STATE OF NEW YORK

STATE TAX COMMISSION

In the Matter of the Petition of

David Cyviak

AFFIDAVIT OF MAILING

for Redetermination of a Deficiency or Revision: of a Determination or Refund of Sales & Use Tax under Article(s) 28 & 29 of the Tax Law: for the Period 12/1/82 - 12/31/83.

State of New York:

ss.:

County of Albany:

David Parchuck/Janet M. Snay, being duly sworn, deposes and says that he/she is an employee of the State Tax Commission, that he/she is over 18 years of age, and that on the 21st day of August, 1987, he/she served the within notice of decision by enclosing a true copy thereof in a securely sealed postpaid wrapper addressed as follows:

David Cyviak 3a Mishmar Hayarden Street Tel Aviv, ISRAEL 69865

and by depositing same enclosed in a postpaid properly addressed wrapper in a post office under the exclusive care and custody of the United States Postal Service within the State of New York.

That deponent further says that the said addressee is the petitioner herein and that the address set forth on said wrapper is the last known address of the petitioner.

Sworn to before me this 21st day of August, 1987.

Authorized to administer oaths pursuant to Tax Law section 174

## STATE OF NEW YORK STATE TAX COMMISSION ALBANY, NEW YORK 12227

August 21, 1987

David Cyviak 3a Mishmar Hayarden Street Tel Aviv, ISRAEL 69865

Dear Mr. Cyviak:

Please take notice of the decision of the State Tax Commission enclosed herewith.

You have now exhausted your right of review at the administrative level. Pursuant to section(s) 1138 of the Tax Law, a proceeding in court to review an adverse decision by the State Tax Commission may be instituted only under Article 78 of the Civil Practice Law and Rules, and must be commenced in the Supreme Court of the State of New York, Albany County, within 4 months from the date of this notice.

Inquiries concerning the computation of tax due or refund allowed in accordance with this decision may be addressed to:

NYS Dept. Taxation and Finance Audit Evaluation Bureau Assessment Review Unit Building #9, State Campus Albany, New York 12227 Phone # (518) 453-4301

Very truly yours,

STATE TAX COMMISSION

cc: Taxing Bureau's Representative

poreign mail 1.04 1.6 1st class

STATE OF NEW YORK

STATE TAX COMMISSION

In the Matter of the Petition

of

DAVID CYVLAK

DECISION

for Revision of a Determination or for Refund of Sales and Use Taxes under Articles 28 and 29 of the Tax Law for the Period December 1, 1982 through December 31, 1983.

Petitioner, David Cyviak, 3a Mishmar Hayarden Street, Tel Aviv, Israel 69865, filed a petition for revision of a determination or for refund of sales and use taxes under Articles 28 and 29 of the Tax Law for the period December 1, 1982 through December 31, 1983 (File No. 54383).

A hearing was held before Allen Caplowaith, Hearing Officer, at the ottices of the State Tax Commission, Two World Trade Center, New York, New York, on May 6, 1987 at 10:45 A.M. Petitioner appeared pro se. The Audit Division appeared by John P. Dugan, Esq. (Herbert Kamrass, Esq., of counsel).

## TPPAE

Whether petitioner is properly entitled to a refund of sales tax paid on certain tangible personal property purchased.

## FINDINGS OF FACT

1. David Cyviak (hereinafter "petitioner") filed two applications for credit or refund of state and local sales or use tax. One application, which was assigned claim number 3708, was filed in October 1983 in the amount of \$450.67 for the period December 1982 through September 1983. The other application, which was assigned claim number 5086, was filed in December 1983 in the amount of \$63.76 for the period October 1983 through December 1983.

2. The explanation upon which claim number 3708 was filed is contained thereon as follows:

"For the last 3 years I was a temporary resident of N.Y.C. I am an Israeli citizen. Since 12/82 I am preparing myself with my family (wife and 2 daughters) toward moving back to Israel on 12/83. During that period, everything which I purchased from Macys, Bloomingdales, Fortunoff was for usage in Israel. I also used my Visa card which was issued in Israel to make purchases of things to take to Israel. All what I already purchased is in the warehouse."

- 3. The explanation for claim number 5086 is essentially the same as that contained on claim number 3708.
- 4. On March 12, 1984, the Audit Division issued a notice advising petitioner that his refund claim number 5086 was denied in full. Said notice contained the following reasons for such denial:

"According to the New York State Tax Law, the sales tax is a 'destination' tax. That is, the point at which possession of the tangible personal property is transferred by the vendor to the purchaser determines the tax charged. Since the merchandise you purchased was taken possession of in New York State, it is taxable.

In order for the purchase to be exempt, the merchandise must be shipped out of the State by the seller, not taken out of the State by the purchaser."

- 5. On August 10, 1984, the Audit Division issued a notice advising petitioner that his refund claim number 3708 was denied in full. Said notice contained essentially the same reason for such denial as that contained in the notice issued with respect to claim number 5086.
- 6. Petitioner's furniture purchases were sent by the vendor directly to a warehouse in Brooklyn, New York where petitioner maintained storage space.

  Smaller items which petitioner purchased were packed and sporadically brought to the warehouse for storage. Ultimately, the goods purchased by petitioner were shipped in a container to Israel.

7. Petitioner argued that although the tangible personal property upon which the sales tax refunds are claimed was purchased in New York, it was used exclusively in Israel and therefore not subject to tax.

## CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

A. That 20 NYCRR 525.2(a)(3) provides that:

"The sales tax is a 'destination tax', that is, the point of delivery or point at which possession is transferred by the vendor to the purchaser or designee controls both the tax incident and the tax rate."

- B. That since the tangible personal property was purchased in New York and possession was transferred to the purchaser or designee in New York, the property is properly subject to New York State sales tax.
- C. That the petition of David Cyviak is denied and the notices of refund denial dated March 12, 1984 and August 10, 1984 are sustained.

DATED: Albany, New York

STATE TAX COMMISSION

AUG 2 1 1987

\_\_\_\_

COMMISSIQUER