L 9 (2-67)

BUREAU OF LAW Becker, arthur

Ludwig E.

TO:

Commissioners Murphy, Maddeff and Conton

FROM:

Francis Y. Dow, Bearing Officer

SUBJECT:

LEBUM B. MOURE

In the matter of the petition for a redetermination of a deficiency or for refund of unincorporated business temes under Article £3 of the Tax Law for the years 1961 and 1962

ALTERNA INCIDA

In the matter of the potition for a redetermination of a deficiency or for refund of unknoorperated business tense under Article 23 of the Ten Law for the years 1961 and 1962

Hearings with reference to the above captioned matter held before so at 60 Centre Street, Her York, Her York on June 1967. Since common questions are involved, by concent of the to payors and their representative, the almosts and exhibits in as the hearings were incorporated and node a part of the other. I appearances and the evidence produced were as shown in the star minutes submitted because.

A notice of deficiency and a statement of smill charge issued on November 22, 1965 for the years 1961 and 1962 (File 2-7587485) determining that the tempeyor, Arthur Bucker, was at a tex liability for unincorporated business tox and interest in the sum of \$805.48 on the basis that his activities of a full colourn, and of best chartering and aircraft cales constitute corrying an of an unincorporated business.

A notice of deficiency and statement of audit changes to insuce on Hovember 22, 1965 for the years 1961 and 1962 (File No. 2-3838163) determining that the temperor, lading 2. Resher, was a to a text liability for unincorporated business text and interest of in the sum of \$643.01 on the basis that his activities as a culta salesmen constitute the carrying on of an unincorporated business

The tempeyers, Arthur Booker and Ludwig E. Booker, who are brothers, are officers and shareholders (such having a 1/3 interest) of F. V. Ingal, Inc., a comparation located in New York which is engaged in the wholesaling of outlary. They were paid salaries for administrative duties from which deductions were taken for social security and income tax. In addition to their salaries the tempeyers were also yaid commissions on salar which they made on behalf of their

company within and without New York State. Income and coaled security taxes were not deducted from the countrations. They were reinburged by their company for their solling expenses connected with soles unde in the New York area.

Personner Company, inc., a corporation whose only office was leasted in Now York. The temperature sold no entlary for it in the Now York area. They were paid commissions on ealer from which no withhelding taxes were deducted. They were required to maintain route shocks for this company and to call upon designated contempre at regular intervals. This on calling types for the R. E. Personner Company, No., the temperature also maid outlany for P. V. Negal, No. In some instances they call outlary of both companies to the same contempre. They were not reinbursed for any polling expenses which included promotion and entertainment expenses by either company while an those calling trips extends of the York. The temperature did not expertise the calling expenses as calls may for both companies. Neither temperar minimized an effice of their sun nor did they employ any acaletance. They had no regular place of business outside Nor York State.

In addition to his salling artivities the temperar, Arthur Sector, was also engaged in book chartering and alremark sales. Be alremark sales were unde during the years in question. Sharters of the temperar's best were arranged through a broker to then the temperar said comments.

It is my opinion that the temperary' income which they remained as animary from F. V. Engel, Enc. is not emblact to white corporated business the. However, mentes distributed by F. V. Engel, Encourage the contestions on tales were income from an unincomparated business. (See Jacque, Schiffmenister's Enterty, Sp. J. St. 180, 180 S. Y. S. St. St., reput families for any opinion of the contestion of the contest of the contest

Although the temperary were required to maintain reute themse and call an specified curtainers at regular intervals for the R. E. Forsebner Guesany, Inc., the control energies over them was not sufficient to permit the temperary to be regarded as their eminares since the temperary were not etherwise controlled by their pointings with regard to the number or means sales orders were obtained.

Although all sales for 2. E. Foresteer Company, 200, which and entered both within and without New York Plate. The consistent which was conducted both within and without New York Plate. The comparated on the sales should not be employed from witness corporated business tax. Section 707 of the Tax law book not permit allocation of business income if the business in quanties has be regular place of business entering East Tork State. I am therefore of the spinion that the commissions entered from both companies were income from an unincorporated business.

. 3 .

For the reasons stated above, I recommend that the decisions of the State for Commission affirming the definioner encept to the entent of cancelling the parties of the tex deficiency which recalled from the inclusion of salaries resolved from 7. V. Input, Inc. to receipts in their unincorporated business be substantially in the fore substituted bereatth. The proposed determination reduces the tex deficiency of Labring B. Sector from \$503.01 to \$500.05 and reduces the tex deficiency of Labring B. Sector from \$503.01 to \$500.05.

/s/

FRANCIS V. DOW

770 jan 2000 3-23-67

March 13, 1967

SPACE OF HIM YORK SPACE TAX CONSTRUCTOR

All the later was

POR A REPRESENTATION OF A DEFICIENCY OF POR REPURD OF UNIXCONDUCATED MUSICIONS GALES UNIQUE ARTICLE 23 OF THE TAX LAW FOR THE TRANS 1961.

Arthur Becker, the tampayer, having duly filed a potition for redutermination of a deficiency or for refund of unincorporated business tames under Article 23 of the Tax Law for the years 1961 and 1962, and a hearing having been held in connection therewith at the office of the State Tax Countesian, 80 centre Street, New York, New York on January 13, 1967 before Francis V. Dow, Mearing Officer of the Department of Taxation and Finance, at which hearing the tempayer appeared and testified, and the record having been duly amenined and considered,

The State Tax Countraies hereby finds:

- (1) That the tampayer filed resident income tem returns for the years 1961 and 1962; that the tampayer did not file unincorporated business tax returns for the years 1961 and 1962.
- (2) That a notice of deficiency and a statement of audit changes were issued on November 22, 1965 for the years 1961 and 1962 (713c No. 2-7527485) determining that a tax limbility and interest was due from the taxpayer, Arthur Becker, for unincorporated business taxes, and interest for those years in the amount of \$806.48 on the basis that his activities as a cutlery sciences and his best chartering and sizeraft sales constituted the carrying on of an unincorporated

business.

- (3) That the tempeyer is an efficer and shareholder of F. W. Engel, Inc., a corporation located in New York which is engaged in the distribution of outlery at wholesale; that the tempeyer received a salary of \$8,160 in 1961 and \$6,660 in 1962 from the said corporation from which deductions for secial security and income taxes were withheld.
- (4) that in addition to his administrative duties, the tempayer acted as a selection for the said corporation and received a consistion on sales made; that such sales were made within and without New York State; that no deductions were taken from the commissions for social security or income takes; that the tempayer was reinbursed for his selling expenses which he incurred while selling cuttent cuttery for F. V. Engel, Inc. in the New York area, but was not reinbursed when selling outside the area.
- (5) That the tampeyer also sold entlery for R. H. Forechner Co., Inc., a corporation which has no place of business located outside New York State; that the tampeyer cold none of the products of R. H. Forechner Co., Inc. in New York State; that the tampeyer was paid a commission on sales made for the said corporation; that no deductions were taken from his commissions for social security or income taxes; that the tampeyer was required to call on designated customers at regular intervals and to maintain route sheets in his representation of the R. H. Forechner Co., Inc.; that the tampeyer was not reimbursed for any expenses in connection with makes made for the said corporation.
- (6) That the tampayer while on sales trips in behalf of the R. M. Perschner Co., Inc. also sold cutlery for F. V. Magel, Inc.

in the same territory outside of New York State; that the temperar occasionally sold outlary of both companies to the same customers; that there was no agreement on the division of the temperar's time between the two companies; that the temperar's commissions less unreimbursed expanses from outlary sales from both companies amounted to \$8,760.01 in 1961 and the sum of \$9,692.73 in 1962.

- (7) That the temperar's unreinbureed selling expenses included expenses for entertainment of customers and promotion; that the temperar could not apportion his unreinbureed selling expenses between both of the companies; that the temperar was not controlled by his principals with regard to the manner or means sales orders were obtained; that the temperar maintained no effice; that the temperar employed no assistants.
- (8) That the tempeyer was also engaged in book chartering and aircraft sales; that no aircraft sales were made by the tempeyer in 1962 or 1962; that the tempeyer chartered a book owned by him through the services of a broker to when the tempeyer paid commissions; that in 1961 the tempeyer had income from his book chartering services in the sum of \$1,544.10; that in 1962 the tempeyer lost the sum of \$1,544.10; that in 1962 the tempeyer lost the sum of \$1,544.10; that in 1962 the tempeyer lost the sum of \$1,544.10;
- (9) That the charitable contributions of the unincorporated business of the tempayor were in the sums of \$485 in 1961 and \$500 in 1962.

Mased upon the foregoing findings and all of the evidence presented herein, the State Tex Commission hereby

DECIDES:

(A) That the salary of the tempeyer from F. W. Engel, Inc. in the amounts of \$8,160 in 1961 and \$6,660 in 1962 was not subject

to unincorporated business tax since it was received for administrative duties performed as an employee from which social occurity and income taxes were withheld, and did not constitute part of a business regularly carried on by him.

- (3) That the activities of the tempayer as a cutlery calconn representing F. W. Engel, Inc. and R. E. Forschner Co., Inc. constitute the carrying on of an unincorporated business and the income from such activities is subject to unincorporated business tax.
- (C) That the taxpayer's activities of boat chartering constituted the carrying on of an unincorporated business and income from the source is subject to the unincorporated business tax.
- (D) That the texpayer's unincorporated business tax is recomputed as follows:

		150.	2002
Not Business Income Loss Contributions Loss Allowance for Salance Services Talance Loss Exemption Tanable Susiness Income	73	.304.11 .863.00 .819.11 .263.82	Insufficient business income to be subject to tax
Business Tex Credit Unincorporated Business Tex D Interest Computed to 10/22/63		II;I3 3.60	
TOTAL	*	94.08	

(F) That the notice of deficiency and statement of sudit changes (File Ro. 2-7527885) is medified by campalling the unincerporated business tax for the year 1961 to the extent of \$330.91 and by campalling entirely the unincorporated business tax for the year 1962; that the 1961 unincorporated business tax and interest computed to November RS, 1965, the date of the notice of deficiency, in the

and statement of audit changes as modified do not include any tax or other charges which could not have been lastilly demanded; that the tempeyer's petition for a redetermination of a deficiency or for a refund of unincorporated business tax with respect to the netice of deficiency for the years 1961 and 1962 as modified, be and the same hereby is denied.

Detect: Albert, New York this 13th day of April , 1967.

STATE THE CONSTRUCTOR

JOSEPH H. MURPHY
7000000
WALTER MACLYN CONLON

COMES OF HER YORK COMES TAX CONSTRUCTOR

Liver D. Dorm

Judicy 2. Booker, the temperor, having daily filed a polition for redetermination of a deflectomy or for refund of unincorporated business tenes under Article 23 of the Sax for the years 19th and 19th, and a hearing having been held in counter-tion thereath at the office of the State Sax Counterion, 30 destre Street, New York, New York on January 11, 19th before Francis 7. Dow, Hearing Officer of the Superturns of Saxtion and Planton, 46 which hearing the temperor appeared and testified, and the revort having been duly assessed and appetitured.

the State the Countesian hereby finds:

- (1) Shot the temperor Fliet resident income tem returns for the years 1962 and 1962; that the temperor did not flie uninemperated business tem returns for the years 1962 and 1962.
- (2) Shot a action of deficiency and a statement of coult along a more induction as inventor \$2, 1965 for the years 1961 and 1962 (File Dr. 2-3535163) determining that a ten liability and interest one due from the tempoyer, Sadulg 2. Dector, for unincorporated business tempo, and interest for those years in the amount of \$653.65 on the basis that his activities as a cultury spiceum constituted the corrying on of an unincorporated business.

- (3) that the temperar to an efficier and character of P. V. Ingel, Im., a comperation temperal to the test test to the distribution of outlary at chalconies that the test test of test to the test of test of test of test of the test of test of
- (b) That in addition to bit administrative dation, the temporar anted as a salamin for the said serperation and resulted a constant on saids under the said server under within and without limit limit limit, that no deductions were taken from the constant for social country or income temps; that the temporar was relaborated for his sailing expenses taken he incomest taken sailing substant such he incomest taken sailing extends the form, but
- (9) That the temporer also sold outliery for 2. E. Respinsy

 One, 200., 6 corporation which has no place of temporer leaded

 outside of the Surk States that the temporer sold name of the products

 of R. E. Rescalant do., 200. in the York States that the temporer was

 paid a constant on onlessant for the sule composation, that no

 deductions were taken from his constant for costal coverby or

 temporer than two telephore was required to call as designated

 contenes tempor that the temporer was required to call as designated

 contenes at regular intervals and to animalia route stants in his

 representation of the R. E. Perushaar do., 200.; that the temporer

 was not relationed for any engages in connection with sales ands for

 the tald corporation.
- (4) that the temperor while as solds trips in behalf of the R. H. Persebner Co., Inc. also sald sublery for F. Y. Happy Inc. in the same templifory subside of New York States that the temperor second, and you'd sublery of both companies to the same subteness;

that there was no agreement on the division of the temperor's time time between the two companies; that the temperor's commissions have unrelatured expenses from outlary soles from both companies appeared to \$7,5%5.37 in 1961, and the own of \$13,515.6% in 1966.

- (7) that the temperor's unrelative and place and providing that the temperor could not apportion his unrelative and providing expenses between both of the ecoportion; that the temperor was not controlled by his primitipals with report to the unmar or mane sales orders were obtained; that the temperor maintained no office; that the temperor maintained no office; that the temperor maintained no office;
- (8) that the chartestic contributions of the unknowperstoll business of the temperar were in the same of \$605 in 1966 and \$605 in 1966.

presented herein, the State San Combeston hereby

- (A) that the subary of the tempoyer from F. V. Hapth, the.
 In the annual of (A,160 in 19th and (A,600 in 19th we not subject
 to unknowperated business tan almos it we received for administrative
 detics performs so as employee from which costal accuracy and immuntumes were withheld, and the not constitute part of a business
 regularly corried on by bia.
- (B) That the authorities of the tangeger as a outlery enlocum representing F. V. Ingel, Inc. and R. E. Ferminer Co., Inc. constitute the corrying on of an unincorporated training and the income from such activities is outlest to unincorporated training time.
- (0) That the tangeper's unknowperated business tan is recomputed as Julianos

Loss Albanance for Salamos

Balanco Loss Brauption

bardy to facial.

Ten by Solvered Computed to 10/10/63 Securifications

broad-read libraries

to be subject

to tes

1.05.77

71-1

\$ sho,ph

(b) that the notice of deficiency and statement of south changes (File to. 6-569516) is modified by cancelling antically the unincorporated business tax for the year 1962 on the antick of \$625.30; that the 1962 unincorporated business tax and interest computed to Hermoor M, 1965, the date of the notice of deficiency, in the modified ensure of \$665.95 is correct; that the notice of deficiency, in the modified ensure of solds shanges as modified to not include that the temperature states could not have been landsly demanded, that the temperature potation for a redetermination of a deficiency or for a reference of unincorporated business tax with respect to the modified of deficiency for the years 1961 and 1968 as modified, to and the same

Budod: Albany, New York this 13th day of April , 1967.

/s/

JOSEPH H. MURPHY

/s/

WALTER MACLYN CONLON