L 9 (2-67)

Unincorp. Bas, Tak BUREAU OF LAW Determinations A-Z

MEMORANDUM

Cohen, Joseph J.

TO:

Commissioners Marphy, Maduff and Conlon

FROM:

Francis Y. Dov. Mooring Officer

SUBJECT:

In the Matter of the Petition of Joseph J. Gohan for a Redetermination of a Deficiency or for Refund of Value corporated Duciness Tunes under Article 25 of the Tax Law for the Year 1962

A hearing with reference to the above matter was held before as at 80 Centre Street, New York, New York on March 7, 1967. The tempeyer did not appear, but was requestly his accountant who stated that he had personal hemilidge of the facts. The appearances and the exhibits predicted we as shown in the stemographic minutes submitted herewith.

A notice of deficiency and statement of mailt character issued on November 22, 1965 (7(10 No. 2-3261976)) determining unincorporated business tenne of \$470,67 and interest of \$73,50 on business income of the temperar in the amount of \$21,456.96 as a sales agent on his failure to \$11. in to fille an unincorporated buriness tax return for the year 1962,

The tempayor contended that he was not engued in an unincorporated business as defined by section folice of the Tax Law so smended in 1962 since he meintedned no office and was not engaged in a business.

The tempayer is a sales agent for three primals for whom he sales bettles, labels and bettle cape to sale bettling companies. His sales territory was leasted in a around New York City and his customers were limited to be than ten. The seds bottling companies to whom he sold ye chased products from each of the tempeyer's principals. The tempeyer could not solicit now quantumers unless he was given permissions to do so by his principals. The tempeyer was permissions on cales by each of his principals. He deduction were withheld from countscions for social security or increased by any principal. The tempeyer did not participate in any of his principals applyae benefit plans. He employed assistants. The tempeyer was required to file sales reports with his principals but was required to file sales reports assistants. The tempeyer was required to file sales reports with his principals but was not otherwise controlled by them

He maintained a room in which he stored complet of the merchandine sold by his principals. The tempeyor claim that he maintained no office but that he had a filing early in his home for the storage of records. This is control

by the evidence whereby it appears that the texpayer listed spenses for office stationery and postage for the year in the amount of \$356.47. He also deducted \$720.00 on his return which be claimed was the cost of storing his records in the filing cabinet in his apertment. In addition, he deducted \$250.00 as the expense of renting a room for storing samples of the merchandise that he seld. He also claimed other selling expenses for which he was not reinbursed by his principals. They included entertainment expenses for soliciting quetouses. gifts and souvenirs for itams given at trade conventions for good will given to maintain his quetomers, and expenses for trade conventions, hetel and food, bank charges, telephone, auto rental and depreciation.

It is my opinion that the tempeyer is emgaged in an unincorporated business subject to the unincorporated business tem. In the case of <u>George H. Britton v. Marchy</u>, 22 A D 2d 987, recently affirmed by the Court of Appeals without epinion, it was held that a multiple line salesmen for eleven principals was subject to the unincorporated business tax. In that ease it was found that the salasman had an effice in his hope. The other elements found in that case are similar to the facts in this case and support the conclusion that the tempeyer is the instant matter is also carrying on a business.

A finding that the tempeyer is not an employee but an independent centractor is sufficient to subject him in the first instance to unincorporated business tames. See Syndbarg v. Bragalini, 17 A D 24 15 and Wittich v. Browns. 270 App. Div. 774 aff a 296 N. Y. 720.

For the reasons stated above, I recommend that the determination of the State Tex Commission denying the texpayer's petition in the above matter be substantially in the ferm submitted herewith.

> /s/ FRANCIS V. DOW Maring VERLEAR

PVDIPE april 17, 1907 g K my Af 4/25/63

YEAR THE WALLE V. MAT

AA.

STATE OF NEW YORK

STATE TAX COMMISSION

IN THE MATTER OF THE PETITION

OF

JOBETH J. COHEN

FOR A REDETERMINATION OF A DEFICIENCY OR FOR REFUND OF UNINCORPORATED BUEL-NESS TAXES UNDER ARTICLE 23 OF THE TAX LAW FOR THE YEAR 1962

The taxpayer having duly filed a petition for a redetermination of a deficiency or for refund of unincorporated business taxes under Article 23 of the Tax Law for the year 1962, and a hearing having been held in connection therewith at the office of the State Tax Commission, 80 Centre Street, New York, New York on March 7, 1967 before Francis V. Dow, Hearing Officer of the Department of Taxation and Finance, at which hearing the taxpayer's representative appeared and testified, and the record having been duly examined and considered,

1

The State Tax Commission hereby finds:

- (1) That the texpayer filed an income tax return for the year 1962 in which he reported business income as a sales agent in the amount of \$21,456.96; that the texpayer did not file an unincorporated business tax return for the year 1962.
- (2) That a notice of deficiency and statement of audit changes were issued for the year 1962 on November 22, 1965 (File No. 2-3281578) determining unincorporated business tax and interest due in the sum of \$544.17 which was based on the failure of the taxpayer to file an unincorporated business tax and computing unincorporated business tax and computing unincorporated business tax on his income as a sales agent.

- (3) That the taxpayer represented three principals for whom he sold bottles, labels and bottle caps to soda bottling companies; that the taxpayer sold the product of his principals to the same customers; that the taxpayer had less than ten customers.
- (4) That the taxpayer was not permitted to solicit new customers without obtaining permission to do so from his principals; that the taxpayer was paid commissions on sales from which no deductions were withheld for social security or income taxes; that the taxpayer did not belong to any employee benefit plans of his principals.
- (5) That the taxpayer was required to file sales reports with his principals; that the taxpayer was not controlled
 by his principals as to the manner or means sales orders were
 obtained; that the taxpayer was not reimbursed for any of his
 selling expenses; that the taxpayer's claimed expenses included
 entertainment expenses for soliciting customers, gifts and
 souvenirs for items given at trade conventions for good will
 given to maintain his customers, and expenses for trade conventions, hotel and food, bank charges, telephone, auto rental and
 depreciation.
- (6) That the taxpayer rented a room in which he stored samples of the merchandise sold by him for his principals; that the taxpayer employed no assistants; that the taxpayer maintained records of his sales in a file cabinet in his home; that the taxpayer incurred postage and office stationery expenses; that the taxpayer deducted \$720 as his expense for maintaining business records and office equipment; that he deducted \$250 as the expense of renting a room for storing samples of the merchandise that he sold.

Based upon the foregoing findings and all of the evidence presented herein, the State Tax Commission hereby

DECIDES

- (A) That the taxpayer maintained an office in his home in connection with his activities as a sales agent; that the activities of the taxpayer as a sales agent as set forth in the findings of fact were carried on by him as an independent contractor and not as an employee and constitute the carrying on of an unincorporated business the income of which is subject to an unincorporated business tax within the intent and meaning of section 703 of the Tax Law.
- (B) That accordingly the notice of deficiency and statement of sudit changes for the year 1962 (File No. 2-3261578) do not include any tax or other charge which could not have been lawfully demanded and that the taxpayer's petition for redetermination of a deficiency or for refund of unincorporated business taxes be and the same is hereby denied.

Deted: Albeny, New York, this 8th day of May , 1967.

STATE TAX CONCLESION

/s/	JOSEPH H. MURPHY
	President
/s/	JAMES R. MACDUFF
	Commissioner
/s/	WALTER MACLYN CONLON
	comissioner