L 9 (6-65)

Unin Bus Tax Determinations JREAU OF LAW A-Z MEMORANDUM Black, Harris BUREAU OF LAW

TO:

Commissioners Murphy, Palestin & Macduff

FROM:

Solomon Sies. Hearing Officer

SUBJECT: HARRIS BLOCK

1960 Assessment #AB-007660 - Article 23

A hearing with reference to the above matter was held before me on October 16, 1964. The appearances and evidence produced were as shown in the stemographic minutes and the exhibits submitted herewith.

The issues involved herein is whether the activities of the taxpayer in real estate management, mortgage refinancing and insurance fees on behalf of various partnerships in which he had a fractional interest constitutes the carrying on of an unincorporated business in accordance with article 23 of the Tax Law.

The taxpayer Harris Block and Frances Block, his wife filed a New York combined Income Tax Return for the year 1960 in which they reported total income in the sum of \$41,379.37; that the sum of \$15,533.20 represented their distributive share of income received from various pertnerships; that is addition thereto, the tampayer Harris Block reported the sum of \$12,658.32 net income from business of "Real Estate Management."

On the Federal Income Tax Return for the year 1960 the taxpayer Harris Block indicated that he was conducting Real Estate Management business under the name of Harris Block Co. at 12 East 41st Street, New York, N.Y.; that receipts from said business amounted to \$29,129.72; that business expenses which included salaries, rent and other business expenses amounted to \$16,471.40 and net profit amounted to \$12,658.32.

The taxpayer did not appear at the hearing but was represented by two accountants, one of whom testified that the taxpayer Harris Block owned a fractional interest in about ten co-partnerships which owned apartment houses; that the taxpayer and his family enmed a majority of interest in most of said co-partnerships; that the taxpayer had a verbal agreement with the members of the various partnerships whereby he was to manage the various properties, collect rent, make necessary repairs for which he was to receive a fee or commission of about five per cent of the rents collected; that in addition thereto the taxpayer also received fees for re-financing mortgages on two of the properties owned by two of the co-partnerships and also insurance fees; that in connection with his aforementioned activities the taxpayer maintained an office in his own name. Attached hereto is a schedule of the management fees received by the taxpayer from the various partnerships and his interest therein.

It is to be noted that in addition to the interest that the taxpayer held in the various partnerships, he owned outright in his own name three or four parcels of real estate properties consisting

RE:

HARRIS BLOCK

of apartment houses, which were located at 34-36 Barrow Street, 355 Sixth Avenue, 23 Washington Square North. He did not manage said properties but entrusted the management of same to others (Minutes of Hearing, page 37 - Taxpayer's Exhibit #3).

Subdivision (e), section 703 of the Tax Law provides that:

"An owner of real property, a lessee or a fiduciary shall not be deemed engaged in an unincorporated business solely by reason of holding, leasing or managing real property."

In the case of Schirrmeister's Estate. 8 A.D. 2d 180, reargument and appeal denied 9 A.D. 2d 601. leave to appeal denied 7 H.Y. 2d 708, it was held that the business activities of two brothers, equal owners of stock of five corporations, having extensive real estate holdings, consisting of the handling of various financial and collection services for such corporations and the distribution to themselves of funds in the nature of compensation, constituted "unincorporated business." The opinion of the Appellate Division, by Bergan J., at page 182, states, in part, as follows:

"The handling of financial or collection services for a group of corporations could certainly be found to be a 'business' in which a service corporation, for example, might be expected to engage; and, of course, the management of real estate as a service would usually be deemed a business."

Although the above case dealt with a corporation which was a distinct entity apart from the individual stockholders thereof, I am of the opinion that the opinion of the Court in the Schirrmeister case is applicable to the instant matter in which a series of partnerships are involved.

The various partners did not, in directing the taxpayer to manage the real property, bear apportion among themselves the expenses necessary for such management. They deducted as business expenses management fees which were paid to the taxpayer who maintained an office in his own name and bore all of the office expenses including rent and salaries.

I am of the opinion, therefore, that the above described activities of the taxpayer constituted the carrying on of an unincerporated business within the intent and meaning of subdivision (e),

Commissioners Hurphy, Palestin & Macduff Page 3

REs

HARRIS BLOCK

section 703, Article 23 of the Tax Law.

For the reasons stated above, I recommend that the determination of the Tax Commission in this matter be substantially in the form submitted herewith.

JAN	25	1966
-----	----	------

SOLOMON SIES

/s/	М.	SCHAPIRO	
Secretaria de la constanta de	Approv	ođ	
/s/	and the state of t	HECKELMAN	
	Approv	ed.	3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3

88/te (June 28, 1906)

SCHEDULE OF MANAGEMENT FEES RECEIVED AND THE INTEREST IN PARTNERSHIP

FEES RECEIVED FROM	AHOUNT	INTEREST OF TAXPAYER	INTEREST OF BLOCK FAMILY INCLUDING TAXFALER
Broadlawn	\$6820.04	20 %	71.75 %
136 W.4th Street	1615.84	8.56	78.57
Lawron	3020.69	31.21	72.61
Tr1 Group	1865.31	none	75.01
Bushlock	1298.17	none	84.13
Siram	none	none	100
Kingals	none	30	31.76
Harris Block of Figure	0000 0000	25	50
Riverwood	2966.05	9.23	9.20
Fisher Court	1973.03	25	25.
17 Gramercy Park	778.63	none	none
TOTAL MANAGEMENT FEES	\$20337,76		
Mortgage Refinancing fees On Broadlawn On Lawron Insurance Fees on above properties TOTAL FEES RECEIVED BY HARRIS BLOCK	3125.00 1075.00 4591.96		

STATE OF NEW YORK STATE TAX COMMISSION

IN THE MATTER OF THE APPLICATION

CF

MARPIE BLOCK

FOR RYVIEICE OF EFFURE OF UNINCORPORATED BUSINESS TAXES UNDER ARTICLE 23 OF THE TAX LAW FOR THE YEAR 1960

Earris Block, the tampayer herein, having filed an application for revision or refund of unincorporated besiness tames under Article 23 of the Tax Lew for the year 1960 and a hearing having been held in connection therewith at the office of the State Tax Commission, 80 Centre Street, New York, N.Y. on the 16th day of Cotober, 1965, before Solomon Sies, Hearing Officer of the Department of Taxation and Finance, at which hearing the tampayer was represented by Victor Reds and David Angus, Certified Public Assountants, testimony having been taken and the matter having been duly examined and considered,

The State Tax Commission bereby finds:

(1) That Harris Block and Frances Block, his wife, filed a
New York State combined income tax return for the year 1960 in which
they reported income from various partnerships, income from dividends
and from interest; that in addition hereto on Schedule C on said Feturn the taxpayer Harris Block reported profit from business of Bool
Fetate Hanagement in the sum of \$12,658.32; that the taxpayer Harris
Block did not file any unincorporated business tax return for said
year; that on April 26, 1962, the Department of Taxation and Finance
made an additional assessment of unincorporated business tax against
the taxpayer Harris Block for the year 1960 (Assessment #AB-007660) on
the grounds that the income received from Real Estate Management setivities is subject to unincorporated business tax and accordingly computed
unincorporated business tax plus penalty and interest in the sum of
\$228.65.

- harris Block camed a fractional interest in about ten (10) co-partnerships which camed apartment houses located in the City of New York and westebester County; that in addition therete, the tempsyor Marris Block was also the sole owner of certain other spartment bouses located in the City of New York whose management he entrusted to others and paid fees for such management services; that the tempsyor Marris Block entered into an oral agreement with the other co-partners of eight (8) of the above mentioned co-partnerships whereby it was agreed that the tempsyor Harris Block was to manage the aforesaid properties for which he was to receive certain management fees in connection therewith.
- behalf of the various partnerships, the taxpayer Marris Block maintained an effice located at 12 Fast blat Street, New York City, N.Y.; that in connection with such activities the taxpayer Earris Block collected the rents, arranged for repairs and improvements in connection with the properties owned by the various partnerships; that in 1960 the taxpayer Earris Block received management fees from eight (8) of the oc-partnerships amounting to \$20,337.76; that in addition therete the taxpayer also received sortgage refinancing fees amounting to \$4200.00 from two of the aforementioned partnerships and insurance fees in addition to the management fees assuming to \$4,591.96 so that the total gross income received by the taxpayer from the various partnerships in which he held a fractional interest during the year 1960 amounted to \$29,129.72; that the taxpayer in commention with his aforementioned management activities deducted affice and business expenses as follows:

Salaries and wages
Rent on business properties
Tax on business and business properties
Sepreciation (Vacuum Cleaner & Air Conditioner)
Flectricity
Telephone
Fostage
Water
Due and Subscriptions
Legal and Accounting fees
Insurance
Fintertainment
Automobile rental and Taxifares

Christman Typense Advertising and Promotion Travel Typense Miscellaneous Typense 8 807.71 691.85 1,021.53 497.64 216,471.40;

that the not profit of the tempayor from such activities during the year 1960 amounted to \$12,652.32.

(4) That the tempayer Harris Block did not receive any management fees during the year 1960 from three (3) of the real estate co-partnerships in which he held fractional interests; that the properties wholly owned by him were managed by others; that the assagement fees received by the tampayer Harris Block from the various co-partnerships as indicated above were deducted as expenses of seid co-partnerships; that members of the tampayer's family also owned fractional interests in ten (10) real estate partnerships; that the tampayer Harris Block owned no interest in two (2) of the real estate co-partnerships for whom he managed said properties and for which he received management fees or commissions (Finutes of Bearing, pages 29 and 31).

Resed upon the foregoing findings and all of the evidence presented herein, the State Tax Commission hereby

PUTUR INTEL

- (A) That the real estate management, mortgage refinancing and insurance activities of the tempayer during the year 1960 constituted the carrying on of an unincorporated business in accordance with sub-division (e), section 703, Article 23 of the Tax Law.
- (B) That the additional assessment of unincorporated business taxes made against the taxpayer Earris Block for the year 1960 (Assessment #AE-007660) is correct; that the same does not include any tax or other charge which could not have been lawfully demanded and that the application for revision or refund filed with respect thereto be and the same is hereby denied.

DATED: Albany, New York, on the 8th day of July , 1966.

STATE TAX COMISTICS

/s/	JOSEPH H. MURPHY
	President
, ,	TO A T DATECTIN
/s/	IRA J. PALESTIN
	Countralener
11	JAMES R. MACDUFF
/s/	
	Countestones