BUREAU OF LAW Octerminations 19-2

MEMORANDUM Zawrence, Henry (Est. 7)

TO:

Commissioners Murphy, Meduff and Conlon

FROM:

B. M. Best, Connsel

SUBJECT:

Nore Levrence, individually and as Administratrix of the Estate of Henry Lawrence, Deceased

Petition for redetermination of a deficiency or for refund of unincorporated business taxes under Article 23 of the Tax Law for the year 1960

The issue presented herein is whether income in the sum of \$13,392.44 reported by the decedent tempeyer, Henry Lawrence, as normal income represented additional business income subject to unincorporated business tax in accordance with section 705 of the Tax Law.

The decedent, Henry Levrence, was engaged in a retail tailoring, cleaning and pressing business in New York City from 1957 until his death on September 20, 1962. The tampayer's estate was unable to submit any books and records on the ground that the records were left with the tampayer's former accountant who subsequently died. The texpeyer reported on his normal tax return the amount of \$13,392.44 as income from other sources, which amount was not included on his unincorporated business tax return. Upon failure of the taxpayer to supply information es to the source of the eforesaid amount, an additional unincorporated business tax was assessed in the amount of \$434.86. The taxpayer's representative contends that this amount represents wagering gains and is not subject to unincorporated business taxes. However, the representative's statements with respect to the nature of the decessed taxpayer's activities (page 18 of the minutes) could also be interpreted to mean that in addition to vegering, the tempeyer was also in the gambling business by taking bids and policy numbers.

The primary question to be resolved therefore is the source of the texpeyer's other income. Such income could be derived from three different sources: (1) the tempayer's business of retail tailoring, (2) from gambling, or (3) from wagering. Unincorporated business taxes could be imposed if the income was derived from the taxpayer's business of retail tailoring or from gambling. There is some doubt, however, as to whether unincorporated business taxes could be imposed if the tempeyor's income was derived solely from vegering.

A report of Federal audit for the period under review and periods prior and subsequent thereto was examined in order to help ascertain the source of the other income, despite the fact that the report referred to income other than the \$13,392.44 listed by the taxpayer as income from other sources. Examination of such reports disclosed that the Internal Revenue Service imposed a 5 per cent negligence penalty on the ground that the taxpayer was engaged in illegal activities of a borderline nature and had failed to file proper reports. The Internal Revenue Service characterized certain unreported additional income for the year 1960 either as wagering gains or as income from gambling, but did not impose the 10 per cent gambler's excise tax against the taxpayer. Thus, the nature of the source of the taxpayer's other income could not be ascertained from the report of Federal audit.

The Hearing Officer's proposed determination makes no finding as to whether or not the gains were from wagering or gambling. Instead, the additional income as ascribed to the taxpayer's tailoring business on the ground that no books and records of the taxpayer are available to show otherwise.

In view of the lack of such records or the lack of any clear proof as to the nature of the other income, I believe that the Hearing Officer's proposed determination denying the petition should be approved on the grounds set forth by the Hearing Officer.

If you agree, kindly sign one original and three copies of the proposed determination and return to the Lev Bureau for further processing.

/s/ E. H. BEST

M5:av: ca Enc. 2-28-67 February 23, 1967 WA.

STATE OF HEW YORK STATE TAX COMMISSION

IN THE HATTER OF THE PETITION

63

HORA LAWRENCE, AS ARMINISTRAINING THE RELATE OF HYREY LAWRENCE, DECEASED, AND HORA LAWRENCE, MIC WIFE

FOR A REDETPHURATION OF A DEFICITACY OR POR REPURD OF UNINCORPORATED BUSINESS TAXES UNDER ARTICLE 23 OF THE TAX LAW FOR THE YEAR 1960.

Hora Laurence, individually and as administrately of the Matate of Henry Laurence, Deceased, having filed a potition for Sedetermination of a deficiency or for refund of unincorporated business tax under Article 23 of the Tax Law for the year 1960 and a hearing having been held in connection therewith at the office of the State Tax Commission at 80 Contro St., New York, H.Y. on the 23rd day of September, 1964, before Selemen Stoc, Nearing Officer of the Repartment of Taxation and Finance at which hearing the tempeyor was represented by Godfrey H. Hurrain, You., testinony having been taken and the matture having been duly examined and considered.

The State Tex Conmission beyoby finder

(1) That the tapayers, Henry and Hera Laurence, filed a joint New York State income tax resident return for the year 1960 in which they reported Pederal adjusted gross income in the sum of \$60,917.541 that included in said return the tempayers reported not profit from business conducted by the decedent, Henry Laurence, in the sum of \$6,947.66, income from interest, \$978.66 and income from other sources in the sum of \$13,392.44; that they reported New York itemized deductions in the sum of \$1,303.22 and New York taxable income in the sum of \$17,614.32; that the tampayer Henry Laurence, filed a New York State unincorporated business tax return for the year 1960 in which he reported total receipts in the sum of \$11,279.70 and business expenses in the sum of \$4,332.66 or a net profit from said business in the sum of \$6,947.66; that an April 13, 1964, the Department of Insulism and

Pinence issued a etatement of audit changes imposing additional unincorporated business tax on the sun of \$13,392. A reported as income from other sources and computed unincorporated business tax thereon in the sun of \$434.86 with interest of \$78.17 for a total of \$513.03 and accordingly insued a notice of deficiency therefor.

- (2) That the decedent Benry Laurence during the period from approximately 1957 to 1962 operated a retail tailoring, elemans and procesing establishment at 2766 8th Avenue in the City and State of Now York; that the decedent temporer, Neary Laurence, died on December 20, 1962; that his wife Nova Laurence was oppointed administrative of his estate in the Probate Court of New Jersey, sametime in 1963; that during the year 1960 the temperer operated the eferementioned retail cleaning and pressing establishment in his own name; that he did not maintain any cleaning mechines on the premises but did his own procesing; that in connection with the aforementioned business the decedent tempayer nainteined a day-book to indicate receipts and dishursements and maintained a regular checking advount; that all monior received by the detedent tempager were deposited in the aforesaid checking accounts that the records of the decedent temperer including the day-book, bank statements, very turned ever by the temporer to his accountant who subsequently died in 1963; that the whoresbouts of the aforementioned books and records are unknown and not evallable to the temperor Fore lawrence or her attorney and that the same were not produced or submitted at the bearing.
- (3) That although the tempayors contend that the income of \$13,392. Temparted in Item 12, Schedule C of the income tem return for the year 1960 represented income from vagoring gains and in no way represented business income subject to unincorporated business. tam, they have failed to establish that the above mentioned income did not represent additional income from the business operated and canducted by the decedent tempayor Henry Laurence; that the additional income of \$13,392. Temperaented and was properly considered additional business income subject to unincorporated business tax in accordance with the intent and meaning of sections 7th 4 705, Article 23 of the Tax Law.

Read upon the foregoing findings and all of the oridence presented herein, the State Fax Counterion hereby

REPRESENTATION AND DECIDED.

Plate income tex resident return for the year 1960 under Item 12, Rebedule 6 thereof in the sum of \$13,392,44 constituted additional business income of the decedent temperer Henry Laurence subject to unimporporated business tex in accordance with sections 764 & \$05,45 Article 23 of the Tex Lavy that the statement of andit changes and motion of deficiency are correct; that the same do not include any texas or other charges which could not have been laufully decended and that the temperer's potition for reddermination of a deficiency of unimporporated business tex to and the case is hereby displaced.

DATUDE Albany, New York, on the 6th day-of March 1967.

STATE TAX COUNTSSION

/s/	JOSEPH H. MURPHY
-/s/	JAMES R. MACDUFF
/s/	WALTER MACLYN CONLON