L 9 (11-65)

BUREAU OF LAW Prowne, H. Russell,

TO:

State Tax Commission

FROM:

Solomon Sies, Hearing Officer

SUBJECT:

H. RUSSELL DROWNE, JR.

Petition for Redetermination or For Refund of Unincorporated Business Taxes Under Article 23 of the Tax Law for the Year 1961.

A formal hearing was held in the above matter at the New York City office on May 11, 1966.

The issue involved herein is whether the income of the taxpayer during the year in issue is subject to unincorporated business taxes. Determinative of the issue are two questions: (1) Whether commission income of the taxpayer as an independent life insurance agent received from eleven life insurance companies is subject to unincorporated business tax; and (2) whether the salary income received by the taxpayer from Russell Browne, Inc was integrated, interrelated and connected with his business income as an independent life insurance agent so as to constitute additional business income subject to unincorporated business tax.

The taxpayer was and still is licensed by the Insurance Department of the State of New York as an insurance broker and agent in the writing of life and accident and health insurance in this State. The taxpayer was and still is Chairman of the Board of Russell Drowne, Inc. a domestic corporation organized under the laws of the State of New York, engaged in business as general insurance brokers and insurance agents. He was also a 20% shareholder of the capital stock in said corporation.

During the year 1961 and prior thereto the taxpayer was in charge of the Life Insurance Department of Russell Drowne, Inc. handling all types of life, accident, health and hospitalization insurance and all types of annuity group and pension contracts. There was no written agreement between him and the corporation. On applications for insurance, the taxpayer was designated as agent entitled to receive commissions. However, he assigned such commissions, including renewals, to the corporation. The corporation's books were kept on a fiscal-year basis, ending November 30th. The taxpayer was on a cash-calendar year basis. The resolution of the Board of Directors of the corporation provided that the taxpayer was to receive "First \$16,000 of commissions as earned by Russell Drowne Life Insurance and 40% of excess not to exceed an additional \$2,000.00. Life commissions to include Accident and Health, Personal, Accident, etc." In addition, the officers of the corporation were entitled to a bonus whenever the Board of Directors decided that the profits warranted a distribution thereof.

On November 21, 1952, the taxpayer and Russell Drowne, Inc. entered into two separate agreements in writing with Rollins, Burdick, Hunter Co., a foreign corporation organized under the Laws of the State of Illinois and duly authorized to transact business within the State of New York, engaged as general insurance brokers and insurance agents. Under the terms of the agreement between Russell Drowne, Inc. and Rollins, Burdick, Hunter, Co. the former would turn over to the latter all of the insurance business produced by it, except all kinds and types of life, health, accident and hospitalization insurance and all kinds and types of annuity, group and pension contracts. Burdick, Hunter, Co. agreed to handle and service such insurance consisting in the main of casualty and marine insurance and in -turn agreed to pay to Russell Drowne, Inc. 70% of earned commissions due on the general insurance brokerage business handled by it on behalf of Russell Drowne, Inc. In addition, Rollins, Burdick, Hunter, Co. agreed to furnish and provide Russell Drowne, Inc. office space and facilities at 116 John Street, New York City. The two corporations occupied offices on the 28th floor of said building.

The agreement between Rollins, Burdick, Hunter, Co. and the taxpayer provided that the taxpayer was to act as manager of the Life Insurance Department of the New York office of Rollins, Burdick, Hunter, Co. handling all types of life, accident, health and hospitalization insurance and all types and kinds of annuity group and pension contracts. The taxpayer was designated as the agent on the policy or contract of insurance entitled to receive commissions. Rollins, Burdick, Hunter, Co. advised him of the percentage of commissions in respect to each policy or contract with the company. The taxpayer assigned to Rollins, Burdick, Hunter, Co. one-half of the commissions on each policy or contract including renewals. The taxpayer had the right and privilege of using as much time as he considered it advisable to solicit all types of insurance business for Russell Drowne, Inc. The taxpayer was elected a vice-president of Rollins, Burdick, Hunter Co. to give him identity to solicit life and accident and health insurance through leads

furnished by said corporation. The taxpayer was not a shareholder of stock in Rollins, Burdick, Hunter Co.

On his income tax return for the year 1961 taxpayer reported "wages, salaries, etc." received in the amount of \$33,057.14. This was based upon so-called 'wages' received from Russell Drowne, Inc. in the amount of \$20,130.93, retirement pay from U. S. Army in the amount of \$3,552.48; commissions received as a broker on marine and casualty insurance received from Rollins, Burdick, Hunter Co. \$632.05, and commissions as agent from eleven life insurance companies as follows: New England Mutual Life Ins. Co., \$2,088.92, Connecticut General Nife Ins. Co. \$5,071.07; Manufacturers Life Ins. Co. \$1038.08; Union Central Life Ins. Co. \$80.80; Metropolitan Life Ins. Co. \$60.77: Prudential Life Ins. Co. \$118.83, Equitable Life Assurance Society \$19.08; United States Life Ins. Co. \$15.62: Mutual Benefit Life Ins. Co. \$80.96; New York Life Insurance Co. \$4.05; John Hancock Mutual Ins. Co. \$163.50. The total of the aforementioned commissions amounted to \$9,373.73

The taxpayer occupied a private office in the offices of Russell Drowne, Inc. The clerical and stenographic assistance received by the taxpayer in connection with his insurance activities both on behalf of Russell Drowne, Inc. and Rollins, Burdick, Hunter Co. were paid by the former.

The representatives for the taxpayer submitted a copy of a career agent's contract entered into between the taxpayer and Edmond Nouri, general agent of the New England Mutual Life Insurance Co. of Boston on January 11, 1963, effective as of October 1, 1962. The contract provides that the agent may solicit and procure applications for policies in the New England Mutual Life Insumance Company in New York, New Jersey and in such other territories as may be agreed upon without exclusive representation, and subject to the conditions of the contract. was not a full-time soliciting life insurance agent for any insurance company. The taxpayer was under no supervision or control either by Russell Drowne, Inc. or by Rollins Burdick, Hunter Co. The taxpayer was treated as an employee for payroll purposes by Russell Drowne, Inc. since they deducted withholding and Social Security taxes. the taxpayer received no compensation from Rollins, Burdick,

Hunter Co.

The 1959 ruling of the Tax Commission, applicable to full-time soliciting life insurance agents, does not apply to the taxpayer since he was not a full-time life insurance agent, but, rather, an independent agent representing eleven life insurance companies.

I am of the opinion that the commission income received by the taxpayer from eleven life insurance companies as an independent agent constituted the carrying on of a business solely within the State of New York subject to unincorporated business tax in accordance with the provisions of Section 703 of the Tax Law. I am of the further opinion that the so-called "salary" income received by the taxpayer from Russell Drowne, Inc. was related, connected and integrated with his commission income as an independent life insurance agent, subject to unincorporated business tax.

For the reasons stated above, I recommend that the decision of the Tax Commission in this matter be substantially in the form submitted herewith.

March 28, 1968.

4-26-68

HEARING OFFICER

IN THE MATTER OF THE PERSON

20

H. RUSSELL BOSINE, JR.

POR A REDUTERMINANTION OF A DEPTRIMETY OR FOR REPURD OF UNINCORPORATED BUSINESS TAKES UNDER ARTISLE 23 OF THE TAX LAW FOR THE YEAR 1961.

-------------------------------**-**

II. Mosell Ryome, Jr. having filed a potition for redetermination of a deficiency or for refund of unincorporated business taxes under Article 23 of the Tex law for the year 1961 and a hearing buring been held in expection therewith at the office of the State Text Countasian at 80 Contro Street, New York, N.Y. on the 11th day of May 1966 before Solomon Sice, Newsing Officer of the Repartment of Tourtion and Pinance, at which bearing the tempopur appeared parametry and tree supercented by Tubeter, Shefficial, Fleischman, Mitchesch and Chrystie, Roge, by Henry Countage Smith, Neg. and Stephen Raye, Suj., of Countal, and the matter bering been duly emmined and considered.

The State Text Countrains hereby finds:

- (1) That the tampayor, H. Buscall Brismo, Ary, filed a Sur York State Success for the year 1961, in which he strough "vages, salaries, etc." received in the amount of \$33,067,16; that included in said amount was \$20,130.93 received from H. Buscall Srome, Inc., commission income received from Relline, Burdisk, Manter Co. and deven other life incurance companies in the approprie smaller of \$9,373.73 and retirement pay in the amount of \$3,552.48 passived from the V.S. Army.
- (2) That an September 13, 1965 the Department of Tamption and Pinance Louis a statement of sudit spanges against the temperor, bolding that the amount of \$9,373.73 restined by the temperor supre-

sented business income received by him as an independent life insurance agent subject to unincomparated business tem, and farther helding that the amount of \$20,130.93 received by the tempeyor from buscell business. Inc. was integrated with and related to the aforementioned business income so as to constitute additional business income subject to unincomparated business tem and, accordingly, issued a notice of deficiency therefor together with panalty and integest in the security of \$1,134.99.

(3) That prior to 1961 the tempeyer was, and still is, a dely licensed incurance broker and agent in the writing of life and accident and bealth incurence; that during the year 1961, and prior thereto, the tangayer M. Rescell Browns, Jr. was, and still is, Chairman of the Board of Respell Drowns, Inc., a demostic corporation organised under the laws of the State of New York, engaged in business as insurance brokers and agents, maintaining an office at 116 John Street, Nov York City: that the tempeyer was in charge of the Life Incurence Department of Resmell Browns, Inc., handling all types of life, socident and health and hospitalisation incurance and all types and kinds of annuity, group and pension contracts; that there was no written agreement of employment entered into between the tampayer and hessell Drives, Inc., that the applications for insurance heretofore mentioned the tempayer was designated as agent entitled to receive commissions on the premiums paid thereon, including renewal commissions; that the assucerid commissions were assigned by tampayer to Russell Drawns, Inc.; that the corporate resolution of Austell Aroune, Inc. (whose books were high in a fiscal year basis ending Movember 20th) provided that the temperor be poid an annual calary of \$16,000 from the first \$16,000 of commissions earned by the Life Ensurance Department of Muscell Browns, Ens. plus 40% of additional consissions with a maximum limit of \$2,000; that the tampayer reported his income on a cash calendar year basis; that the tanyayer received from Russell Browne, Inc. dering the year 1961 the

booms of \$10 div.93; that America Drawns, Inc. paid the tempered to become of \$300 in 1961; that America Drawns, Inc. withheld Social Security and Pederal and State Income Turns from the emperedicts paid to the tempered.

(4) That as or shout Sevenber 21, 1962 the temphyor: estered into an agreement with helling, Durdlet, Menter Co., & Savelyn ecoperation econical under the laws of the State of Ellinois and dely authorized to transact business within the State of Nor York, engaged in business as general incurence bruker and life incurence agent at their 116 John Street, New York City whoreby the tempoyer was to het 25: 11:11 manager of the New York office of the aferential corporation, handling all types of life, eccident, health and hospitalization incurance and annuity, group and pension contractor that the tempoyer was elected & vice provident of Rollins, Burdish, Bunhar Co. to give him identify to collect life, souldest and bealth incurrence through looks furnished by said corporations that the corporation advised him of the personate of commission he would be entitled with respect to each policy or contract; that the tamerer was designated as the agent on the policy or centract of incurance entitled to receive commissions: that the temptyer conigned to Rolling, Surdick, Sunter Co. eno-balf of the ourmissions on such policy or contract, including renovalor that the temperer was parelitted to devote as much time on he evenified advisable in the validitation of all types of insurance on babalf of housell. browns, Inc.; that the tangeyer secrived from Belline, Durdish, Bunton Co. as broker on marine and casualty inverses countrations in the mount of \$632,05; that purposet to the shove-mentioned agreement the tempoyer received the following commissions from eleven (11) life to compenion: New England Matual Life Incurence Co., \$2,000.05, northly Conoral Life Incusance Co. \$5,071.07; Hunufastusess Life Insurance Co. \$1,020.00; this Control Life Insurance Co. \$60.00;

Motospolitan iiio insurance Company (50.77; Predocticl iiio incurance Co. (110.83; Equitable iiio Accusance Contesty (19.80; United Chatco iiio incurance Co. (15.42; Entent Denoctic iiio incurance Co. (10.46; Incurance Co. (10.46; Iohn Stancock Metuni incurance Co. (14.55.50.

- (5) that an or shout the 21st day of Herenber 1950 Resocii Browns, Inc. entered into a written agreement with Million Burdish Manber Co. whereby Ressell Resume. Inc. would been over to the congeny all of the incurence business produced by it as an incurence business emount all kinds and types of life, bealth, accident and heavicalization incurence and all kinds and types of ensuity, group and puncture contracts which the company agreed to bundle and segvice on its buhalf and in turn pay contain countraine to Russell Brance. Inc.; that the causay agreed to and did provide huseall busine, her, with editor open and facilities in Nor York City and paid the rest theoreter; that the adoptor would charge the Annell Roume, Die. commission account so a debit, all unword office and traveling and out-of-office emences and the amount of comminutous aredited to said account which shall be reducible ashated or returned; that the adecementioned agreement was modified in 1865 or as to provide that the pursuaters of constantens des and ptythis to Anscell Browns. Inc. shall be 70% of carned counterious des as assessi incurrence business headled by the company on behalf of Russell System, The s
- (6) That the tempeyer had entered into a course agent's agreement with Mineral House, Concret Agent of the New England Materia hide Incurrence Congress of Section, to entirely and procure agglicultures for policies in each congress in New York and New Juresy and other territories as may be agreed upon, but without employer segmentation; that the tempeyer was not a Sulltime soliciting hide incurrence agent for the Neuri Agency, New Sugland Mutual hide Incurrence Co., or

any other insurance company, but was an independent life insurance agent representing eleven insurance companies, none of which deducted withhelding or equial security tames.

- (7) That neither hereall browns, Inc. nor helias burdies

 Bunter Co. emerciaed sufficient supervision or control over the nor

 tivities of the tempayer so as to constitute an employer-amployee
 relationship.
- (8) That the income received by the temperer from Anneall Drawns, Inc. was integrated, inter-related and commonted with and in furtherance of the temperer's independent life incurance agant activities for eleven incurance companies so as to constitute additional business income.

based upon the fewegoing findings and all of the evidence admitted herein, the State Tax Commission hereby

- (A) That the commissions carned by the tampayer and secoived by him directly from eleven incurance companies as an independent
 life insurance agent and his activities in commercian therewith conexituted that of an independent contractor regularly carrying on a
 business solely within the State of New York subject to unincorporated
 business tax in accordance with the intent and meaning of Section 703
 of the Tax Law.
- (D) That the income received by the tampager from H. Imposell browne, Inc. and his activities in connection therewith ware integrated, interrelated, connected with and in furtherance of his activities as an independent life insurence equat for eleven insurance companies so as to constitute additional business income subject to unincorporated business tax within the intent and meaning of Section 703 of the Tax Law.
- (C) That, accordingly, the Statement of Audit Changes and Notice of Deficiency made against the tempeyor for the year 1961 are

convect and do not include any tam or other charge which could not have been lawfully demanded; that the tempoyer's potition for vodetermination of a deficiency or for reduct of unincorporated business tax filed with respect thereto be and the same is hereby denied.

Pateds Alberr, Her Took this 10th day of

May 1

للخلافة للسنان الملك المنسا

throteas - Open the Authoritation of SA

JOSEPH H. MURPHY

/s/

A. BRUCE MANLEY

Commissions

/s/

SAMUEL E. LEPLER

William Charles

Miller Mannethy by

the Computation and

official methodological supplies and supplies of the supplies

and the control of the property of the control of t

neti di Peringua di Perengan di Perengan Seria da Perengan Seria di Perengan Perengan Perengan Perengan Pereng Perengan P Perengan Pe

The weeks the top owner,

en de la companya de