U.S.T. Determinations A-Z Lubin Sugmour A-Z

STATE OF NEW YORK STATE TAX COMMISSION

In the Matter of the Petition

of

SEYMOUR LUBIN

Affidavit of Mailing of Notice of Decision, by Registered Mail

For a Redetermination of a Deficiency or a Refund of UNINCORPORATED BUSINESS:
Taxes under Article(s) 16-A of the Tax:
Law for the year(s) 1955, 1956, 1957, 1958
and 1959

State of New York County of Albany

Patricia Whitman , being duly sworn, deposes and says, that she is an employee of the Department of Taxation and

Finance, and that on the 16th day of May , 1969, she served

the within Notice of Decision (or of "Determination") by registered mail upon Seymour Lubin

the petitioner in the within proceeding, by enclosing a true copy thereof in a securely sealed postpaid wrapper addressed as follows:

Mr. Seymour Lubin, 101 Chestnut Street, Binghamton, NY and by delivering the same at Room 214a, Building 8, Campus, Albany, marked "REGISTERED MAIL" to a messenger of the Mail Room, Building 9, Campus, Albany, to be mailed by registered mail.

That deponent further says that the said addressee is the petitioner herein and that the address set forth on said wrapper is the last known address of the petitioner.

Sworn to before me this

16th day of May , 1969.

mouldernight

In the Matter of the Petition

of

SEYMOUR LUBIN

Affidavit of Mailing of Notice of Decision, by Registered Mail

For a Redetermination of a Deficiency or a Refund of UNINCORPORATED BUSINESS : Taxes under Article(s) 16-A of the Tax:
Law for the year(s) 1955, 1956, 1957, 1958 and 1959

State of New York County of Albany

PATRICIA WHITMAN , being duly sworn, deposes and says, that she is an employee of the Department of Taxation and Finance, and that on the 16th day of May , 1969, she served the within Notice of Decision (or of "Determination") by registered mail upon Harry Bangilsdorf, Esq. Representative for the petitioner in the within proceeding, by enclosing a true copy thereof in a securely sealed postpaid wrapper addressed as follows:

Harry Bangilsdorf, Esq., 40 Front Street, Binghamton, NY

and by delivering the same at Room 214a, Building 8, Campus, Albany, marked "REGISTERED MAIL" to a messenger of the Mail Room, Building 9, Campus, Albany, to be mailed by registered mail.

That deponent further says that the said addressee is the petitioner herein and that the address set forth on said wrapper is the last known address of the petitioner.

Sworn to before me this

16th day of May , 1969.

· mylteryht

L₉ (3-68)

BUREAU OF LAW Delevinia ations A-Z

MEMORANDUM Luben, Seymous I.

State Tax Commission

TO:

Vincent P. Melineaux, Hearing Officer

FROM:

Seymour I. Lubin

SUBJECT:

Applications For Revision or Refund of

Unincorporated Business Tax Under

Article 16-A of the Tex Lew for the Tears 1955, 1956, 1957, 1958 and 1959

A hearing on the above applications was held before me at 184 Court Street, Binghamton, New York on October 7, 1966.

The question at issue is whether income of the tempeyor, as a manufacturer's representative, is subject to unincorporated business tax.

During the years in question, the tempayer represented five companies. One of these, Vaughan Hirror, is a submidiary of one of the two principal firms which he represents.

Tempayer's entire income, averaging \$15,000 a year, is from commissions. Home of the firms he represents withhold State or Federal income tex or social security contributions, nor is he reinbursed for travel, meals, telephone, stationery or other business expenses which amount to approximately 56% of his grees income. He is not resultated to represent attack the state of the grees income. He is not permitted to represent other lines without prior permission of his two major companies. If he wishes to take major time off, such as a vacation, he must be cleared by both factories.

Tampayer has no office or showroom of his own and is not permitted to employ an assistant. Tampayer spends a great deal of time on the road and sends in his orders on route. He also attends the showrooms maintained by each of his two principal firms and is required to be present at the furniture show which are held at various locations about seven times a year for about a week each. During the shows, he is expected to spend about 50% of his time at the display of each of his two principals. His etationary carries only his sum name as manufacturary a representation stationery carries only his own name as manufacturer's representative. He may write up orders on firm forms or on his own forms. He has no written contract with either firm.

Tempayer receives commissions on all sales in his territory whether written by himself or by semeone else. At shows he may write orders for oustomers outside his territory for which he receives no commission.

The last sentence of section 386 of the Tax Low added

" * * * A person shall not be deemed to be engaged in an unincorporated business solely because of celling goods, weres and nerohandise for more than one person, firm or corporation unless he maintains an effice or employe one or more assistants or otherwise regularly carries on a business."

The tempeyer neither maintains an effice nor employs any assistant and in my opinion does not so obviously caus within the last part of the provision "carries on a business" as to bring him within the statute.

In the one case in which this provision was considered, Matter of Britten v. State Tax Commission, 22 A D 34 987, the PAF Curion decision, while repeating the provisions of the certion, confirmed the determination of the Tax Commission with respect to a tempeyor who represented il manufacturers.

While the tempsyer in the present case lacked some of the usual marks of an employee, such as having tames deducted from his wages, still it was sufficiently under the supervision of his principals as to require regular attendance at certain times, to receive the benefit of sales made by others in his territory and to be deprived of commission from orders written by him outside of his territory, and was not permitted to employ an assistant.

For the reasons stated above, I recommend that the departmention of the State Tax Commission granting the application be substantially in form submitted herewith.

Bearing Officer

VPM:10

April 4, 1968

To. Mr. Edward Rook

This is for submission to the Commission upon your approval.

April 30, 1969

NW

From Nigel G. Wright

STATE OF NEW YORK
STATE TAX COMMISSION

IN THE MATTER OF THE APPLICATIONS

OF

SEYMOUR I. LUBIN

FOR REVISION OR REFUND OF UNINCORPORATED BUSINESS TAX UNDER ARTICLE 16-A OF THE TAX LAW FOR THE YEARS 1955, 1956, 1957, 1958 AND 1959

Seymour I. Lubin, the taxpayer herein, having filed applications for revision or refund of unincorporated business tax under Article 16-A of the Tax Law for the years 1955, 1956, 1957, 1958 and 1959, and a hearing having been held at the office of the State Tax Commission at 184 Court Street, Binghamton, New York on the 7th day of October, 1966, before Vincent P. Molineaux, Hearing Officer of the Department of Taxation and Finance, and the record having been duly examined and considered,

The State Tax Commission hereby finds:

- (1) That during the years in question the taxpayer represented five companies, one of which was a subsidiary of one of the two principal firms which he represented.
 - (2) That taxpayer's entire income is from commissions.
- or Federal income tax or social security contributions, nor is he reimbursed for travel, meals, telephone, stationery or other business expenses which amount to approximately 50% of his gross income. He is not permitted to represent other lines without permission of his two major companies. When he wishes to take major time off, such as a vacation, he must be cleared by both factories.
- (4) That taxpayer has no office or showroom of his own and is not permitted to employ an assistant.
- (5) That taxpayer spends most of his time on the road, sends in his orders en route and attends the showrooms maintained

by either of his two principal firms and is required to be present at the furniture shows which are held at various locations about seven times a year for about one week each.

- (6) That during the shows taxpayer is required to spend about 50% of his time at the display of each of his two principals.
- (7) That taxpayer's stationery carries only his own name as manufacturer's representative but that he writes up orders on firm forms or on his own forms.
- (8) That taxpayer has no written contract with any of the firms which he represents.
- (9) That taxpayer receives commissions on all sales in his territory whether written by himself or by someone else and that at shows he may write orders for customers outside his territory for which he receives no commission.

Based upon the foregoing findings and all of the evidence presented herein, the State Tax Commission hereby,

DETERMINES:

- (A) That taxpayer was not, during the years in question, carrying on a business within the meaning of section 386 of the Tax Law.
- (B) That taxpayer's income for the years 1955, 1956, 1957, 1958 and 1959 was not subject to unincorporated business tax.
- (C) That the assessments AB 033488, AB 033489, AB 033490, AB 012349 and AB 033491 are incorrect and are cancelled in full.

Dated: Albany, New York this 14th day of May , 196%.

President

STATE TAX COMMISSION

Commissioner

Commissioner