BUREAU OF LAW
MEMORANDUM

Reauskas, Ohn

TO:

Commissioners Murphy, Macduff and Conlon

FROM:

Alfred Rubinstein, Hearing Officer

SUBJECT:

Ann Zekeuskas - Application for Revision or Refund of Unincorporated Business Taxes under Article 16-A of the Tax Law for the Years 1958 and 1959

A hearing with reference to the above entitled matter was scheduled before me on January 20, 1967 at 80 Centre Street, New York, New York. The taxpayer defaulted in appearance.

The issues involved whether salaried activities of the texpeyer as a "commercial food stylist" for multiple employers constituted receipts of her regular business.

The texpayer filed resident personal income tax returns for 1958 and 1959, reporting for 1958 salaries of \$16,743 for services as a "home economist" rendered to three employers, and not income from her business as a "food stylist" of \$3,682.35 out of gross receipts of \$27,281.25; and reporting for 1959 salaries of \$17,244.86 for services as a "commercial food stylist" rendered to eight employers and not income from her business as a "commercial food stylist" of \$11,922.49 out of gross receipts of \$49,146.51. An unincorporated business tex of \$141.20 was computed for 1959.

On June 12, 1962 assessments were issued for 1958 (No. B-011340) and 1959 (No. B-011341) finding that taxpayer's salaries for those years were business income. The taxpayer filed applications for revision, contending that salaries paid to an employee cannot be deemed taxable as business income, and demanded a hearing.

Taxpayer's business activities consisted of preparation of food displays for television commercials, motion pictures and photographs for television and film studios and "other organisations desirous of obtaining such services" (see letter of taxpayer's representative, Murray Schiffman, dated June 27, 1961). Her salaried activities were described as "commercial food stylist" and her employers were advertising agencies, food companies and AB2 Associates, Inc., a company "in the home economy field", of which the taxpayer was "an official" (see undated letter of Dancer-Fitzgerald-Sample, Inc.). One food company paid her \$15,400 in 1958 and \$15,165 in 1959, reportedly as salary, although her services were performed for the advertising agency who employed her.

Section 386 of the Tax Lew provides that a person is not deemed to be engaged in an unincorporated business with respect to compensation for services rendered as an employee unless such compensation constitutes receipts of a business regularly carried

1. 2-(2-67)

BUREAU OF L MEMORANDUM

FROM:

SUBJECT:

on by him. Taxpayer did regularly carry on a business as a "commercial food stylist", maintaining a regular place of business, and incurring expenses for rent, payroll and other usual business expenses as reported by her on her tax returns. Her salaried activities as a "commercial food stylist" were identical to her business activities and performed for the same, similar and related elientele. The only distinction between her salaried and business activities was mode of payment.

Accordingly, I am of the opinion that tempeyer's salaried activities were interconnected and interrelated with her business activities; that her salaried income constituted receipts of her regular business and that the assessments should be sustained.

The determination of the Tax Commission should be substantially in the form submitted herewith,

/s/ ALFRED RUBINSTEIN

Bearing Officer

ARtea Inc.

March 20, 1967

STATE OF HEN YORK STATE THE CONTENSION

IN THE MARKET OF THE ADMINISTRA

ALL THE STREET

POR INFERRED OR SUPPLIED OF THE STRONG CALLED SMALLDOM THE THOUSE AND SELECT AND ASSESSMENT THE LAW FOR THE TRANS LOSS AND ASSES

Ann Johanshae having \$13et an application for revision or refund of unincorporated business tan under Article 35-4 of the Tan Sar for the years 1998 and 1999 (Assessments 3-01396) and 3-013943) and a notice of hearing having been duly served by until on the tempeyer on December 8, 1966 notting down a hearing for Jameny 50, 1967 at 10:30 A.H. at 80 Centre Street, Sar Sark, Sar Sark before Alfred Subinstain, Searing Officer, of the State Sax Cammionian, and the tempeyer having defentited in appearing, and a notice thereafter having been served in the tempeyer and her representative by registered mail, according than further opportunity to appear and be heard, and an official receipt of the United States Jack Office having been received as proof of delivery of said notice, and the tempeyer not having responded thereto, and the notice having been duly exemined and considered,

The State Tax Countesies hereby finds:

(1) That the tampaper, Am Retained, Filed a rectaint personnal income ten return for 1888 on which she reported \$16,793 in malarice and \$3,680.35 as traciness income; that the temperor filed a recident personal income ten return for 1889 on which she reported \$27,884.86 in salarice and \$11,900.49 as trustance income, and computed an unincorporated traciness ten of \$181.86.

- (2) That by notice of accounted to, 3-011300 dated Jane 12, 1968 the Income Yes Surem conjuted an unincorporated business tex due for 1996 in the sun of \$415,61 on finding that tempopur's salaries of \$16,743 received by her that year constituted business income; that by notice of accounted to, 3-011341 dated Jane 12, 1962 the Income Yes Jureau conjuted additional unincomparated business tex due for 1999 in the sun of \$990,49 on a finding that tempopure's salaries of \$17,244,86 received by her that year constituted business income.
- (3) That during the years 1998 and 1999 temperate address were paid to her by food compenses and adverticing agencies for services as a "commercial food stylist" consisting of proposation of food displays for televicion commercials, motion pictures and photographs; that during 1998 and 1999, temperate business interes was second by her for identical corriers as a "commercial food stylist" remissed to a similar elientele consisting of food compenses and adverticing agencies.

Innet upon the foregoing findings and 422 of the evidence presented herein, the State Tax Counterion hereby

SECTION 1

- (A) that during 1998 and 1999 the tempeyer regularity corried on and conducted a tempeyer's actualed activities as a "commercial food atylici"; that during such years the tempeyer's actualed activities as a "commercial food stylici" were interconnected and intervalence with similar business activities; and that tempeyer's compensation for services rendered as an employee during 1998 and 1999 constituted receipts of the business regularly corried on by her.
- (D) That for 1956 the temperor's business income was \$40,445.35 and that for 1959 the temperor's business income was \$49,167.35.

(f) That accordingly, the notices of according impeding an unincorporated business ten upon the tempoper for 1995 in the sum of \$410.61 and impeding additional unincorporated business tense upon the tempoper for 1999 in the sum of \$990.89 are correct; that the ensure set forth therein are due and using tegether with interest, if any, and other statutory charges; that said notices of accordingly on the say ten or other charges which could not have been lawfully demanded and that the tempoper's applications for revision or refund with perpost thereto be and the same are beauty demanded.

MANUEL Alberty, New York White 12th day of April , 1957.

MARIE THE CHARLES

/s/ JOSEPH H. MURPHY

/s/ WALTER MACLYN CONLON