

STATE OF NEW YORK  
STATE TAX COMMISSION

URT.  
De Palo, Michael  
1970

In the Matter of the Petition

of

MICHAEL DePALO

AFFIDAVIT OF MAILING  
OF NOTICE OF DECISION  
BY (CERTIFIED) MAIL

For a Redetermination of a Deficiency or  
a Refund of UNINCORPORATED BUSINESS  
Taxes under Article(s) 23 of the  
Tax Law for the (Year(s) 1963

State of New York  
County of Albany

Joyce S. Van Patten being duly sworn, deposes and says that  
she is an employee of the Department of Taxation and Finance, over 18 years of  
age, and that on the 13th day of July, 1970, she served the within  
Notice of Decision (or Determination) by (certified) mail upon Alexander H.

Klein, Esq. (representative of) the petitioner in the within  
proceeding, by enclosing a true copy thereof in a securely sealed postpaid  
wrapper addressed as follows: Alexander H. Klein, Esq.  
52 Kalda Avenue  
New Hyde Park, New York 11040

and by depositing same enclosed in a postpaid properly addressed wrapper in a  
(post office or official depository) under the exclusive care and custody of  
the United States Post Office Department within the State of New York.

That deponent further says that the said addressee is the (representative  
of) petitioner herein and that the address set forth on said wrapper is the last  
known address of the (representative of the) petitioner.

Sworn to before me this

13th day of July, 1970

James Wright

Joyce S. Van Patten

STATE OF NEW YORK  
STATE TAX COMMISSION

In the Matter of the Petition

of  
MICHAEL DePALO

AFFIDAVIT OF MAILING  
OF NOTICE OF DECISION  
BY (CERTIFIED) MAIL

For a Redetermination of a Deficiency or  
a Refund of Unincorporated Business  
Taxes under Article(s) 23 of the  
Tax Law for the (Year(s) 1963

State of New York  
County of Albany

Joyce S. Van Patten , being duly sworn, deposes and says that  
she is an employee of the Department of Taxation and Finance, over 18 years of  
age, and that on the 13th day of July , 1970, she served the within  
Notice of Decision (or Determination) by (certified) mail upon Michael  
DePalo (representative of) the petitioner in the within

proceeding, by enclosing a true copy thereof in a securely sealed postpaid  
wrapper addressed as follows:


Mr. Michael DePalo  
150-22 21st Avenue  
Whitestone 57, New York


and by depositing same enclosed in a postpaid properly addressed wrapper in a  
(post office or official depository) under the exclusive care and custody of  
the United States Post Office Department within the State of New York.

That deponent further says that the said addressee is the (representative  
of) petitioner herein and that the address set forth on said wrapper is the last  
known address of the (representative of the) petitioner.

Sworn to before me this

13th day of July , 1970.

  
\_\_\_\_\_

  
\_\_\_\_\_

STATE OF NEW YORK

STATE TAX COMMISSION

In the Matter of the Petition	:	
of	:	
MICHAEL DePALO	:	DEFAULT ORDER
for a Redetermination of a Deficiency	:	
or for Refund of Unincorporated Busi-	:	
ness Taxes under Article 23 of the	:	
<u>Tax Law for the year 1963</u>	:	

The above-named taxpayer has petitioned the State Tax Commission for a redetermination of his tax deficiency as issued by the State Tax Commission for Unincorporated Business Income. A formal hearing was scheduled for April 10, 1970, at 9:30 A. M. at the offices of the State Tax Commission in New York City before Francis X. Boylan, Hearing Officer. Notice of said hearing was duly given to the taxpayer. The taxpayer failed to appear at the hearing, and no one appeared on his behalf. His default has been duly recorded.

On motion of the attorney for the Department of Taxation and Finance, IT IS ORDERED that the petition is hereby denied.

DATED: Albany, New York

STATE TAX COMMISSION

July 9, 1970

Warren Gelman  
COMMISSIONER

Bruce Masley  
COMMISSIONER

William Koerner  
COMMISSIONER

[illegible]

(1) *Chlorophyll a* and *Chlorophyll b* were determined by the method of Arar and Collins (1971).

[illegible]

00000000

**COPYRIGHT NOTICE**

1. The first step is to identify the problem or goal.  
2. The second step is to gather information and resources.  
3. The third step is to develop a plan or strategy.  
4. The fourth step is to implement the plan and monitor progress.  
5. The fifth step is to evaluate the results and make adjustments as needed.

DECEMBER 1964

[illegible]

Figure 1. The effect of the concentration of the polymer on the rate of polymerization. The polymerization was carried out at 60°C in 100 ml of 1,2-dichloroethane with 0.01 mole of  $\text{SnCl}_4$  and 0.01 mole of  $\text{SnCl}_4 \cdot 2\text{H}_2\text{O}$  as catalysts. The monomer was 0.01 mole. The polymerization was carried out in the dark.

**CONCLUSIONS**

**Figure 1**