first free for the second of the provide of the provide the provide the provide of the prov energies and the second of the second of the second s and the second in the effect of the court of a solution of the discussion of the The strength of the state of the strength of the strength of the state of the exemption there such a straight of the list of the second straight the second state of the . . ere en grande brekenne som bere verbye began blen severales for a danafi and the transmission in the many second and the second second second second second second second second second . a sere ze gitter a constitution of a conjunct of the Sing of an article . And the formants had and an advertised on the stand of the data of the second of the second of the second of the , we consider the constraint of the set of the Constraint of 0.000CONT. contraction the action of the state of a near in the second states and the bind of a the other structure of the test of the the second Saber an expression and mean's freebold Sections (2011 and the "bet established and typical end of dight a discrete factor at seven to consistent

(a) and a borner of a star case of a second and a second second contexts of and a borner case of the case of the affire all radius and the endert case of a refer and board a star of the case of a function exception who means the case of a refer to embrance equivalent a star and of the case area of the context and the refer to embrance equivalent a star and of the case area.

The transformation of the transformation of the particular descent to the set of the transformation of the set of the se

That the aforesaid activities of petitioner, Charles C. Teitelbaum, during the years 1961 and 1962 constituted the carrying on of an unincorporated business and his income derived therefrom was subject to unincorporated business tax in accordance with the intent and meaning of Section 703 of the Tax Law.

D. That the petition of Charles Teitelbaum for a redetermination of a deficiency or for refundof unincorporated business taxes upon Article 23 of the Tax Law for the years 1961 and 1962 is denied and the notice of deficiency issued July 12, 1965, against petitioner, Charles Teitelbaum, is sustained.

DATED:

Albany, New York November 18, 1910 STATE TAX COMMISSION

ISSIONER

Reuse harley

In Korner

- 3 -

(4) A set of the structure of the set of

 $\frac{1}{2} = \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{1}{2} + \frac{1$

for a t

. **N**

12.5 %

Car & Ca

· _ _ _ _

trans & R. P. Joy Wet Ley

DATES Albert, Mark Kerne

1.

Mr. A. M.

A. C.