- L 9\_(11-68)

BUREAU OF LAW Unin Caro, Bus. Tax

MEMORNADUM Determinations A-Z
Donyman, Dores M
Hyman

TO:

State Tax Commission

FROM:

Solomon Sies, Hearing Officer

SUBJECT: Ryman & Doris Dorfman

Petition for Redetermination of Deficionar or Refund of Unincorporated Dusiness Taxes under Article 23 of the Tax Law for the Year 1962

A hearing was held on the above matter in the New York City Office on November 27, 1968.

The issue involved herein is whether the activities of a multi-line salesman are subject to unincorporated besiness tax within the intent and meaning of Section 703 of the Tax Law.

Hyman & Doris Dorfman filed a New York State resident return for the year 1962 in which the taxpayer, Myman Dorfman, reported business income as manufacturers' representative on Schodule 'A' in the amount of \$12,855.52. No unincorporated business tax returns were filed for said year. On July 12, 1965 a statement of audit changes was issued imposing additional unincorporated business tax on the ground that the business activities of the taxpayer, Myman Dorfman, constitute the carrying on of unincorporated business subject to unincorporated business tax and accordingly a notice of deficiency was issued in the amount of \$201.78 plus interest of \$27.13 for a total of \$228.91.

During the year 1962 and prior thereto the taxpayer was a sales representative for Venice Enitting Mills, Inc., maintaining an office and showroom at 1407 Breadway, New York City on a straight commission basis. On July 28, 1960 the taxpayer entered into a letter agreement with Venice Enitting Mills, Inc. whereby he was to represent said firm in the sale of ladies' sportswear covering intropolitan, New York, including New Jorsey south to Treaton, and New York State up to Kingston on a straight commission basis. Pursuant to call agreement the taxpayer was not permitted to carry any other conflicting lime. During the year 1962 the taxpayer also responsested Cardy Joses Calif. During the year 1962 the taxpayer also represented Candy Jenes Calif., Inc. of Los Angeles, California and Monique Inc. of Rialcah, Florida in the sale of ladies' sportswear not conflicting with the line carried by Venice Enitting Mills, Inc. His grees commission income for 1962 was as follows: Venice Enitting Mills, Inc., \$17,835.42; Monique, Inc., \$996.82, and Candy Jones Calif., Inc., \$362.99. The taxpayer was not reimbursed for any of his expenses by any of the principals. He conducted about three trade shows a year selling the

wares of the three principals. His territory for all of said principals was the same. He solicited specialty and department stores endeavoring to sell all of the products of the various principals.

On Schedule "C" of his Federal income tax return for the year in issue, the taxpayer deducted rent in the amount of \$550.00. This amount included \$550.00 for the rental of hotel rooms where he held his shows plus \$300.00 for the use of a portion of his home in the conduct of his sales activities.

None of the principals deducted withholding or social security taxes from the commission income paid the taxpayer. None of the principals exercised any control ever the time spent by the taxpayer or the manner or means in which he was to affect sales on behalf of said principals.

Section 703(f) of the Tax Lew provides that a sales representative who maintains an office or who employs assistants shall not be deemed engaged in an unincorporated business solely because he represents more than one principal.

In the case of Britten v. State Tax Commission, 22 A. D. 36 967, aff'd 19 N. Y. 36 613, assessments of unincorporated business taxes were issued against the taxpayer on earnings from sales consissions derived from activities as the exclusive manufacturer's representative of 11 noncompeting corporations within an assigned territory. The principals exercised no supervision or control in respect to the manner or means in which his sales endeavors were pursued requiring only successful results. Hone of the principals paid unemployment insurance or social security on his earnings or deducted withholding taxes therefrom. The taxpayer paid his own travel and incidental expenses for which he was not reimbursed. Be deducted expenses incurred in the maintenance of an effice in his home. The Court, sustaining the determination of the Tax Commission, held that the sole fact that a person is a multi-line salesmen is an insufficient basis to doen him engaged in an unincorporated business; that to hold the calcaman so engaged, it must be found that (a) he maintains an office, or (b) employs one or more assistants or (c) otherwise regularly carries on a business. Although this case was decided under Section 386, Article 16-A of the Tax Law, similar previsions are contained in Section 703, Article 23 of the Tax Law.

I am, therefore, of the opinion that the taxpayer's activities constitute that of an independent contractor and not an employee subject to unincorporated business tax within the intent and meaning of Section 703 of the Tax Law. For the reasons stated, I recommend that the decision of the State Tax Commission in this matter be substantially in the form submitted herewith.

SOLOMON SIES

88:dv Enc. March 6, 1969 STATE OF HEW YORK STATE TAX CONCLECION

IN THE MATTER OF THE PETITION

## HIMAN DOUBLE

YOR A REDETERMINATION OF A DEFICIENCY OR FOR REPUBLIC OF UNIDOCUPORATED BUSINESS TAXES UNDER AREJULE 23 OF THE TAX LAY FOR THE YEAR 1962

------

Hymn Borfman having filed a potition for redetermination of a deficiency or for refund of unincorporated business taxes under Article 23 of the Tax Law for the year 1962 and a hearing having been held before Solamon Sice, Hearing Officer of the Department of Taxation and Pinance, at 80 Centre Street, How York, Her York on the 27th day of Herenber, 1968 at which hearing the temporer appeared personnily and was represented by Herris Gruber, CPA, and testimeny having been taken and the matter having been duly examined and considered,

The State Tax Commission hereby finds:

income tex resident return for the year 1962 in which they reperted Pederal adjusted grees income in the amount of \$13,216.45;
that on Schedule "A" of said return the texpayor, Mynan Berfman,
reported grees business income in the amount of \$19,195.93 as a
"magnifecturers' representative" and not business income in the
whomas of \$12,895.52; that the tempayor, Tyman Berfman, did not
file any unincorporated business tex return for the year 1968;
that on July 12, 1965 the Department of Taxation and Finance
issued a statement of audit changes against the tempayor, Tyman
Devimen, for the year 1968 helding that the business activities

of the aforementioned tampayer constituted the corrying on of an unincorporated business and that the income derived therefrom was subject to unincorporated business tax; that additional unincorporated business tax was imposed in the amount of \$201.76 tegether with interest of \$27.13 for a total of \$226.91 and a notice of deficiency was accordingly issued therefor.

- (2) That during the year 1962 and prior therete the tampaper, Nyman Berfman, was a sales representative for Venice Enitting Mills, Inc. which maintained a showroom and office at 1807 Breadway, New York City on a straight commission basis; that on July 36, 1960 the tampaper entered into a letter agreement with Venice Enitting Mills, Inc. whereby it was agreed that said tampaper was to act as sales representative for Venice Enitting Mills, Inc. covering the territory embracing Netropolitan, New York, New Jorcey south to Tremton, and New York State up to Kingston on a straight commission basis; that it was agreed that the tampaper would not earry or represent any other manufacturer carrying a conflicting line; that the tampaper sold ladies' sportswear on behalf of said firm solling to specialty and department stores in the assigned territory; that pursuent to said agreement the tampaper was not reimbursed for any of his expanses.
- (3) That during the year 1962 the taxpayer also represented Candy Jones Calif., Inc. of Los Angeles, Calif. and Menique, Inc. of Hislanh, Fla. solling ladies' sportswear which did not conflict with the line carried by Venice Enitting Mills, Inc.; that the taxpayer covered the same territory for all three principals on a straight commission basis; that the taxpayer endeavered to sell all of the lines of the various principals to each of the prospective customers contacted by him; that the gross commissions received by the taxpayer from the three principals in 1962 was as follows: Venice Enitting Mills, Inc., \$17,835.42; Monique, Inc., \$996.82; Candy Jones Calif., Inc., \$362.99.
- (4) That none of the principals deducted withholding or social security taxes from the commissions paid the taxpayer.

(5) That on Schodule "C" of the Federal Income tax return for the year 1962 the tempeyor deducted the following expenses:

Depreciation Hent on Dusiness property Insurance Lagal and professional fees Interest on business indebtedness Duse Subscriptions Printing Licenses Advertising Vintor trimming Relaphone Entertains		871.70 860.00 845.65 50.00 100.00 70.00 33.00 280.00 60.00 321.36
Travel out of Town (Motels & Meals) Nicealiencous	1	.950.00 74.00
Automobile Expense, gas maintenance, parking, tells TOTAL		339.00

- (6) That the deduction of \$869.00 reported as rent included the rental of hotel rooms at which the taxpayer conducted trade shows on behalf of all the principals; that \$300.00 thereof represented the use of a portion of his home as an office in connection with his sales activities.
- (7) That none of the principals exercised any control over the time spent or the manner or means in which the taxpeyer affected sales on behalf of said principals.

Dated upon the foregoing findings and all of the evidence presented herein, the State Tex Commission hereby

## DECIDES:

(A) That during the year 1962 the tempayer was an indepundent contractor and not an amployee; that his activities constituted the carrying on of an unincorporated business solely within the State of New York subject to unincorporated business tax within the intent and meaning of Section 703, Article 23 of the Tax Low. (D) That accordingly the statement of madit changes and notices of deficiency imposing unincorporated business tax are correct and do not include any tax or other statutory charges which would not have been lawfully demanded; that the taxpayer's petition for redetermination or for refund of unincorporated business tax filed with respect thereto be and the same is hereby demied.

Bated: Albany, New York this 12th day of March , 1969.

## STATE TAX CONTESTOR

/s/	Joseph H. Murphy
/s/	A. Bruce Manley
/s/	Milton Koerner