In the Matter of the Petition

of

Gorson, Herman Agency and/or Court Agency

For a Redetermination of a Deficiency or a Refund of Unincorporated Business: Taxes under Article(s) 16A of the Tax Law for the (Year(s) 1959 : AFFIDAVIT OF MAILING OF NOTICE OF DECISION BY (GENERATED) MAIL

State of New York County of Albany

Janet Wright , being duly sworn, deposes and says that she is an employee of the Department of Taxation and Finance, over 18 years of age, and that on the 11th day of February , 19 70, she served the within Notice of XDEXISTON (or Determination) by (XEXPENSION) mail upon Gorson, Herman Agency and/or Court (XEXPENSION) the petitioner in the within Agency proceeding, by enclosing a true copy thereof in a securely sealed postpaid wrapper addressed as follows: Gorson, Herman Agency and/or Court Agency 26 Court Street Brooklyn, New York

and by depositing same enclosed in a postpaid properly addressed wrapper in a (**POSTXXETEX** or official depository) under the exclusive care and custody of the United States Post Office Department within the State of New York.

That deponent further says that the said addressee is the (XAPPENNATEXXX of) petitioner herein and that the address set forth on said wrapper is the last known address of the (XAPPENNATEXXXXXXX) petitioner.

Sworn to before me this

11thday of February

tinel hugh

197

In the Matter of the Petition

of

Gorson, Herman Agency and/or Court Agency

For a Redetermination of a Deficiency or a Refund of Unincorporated Business Taxes under Article(s) 16A of the Tax Law for the (Year(s) 1959 : AFFIDAVIT OF MAILING OF NOTICE OF DECISION BY (CERTIFIED) MAIL

State of New York County of Albany

Janet Wright , being duly sworn, deposes and says that she is an employee of the Department of Taxation and Finance, over 18 years of age, and that on the 11th day of February , 1970, she served the within Notice of Decision (or Determination) by (XMMODENAM) mail upon Herbert M. Haber

:

(representative of) the petitioner in the within

proceeding, by enclosing a true copy thereof in a securely sealed postpaid wrapper addressed as follows: Herbert M. Haber

> c/o Gilbert Paneth & Co. 11 West 42nd Street New York, New York

and by depositing same enclosed in a postpaid properly addressed wrapper in a (POSTXNEETCE or official depository) under the exclusive care and custody of the United States Post Office Department within the State of New York.

That deponent further says that the said addressee is the (representative of) petitioner herein and that the address set forth on said wrapper is the last known address of the (representative of the) petitioner.

Sworn to before me this

11thday of February

faret Whichel

IN THE MATTER OF THE APPLICATION

OF

GORSON-HERMAN AGENCY and/or COURT AGENCY

FOR REVISION OR REFUND OF UNINCORPORATED BUSINESS TAXES UNDER ARTICLE 16-A OF THE TAX LAW FOR THE YEAR 1959.

DETERMINATION

Bernard Gorson and Isidore Herman doing business as Gorson-Herman Agency and/or Court Agency at 26 Court Street, Brooklyn, New York, hereinafter designated as the taxpayers, having filed an application for revision or refund of personal income taxes or unincorporated business taxes for the year 1959, a hearing having been held in connection therewith at the office of the State Tax Commission at 80 Centre Street, New York, New York, on June 10, 1964, before Vincent P. Molineaux, Hearing Officer, at which hearing Isidore Herman was present, and the taxpayers were represented by Herbert M. Haber of Gilbert Paneth & Company, Certified Public Accountants of 135 East 42nd Street, New York, New York, and the issues having been duly examined and considered, it is hereby determined:

FINDINGS OF FACT

1. That in 1959 the taxpayers, Bernard Gorson and Isidore Herman, were engaged in business individually and as co-partners doing business under the firm name and style of Gorson-Herman Agency and/or Court Agency at 26 Court Street, Brooklyn, New York.

2. That on February 2, 1959, the taxpayers had entered into an agreement with the John Hancock Mutual Life Insurance Company pursuant to which the John Hancock Mutual Life Insurance Company agreed to pay the taxpayers \$15,000 each per annum and also agreed to give the taxpayers a monthly allowance to be used solely and exclusively for the expenses of the Gorson-Herman Agency.

3. That the John Hancock Mutual Life Insurance Company executed the lease of the office of the taxpayers; that the taxpayers' employees were considered the employees of the John Hancock Mutual Life Insurance Company; that Federal withholding and Social Security taxes were deducted from the employees' earnings and were reported to the Federal government by the John Hancock Mutual Life Insurance Company; that State withholding taxes were also deducted from employees' earnings by the John Hancock Mutual Life Insurance Company and reported to the State government.

4. That the taxpayers were not permitted to sell life insurance for any other company than the John Hancock Mutual Life Insurance Company and said company was not obligated to pay future commission under the terms of their contract.

5. That the taxpayers were enrolled as employees of the John Hancock Mutual Life Insurance Company in their retirement pension plan.

CONCLUSION OF LAW

During the year 1959 the taxpayers were not independent contractors but were employees of the John Hancock Mutual Life Insurance Company and are not subject to the tax imposed under Article 16-A of the Tax Law.

rareley COMMISSIONER M Ita COMMISSIONER

DATED: At Albany, New York this //the day of February 1970.

-2-



STATE OF NEW YORK

Department of Taxation and Finance

STATE CAMPUS

ALBANY, N. Y. 12226



Herbert M. Haber c/o Gilbert Papeth & Co. 11 west 42pd Street New York, New York J Month and the second of the second second

low Knews

less Jer

TIMES SOUARE A REAL PROPERTY AND A REAL Contraction of the second second second FEB14



STATE OF NEW YORK

DEPARTMENT OF TAXATION AND FINANCE

STATE TAX COMMISSION

NORMAN F. GALLMAN, ACTING PRESIDENT A. BRUCE MANLEY MILTON KOERNER

BUILDING 9, ROOM 214A STATE CAMPUS ALBANY, N. Y. 12226 AREA CODE 518 457-2655, 6, 7

STATE TAX COMMISSION HEARING UNIT

EDWARD ROOK SECRETARY TO COMMISSION

ADDRESS YOUR REPLY TO

Hearing Officer

DATED: February 11, 1970

Gorson, Herman Agency and/or Court Agency 26 Court Street Brooklyn, N.Y.

RE: Unincorporated Business Taxes

Dear Sir:

Please take notice of the determination of the State Tax Commission enclosed herewith.

Please take further notice that pursuant to Section 375 of the Tax Law any proceeding in court to review an adverse determination must be commenced within 90 days after the date of this letter.

Any inquiries concerning the computation of tax due or refund allowed in accordance with this determination or concerning any other matter may be addressed to the undersigned.

Very truly yours,

Milton Koernel (Ju)

Hearing Officer

Commissioner Milton Koerner

cc Petitioner's Representative

Law Bureau

AD-1.10 (2/70)

Albany, N.Y.

IN THE MATTER OF THE APPLICATION

OF

GORSON-HERMAN AGENCY and/or COURT AGENCY

FOR REVISION OR REFUND OF UNINCORPORATED BUSINESS TAXES UNDER ARTICLE 16-A OF THE TAX LAW FOR THE YEAR 1959.

Bernard Gorson and Isidore Herman doing business as Gorson-Herman Agency and/or Court Agency at 26 Court Street, Brooklyn, New York, hereinafter designated as the taxpayers, having filed an application for revision or refund of personal income taxes or unincorporated business taxes for the year 1959, a hearing having been held in connection therewith at the office of the State Tax Commission at 80 Centre Street, New York, New York, on June 10, 1964, before Vincent P. Molineaux, Hearing Officer, at which hearing Isidore Herman was present, and the taxpayers were represented by Herbert M. Haber of Gilbert Paneth & Company, Certified Public Accountants of 135 East 42nd Street, New York, New York, and the issues having been duly examined and considered, it is hereby determined:

DETERMINATION

FINDINGS OF FACT

1. That in 1959 the taxpayers, Bernard Gorson and Isidore Herman, were engaged in business individually and as co-partners doing business under the firm name and style of Gorson-Herman Agency and/or Court Agency at 26 Court Street, Brooklyn, New York.

2. That on February 2, 1959, the taxpayers had entered into an agreement with the John Hancock Mutual Life Insurance Company pursuant to which the John Hancock Mutual Life Insurance Company agreed to pay the taxpayers \$15,000 each per annum and also agreed to give the taxpayers a monthly allowance to be used solely and exclusively for the expenses of the Gorson-Herman Agency.

3. That the John Hancock Mutual Life Insurance Company executed the lease of the office of the taxpayers; that the taxpayers' employees were considered the employees of the John Hancock Mutual Life Insurance Company; that Federal withholding and Social Security taxes were deducted from the employees' earnings and were reported to the Federal government by the John Hancock Mutual Life Insurance Company; that State withholding taxes were also deducted from employees' earnings by the John Hancock Mutual Life Insurance Company and reported to the State government.

4. That the taxpayers were not permitted to sell life insurance for any other company than the John Hancock Mutual Life Insurance Company and said company was not obligated to pay future commission under the terms of their contract.

5. That the taxpayers were enrolled as employees of the John Hancock Mutual Life Insurance Company in their retirement pension plan.

CONCLUSION OF LAW

During the year 1959 the taxpayers were not independent contractors but were employees of the John Hancock Mutual Life Insurance Company and are not subject to the tax imposed under Article 16-A of the Tax Law.

rander COMMISSIONER \mathcal{H} the

COMMISSIONER

DATED: At Albany, New York this //th day of February 19%.

-2-