

A-2

Unincorp. Bus. Tax Determination  
BUREAU OF LAW  
MEMORANDUM D. & M. Motive Parts Co.

**TO:** Commissioners Murphy, MacNeer and Condon  
**FROM:** Vincent Maltese, Roaring Creek  
**SUBJECT:** Paul Braga and Kenneth L. Hodder  
Individuality and as co-partners  
doing business under the firm name  
and style of D & M Motive Parts Co.

Application for revision or refund  
of unreported business tax under  
Article 14-A of the Tax Law for the  
fiscal year ended April 30, 1959

~~Paul Braga~~ X - reo  
Application for revision or refund  
of personal taxes under Article  
18 of the Tax Law for the year 1959

~~Kenneth L. & Charles L. Hodder~~ X - reo  
Application for revision or refund  
of personal taxes under Article  
18 of the Tax Law for the year 1959

A hearing on the above returns was planned to take place  
before me sometime in July, 1968, and taxpayers did not appear to  
person or by representative.

The question involved is whether or not the individual  
status of a corporation owned by Charles L. Hodder  
should not control in the computation of individual  
taxes. Hodder was originally granted the right to make  
claim deduction of the individual cost of his interest  
with no limitation over or upon amounts received.  
This is not authorized by the law Section 314. Any  
claims for deductions are based upon the personal information  
supplied.

Mr. Michael Koss, CPA, 306 Madison Avenue New York City,  
represented for the petitioners at a preliminary hearing on October 15,  
1968. As a result of the hearing, he informed me that Mr.  
Hodder had stated that he would present the same to me  
the second day hearing. A telephone call was made to Mr. Koss  
on November 3, 1968. Unanswered telephone calls have been made  
but no written document has been received.

For the Reasons stated above, I recommend that the  
decisions of the Tax Committee in the above matter determine  
the denial of the applications for revision be automatically an  
the sum of \$1000.00 be awarded.

/S/ VINCENT P. MOLINEAUX

February 20, 1967

\_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_  
2000.



STATE OF NEW YORK  
STATE TAX COMMISSION

---

IN THE MATTER OF THE APPLICATION

OF

PAUL DRAPIN AND KENNETH L. HODKOV  
INDIVIDUALLY AND AS CO-PARTNERS DOING  
BUSINESS UNDER THE FIRM NAME AND SEAL  
OF D & H MOTIVE PARTS COMPANY

FOR REVISIION OR REVISION OR REFUND OF UNINCORPORATED  
BUSINESS TAX UNDER ARTICLE 14-A OF THE  
TAX LAW FOR THE FISCAL YEAR ENDED  
APRIL 30, 1977

---

The taxpayers, Paul Drapin and Kenneth L. Hodkow, having filed an application for revision or refund of unincorporated business tax under Article 14-A of the Tax Law for the fiscal year ended April 30, 1977 and a hearing having been scheduled thereon at the office of the State Tax Commission at 60 Centre Street, New York, New York for the first day of December, 1977 and an appearance having been made on behalf of the taxpayers, and the documents on file having been duly examined and considered,

The State Tax Commission hereby finds:

- (1) That the taxpayers filed a New York State partnership return for the fiscal year ended April 30, 1977 which showed no tax due.
- (2) That on or about March 1, 1977 an award in the amount of \$14,500 was made to taxpayers by the City of New York for land, buildings, fixtures and improvements acquired by condemnation, and replacement property was acquired by the

partnership for \$9,397.36 leaving a balance of \$7,102.64 which was not expended for replacement property.

(3) That Assessment B 63490 was issued December 5, 1940 assessing business tax in the amount of \$194.39 based upon additional reportable income in the amount of \$7,102.64 which was the portion of the award in condemnation not expended in the acquisition of other property similar or related in service to that which was condemned.

(4) That application for revision of assessment B 63490 was filed July 28, 1941, was dated November 20, 1941 and demand for hearing was filed with the Commission January 5, 1942.

(5) That the application is based upon deduction of the adjusted cost of the condemned property before deduction of the cost of replacement property which is not authorized under Section 354 of the Tax Law.

(6) That a hearing was scheduled for December 1, 1941 at 3 o'clock p.m. at 90 Centre Street, New York, New York and taxpayers were duly notified thereof.

(7) That taxpayers failed to appear at the said hearing or to present evidence or arguments to support the application for revision.

Based upon the foregoing findings and all of the evidence in the file, the State Tax Commission hereby

**DETERMINES:**

- (A) That the portion of the condemnation award in the sum of \$7,102.64 not expended on the acquisition of similar or related to use property was correctly recognized as business income.
- (B) That Assessment B 63490 was correctly issued.

(c) That the denial of the application for revision be confirmed

AND IT IS SO ORDERED.

Bethel: Albany, New York, on the 3rd day of March, 1967.

STATE TAX COMMISSION

/s/

JOSEPH H. MURPHY

/s/

JAMES R. MACDUFF

/s/

WALTER MACLYN CONLON