L 9 (12-67)

## BUREAU OF LAW MEMORANDUM H-Z

TO:

State Tax Commission

FROM:

Vincent P. Molineaux, Hearing Officer

SUBJECT:

BORAK AND BORAK

Petition for Redetermination of Deficiency of Unincorporated Business Tax Under Article 23 of the Tax Law for the Years 1960 and 1961

A hearing on the above matter was held before me at 80 Centre Street, New York, New York on September 20, 1967.

The question at issue is whether the firm of Borak and Borak, certified shorthand reporters, is entitled to professional exemption from the unincorporated business tax pursuant to Section 703(c) of the Tax Law.

The petitioners, busband and wife, are both certified shorthand reporters and filed partnership returns for the two years in question showing gross income of approximately \$138,000 and \$157,000, respectively. The returns computed no unincorporated business tax.

Notices of deficiency and statements of audit changes for both years in question were issued April 13, 1965, on the ground that less than 80 percent of the total income "is derived from personal services actually rendered by the . . . members of the partnership", to which taxpayers took exception by the filing, on June 21, 1965 of petition for redetermination.

The partners cover some of the shorthand reporting assignments. Other reporters are hired as needed. All typing is done by employees of the partnership. (P. 30) More than 20 percent of the gross receipts is attributable to manuscripts on which independent reporters had taken the shorthand reporting and less than 80 percent of the gross income is attributable to personal services actually rendered by the partners. This is an estimate based upon the testimony (P. 29) of Mr. Borak that for the years 1960 and 1961 they would have an average of two to four reporters working each day in addition to themselves and the statements in the Federal income tax returns for 1960 and 1961 showing reporters paid \$28,723 and \$39,309 out of gross income of \$138,000 and \$157,558, respectively.

Exemption is claimed by the taxpayers on the ground that all of the work is supervised and directed by the partners.

Windry Par Tax Action

However, it is inconsistent with the very nature of shorthand reporting which is the personal act of the reporter, that such work be attributable to the firm which sends him out and types up the work that he has taken down and put on a dictaphone record or tape.

This question was previously considered by the Commission in Matter of Sally Goetz decided June 26, 1963. Hiss Goetz, also a certified shorthand reporter, hired a free lance certified reporter who accounted for more than 25 percent of the gross income. The Commission determined in that case that the business income was subject to unincorporated business tax. A copy of the determination is submitted herewith.

In <u>Hewitt v. Bates</u>, (1942) 297 N.Y. 248 in which the courts considered the 80 percent requirement, a licensed teacher, conducting a school in which other teachers were employed to account for more than 20 percent of the gross income was held to be subject to the unincorporated business tax as teaching, by its very nature, is a personal act that does not lend itself to the kind of supervision that makes the act of the subordinate attributable to the superior.

Based upon the foregoing, I recommend that the determination of the Commission in this matter denying the petition for revision be substantially in the form submitted herewith.

/s/	V. P.	MOLINEAUX
4	Hearing Officer	

Villac Enc.

February 14, 1968

2-19-68

STATE OF SHIP TORK STATE TAX CONCRESSOR

IN THE MARKE OF THE PARTY OF

-

MARK AND DORAK

POR EMPERORMAN OF PROPERTY OF

The temporary, Mathem Devels and Zandors &. Borok, beving Siles a potition for redetermination of deficiency of uninedge-rated business tem under Article S3 of the Tem law Ser the years 1960 and 1961, and a bearing beving been hald at the office of the State Sax Semination at 80 dealer Street, New York on the teachieth day of September, 1967 before Vincent P. Melinesse, Marring Officer of the Department of Taxables and Pintence, and the record baving been duly examined and considered,

- (1) That the temperors 211ed partnership returns for the years 1960 and 1961 on which no unincorporated business the une computed.
- (2) That on April 13, 1965 the State The Comminators
  Legach notices of deficiency in the assemble of \$1,114.96 for 1960
  and \$662.33 for 1961 (Pile Sec. 491 and P-491) on the ground that
  income received by the partnerskip from shorthand court reporting,
  less than 50 percent of the gross income of which is derived from
  percently corvices actually rendered by the individual members of
  the partnerskip, is income from an unincorporated business subject
  to Apticle 23 of the Tax Law for each of the above years.
  - (3) That the potitioners, husband and uife, are both

cartematics.

(A) That nere than this of the green receipts to obtain butable to numericals on which independent reperture had taken the abortional reportings and that lean than the of the green income to obtain the personal nervices actually rendered by the perture.

Shoot wen the formula findings and all of the orliness presented herein, the State for Completion hereby

- (A) Shet known received by the perinarchly to firm an unknownered business as defined in Section 765 of the Sec Law and is subject to unknowneredad business ten under Article 25 of the seld for law.
- (2) Shot the statements of matte shanges and notices of deficiency toward against Serak and Serak on April 13, 1985, 7130.

  Sec. 401 and 7-401 are servent, and
- (8) That the potition for redetermination is hareby

MARKET Albany, New York this 13th day of March , 2000.

/s/ JOSEPH H. MURPHY

/s/ A. BRUCE MANLEY

/s/ SAMUEL E. LEPLER