STATE OF NEW YORK

STATE TAX COMMISSION

In the Matter of the Petition

of

Shin Hwan Kwon

Officer of Neuko Trading Corporation

AFFIDAVIT OF MAILING

for Redetermination of a Deficiency or Revision of a Determination or Refund of Sales & Use Tax: under Article(s) 28 & 29 of the Tax Law for the Periods 3/1/81-5/31/81 & 9/1/82-11/30/83.:

State of New York:

ss.:

County of Albany :

David Parchuck/Janet M. Snay, being duly sworn, deposes and says that he/she is an employee of the State Tax Commission, that he/she is over 18 years of age, and that on the 1st day of July, 1987, he/she served the within notice of Decision by certified mail upon Shin Hwan Kwon, Officer of Neuko Trading Corporation the petitioner in the within proceeding, by enclosing a true copy thereof in a securely sealed postpaid wrapper addressed as follows:

Shin Hwan Kwon Officer of Neuko Trading Corporation 39-60 54th St. #3A Woodside, NY 11377

and by depositing same enclosed in a postpaid properly addressed wrapper in a post office under the exclusive care and custody of the United States Postal Service within the State of New York.

That deponent further says that the said addressee is the petitioner herein and that the address set forth on said wrapper is the last known address of the petitioner.

anet M. Sna

Sworn to before me this 1st day of July, 1987.

Authorized to administer oaths pursuant to Tax Law section 174

STATE OF NEW YORK

STATE TAX COMMISSION

In the Matter of the Petition

of

Shin Hwan Kwon

Officer of Neuko Trading Corporation

AFFIDAVIT OF MAILING

for Redetermination of a Deficiency or Revision of a Determination or Refund of Sales & Use Tax: under Article(s) 28 & 29 of the Tax Law for the Periods 3/1/81-5/31/81 & 9/1/82-11/30/83.:

State of New York:

ss.:

County of Albany:

David Parchuck/Janet M. Snay, being duly sworn, deposes and says that he/she is an employee of the State Tax Commission, that he/she is over 18 years of age, and that on the 1st day of July, 1987, he served the within notice of Decision by certified mail upon Julian Barnett, the representative of the petitioner in the within proceeding, by enclosing a true copy thereof in a securely sealed postpaid wrapper addressed as follows:

Julian Barnett 7 Carlton Rd. Great Neck, NY 11021

and by depositing same enclosed in a postpaid properly addressed wrapper in a post office under the exclusive care and custody of the United States Postal Service within the State of New York.

That deponent further says that the said addressee is the representative of the petitioner herein and that the address set forth on said wrapper is the last known address of the representative of the petitioner.

and M. Sma

Sworn to before me this lst day of July, 1987.

Authorized to administer oaths pursuant to Tax Law section 174

STATE OF NEW YORK STATE TAX COMMISSION ALBANY, NEW YORK 12227

July 1, 1987

Shin Hwan Kwon
Officer of Neuko Trading Corporation
39-60 54th St. #3A
Woodside, NY 11377

Dear Mr. Kwon:

Please take notice of the Decision of the State Tax Commission enclosed herewith.

You have now exhausted your right of review at the administrative level. Pursuant to section(s) 1138 of the Tax Law, a proceeding in court to review an adverse decision by the State Tax Commission may be instituted only under Article 78 of the Civil Practice Law and Rules, and must be commenced in the Supreme Court of the State of New York, Albany County, within 4 months from the date of this notice.

Inquiries concerning the computation of tax due or refund allowed in accordance with this decision may be addressed to:

NYS Dept. Taxation and Finance Audit Evaluation Bureau Assessment Review Unit Building #9, State Campus Albany, New York 12227 Phone # (518) 457-2086

Very truly yours,

STATE TAX COMMISSION

cc: Taxing Bureau's Representative

Petitioner's Representative: Julian Barnett 7 Carlton Rd. Great Neck, NY 11021

STATE TAX COMMISSION

In the Matter of the Petition

of

SHIN HWAN KWON,
OFFICER OF
NEUKO TRADING CORPORATION

DECISION

for Revision of a Determination or for Refund of Sales and Use Taxes under Articles 28 and 29 : of the Tax Law for the Periods March 1, 1981 through May 31, 1981 and September 1, 1982 : through Novmeber 30, 1983.

Petitioner, Shin Hwan Kwon, officer of Neuko Trading Corporation, 39-60 54th Street, #3A, Woodside, New York 11377, filed a petition for revision of a determination or for refund of sales and use taxes under Articles 28 and 29 of the Tax Law for the periods March 1, 1981 through May 31, 1981 and September 1, 1982 through November 30, 1983 (File No. 55881).

A hearing was held before Allen Caplowaith, Hearing Officer, at the offices of the State Tax Commission, Two World Trade Center, New York, New York, on March 11, 1987 at 10:45 A.M., with all briefs to be submitted by April 8, 1987. Petitioner appeared by Julian Barnett, CPA. The Audit Division appeared by John P. Dugan, Esq. (Herbert Kamrass, Esq., of counsel).

ISSUE

Whether petitioner is personally liable for payment of sales taxes determined to be due from Neuko Trading Corporation d/b/a House of Capri.

FINDINGS OF FACT

1. (a) On July 6, 1984, the Audit Division issued a Notice of Determination and Demand for Payment of Sales and Use Taxes Due to petitioner Shin Hwan Kwon, as an officer of Neuko Trading Corporation d/b/a House of Capri. Said notice asserted a base tax due of \$27,103.50, plus penalty of \$2,773.73 and interest of \$1,385.15, for a total due of \$31,262.38. The amounts asserted for each specific quarter at issue were stated on said notice as follows:

"Period Ending	Tax Due	Penalty Due	Interest Due
5/31/81	\$ -0-	\$1,039.13	\$805.76
11/30/82	5,420.70	325.24	114.27
2/28/83	5,420.70	325.24	101.58
5/31/83	5,420.70	325.24	101.58
8/31/83	5,420.70	379.44	139.00
11/30/83	5,420.70	379.44	122.96"

The amounts of tax due were estimated by the Audit Division for all the above periods except the period ended May 31, 1981.

(b) The aforesaid notice also contained the following explanation:

"The tax assessed herein has been estimated and/or determined to be due in accordance with the provisions of section 1138 of the Tax Law....

You are liable individually and as officer of <u>House of Capri - Neuko Trading Corporation</u>, under Sections 1131(1) and 1133 of the Tax Law for the following taxes determined to be due in accordance with Section 1138(a) of the Tax Law.

The maount [sic] of the liability reflects any payments applied to the corporate assessments."

2. In his perfected petition, Shin Hwan Kwon (hereinafter "petitioner") alleged that a reduced base tax was due as follows:

"Qtr. Ended 5/31/81 No Tax Due 11/30/82 Tax Due \$3,488.16 less paid \$1,500. Balance due \$1,988.16 2/29/83 Tax Due \$3,797.06 less paid \$1,500. Balance due \$2,297.06 5/3/83 No Tax Due - out of business 8/31/83 No Tax Due - out of business 11/30/83 No Tax Due - out of business"

- 3. Neuko Trading Corporation d/b/a House of Capri (hereinafter "Neuko") was engaged in business as a restaurant making sales of food subject to tax.

 Petitioner was the president of Neuko.
- 4. Petitioner alleged in his petition that he ceased doing business in July 1983. However, he testified at the hearing that he ceased doing business on May 28, 1983. He further testified that the business was incurring losses and the landlord refused to renew his lease. Accordingly, he closed the restaurant and abandoned all his furniture, fixtures and equipment.
- 5. Neuko failed to timely file a sales tax return and timely pay the tax due for the period ending May 31, 1981. Accordingly, Neuko became liable for penalties and interest.
- 6. Petitioner submitted copies of the sales tax returns filed for the periods ending November 30, 1982 and February 28, 1983. The amounts due according to said returns were \$3,488.16 and \$3,797.06, respectively.
- 7. Petitioner alleged that three previous payments of \$1,500.00 each were made to the Sales Tax Bureau in partial payment of the amounts at issue herein.
- 8. Subsequent to the hearing, Mr. Allen Mark, Senior Tax Compliance
 Agent, performed an analysis of amounts currently due. According to his letter
 of March 17, 1987, the balances due on Neuko's account through February 1983
 are as follows:

Appears to be a typographical error, should be quarter ended 5/31/83.

"Assessment	Period	Tax	Penalty	Interest	Paid	Balance
D8110177662	3/81-5/81	4336.56	1039.13	1434.12	4500.00	2309.81
D8302215373	9/82-11/82	3488.16	872.04	2335.01		6695.21
D8305230221	12/82-2/83	3797.06	949.27	2352.39		7098.72

Checks 1231, 1254 and 1289 [of \$1,500.00 each] were applied to D8110177662."

- 9. Petitioner submitted a copy of the tax return filed for the quarter ended May 31, 1983. Said return, which was marked final return, shows a tax due of \$3,485.63.
- 10. No documentation was submitted to show the actual date that petitioner ceased doing business.
- 11. Petitioner did not deny that he was a person required to collect tax on behalf of Neuko.

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

- A. That section 1133(a) of the Tax Law places personal liability for the taxes imposed, collected or required to be collected under Article 28 upon "every person required to collect any tax" imposed by said article. Section 1131(1) furnishes the following definition for the term "persons required to collect tax":
 - "'Persons required to collect tax' or 'person required to collect any tax imposed by this article' shall include: every vendor of tangible personal property or services; every recipient of amusement charges; and every operator of a hotel. Said terms shall also include any officer or employee of a corporation or of a dissolved corporation who as such officer or employee is under a duty to act for such corporation in complying with any requirement of this article and any member of a partnership."
- B. That petitioner was a person required to collect the sales tax of Neuko for the periods at issue. Accordingly, he is personally liable, pursuant to Tax Law §§ 1131(1) and 1133(a), for payment of said sales tax.
 - C. That section 1138(a) of the Tax Law provides, in pertinent part, that:

"If a return required by this article is not filed, or if a return when filed is incorrect or insufficient, the amount of tax due shall be determined by the tax commission from such information as may be available. If necessary, the tax may be estimated on the basis of external indices".

D. That the base tax due for the periods at issue is recomputed as follows:

Period Ended	Tax Due		
May 31, 1981	\$ - 0 -		
November 30, 1982	3,488.16		
February 28, 1983	3,797.06		
May 31, 1983	3,485.63		
August 31, 1983	3,590.28		
November 30, 1983	3,590.28		
Total Tax Due	\$17,951.41		

The tax determined for the periods ended August 31, 1983 and November 30, 1983 has been estimated based on the average of the taxes due for the three prior periods.

E. That the petition of Shin Hwan Kwon, officer of Neuko Trading Corporation, is granted to the extent provided in Conclusion of Law "D", <u>supra</u>; that the Audit Division is directed to modify the Notice of Determination and Demand for Payment of Sales and Use Taxes Due dated July 6, 1984 accordingly; and that, except as so granted, said petition is in all other respects denied.

JUL 0 1 1987

DATED: Albany, New York

STATE TAX COMMISSION

COMMISSIONER

COMMISSIONER