## STATE OF NEW YORK

### STATE TAX COMMISSION

In the Matter of the Petition of

Peconic Restaurant & Marina, Inc.

AFFIDAVIT OF MAILING

for Redetermination of a Deficiency or Revision of a Determination or Refund of Sales & Use Tax under Article 28 & 29 of the Tax Law for the Period 9/1/79-5/31/82.

State of New York:

ss.:

County of Albany:

Doris E. Steinhardt, being duly sworn, deposes and says that he/she is an employee of the State Tax Commission, that he/she is over 18 years of age, and that on the 18th day of February, 1986, he/she served the within notice of Decision by certified mail upon Peconic Restaurant & Marina, Inc., the petitioner in the within proceeding, by enclosing a true copy thereof in a securely sealed postpaid wrapper addressed as follows:

Peconic Restaurant & Marina, Inc. Noyac Rd. South Hampton, NY 11968

and by depositing same enclosed in a postpaid properly addressed wrapper in a post office under the exclusive care and custody of the United States Postal Service within the State of New York.

That deponent further says that the said addressee is the petitioner herein and that the address set forth on said wrapper is the last known address of the petitioner.

Tous E Steinhardt

Sworn to before me this 18th day of February, 1986.

Authorized to administer oaths

pursuant to Tax Law section 174

#### STATE OF NEW YORK

#### STATE TAX COMMISSION

In the Matter of the Petition of

Peconic Restaurant & Marina, Inc.

AFFIDAVIT OF MAILING

for Redetermination of a Deficiency or Revision of a Determination or Refund of Sales & Use Tax under Article 28 & 29 of the Tax Law for the Period 9/1/79-5/31/82.

State of New York:

SS.:

County of Albany:

Doris E. Steinhardt, being duly sworn, deposes and says that he/she is an employee of the State Tax Commission, that he/she is over 18 years of age, and that on the 18th day of February, 1986, he served the within notice of Decision by certified mail upon Katherine G. Trakas, the representative of the petitioner in the within proceeding, by enclosing a true copy thereof in a securely sealed postpaid wrapper addressed as follows:

Katherine G. Trakas Rusconi, Cahill & Lakin 521 5th Ave. New York, NY 10175

and by depositing same enclosed in a postpaid properly addressed wrapper in a post office under the exclusive care and custody of the United States Postal Service within the State of New York.

That deponent further says that the said addressee is the representative of the petitioner herein and that the address set forth on said wrapper is the last known address of the representative of the petitioner.

Dus & Seun hardt

Sworn to before me this 18th day of February, 1986.

Anthorized to administer oaths pursuant to Tax Law section 174

## STATE OF NEW YORK STATE TAX COMMISSION ALBANY, NEW YORK 12227

February 18, 1986

Peconic Restaurant & Marina, Inc. Noyac Rd. South Hampton, NY 11968

#### Gentlemen:

Please take notice of the Decision of the State Tax Commission enclosed herewith.

You have now exhausted your right of review at the administrative level. Pursuant to section(s) 1138 of the Tax Law, a proceeding in court to review an adverse decision by the State Tax Commission may be instituted only under Article 78 of the Civil Practice Law and Rules, and must be commenced in the Supreme Court of the State of New York, Albany County, within 4 months from the date of this notice.

Inquiries concerning the computation of tax due or refund allowed in accordance with this decision may be addressed to:

NYS Dept. Taxation and Finance Law Bureau - Litigation Unit Building #9, State Campus Albany, New York 12227 Phone # (518) 457-2070

Very truly yours,

STATE TAX COMMISSION

cc: Petitioner's Representative
Katherine G. Trakas
Rusconi, Cahill & Lakin
521 5th Ave.
New York, NY 10175
Taxing Bureau's Representative

#### STATE TAX COMMISSION

In The Matter of The Petition

of

PECONIC RESTAURANT & MARINA, INC.

DECISION

for Revision of a Determination or for Refund of Sales and Use Taxes under Articles 28 and 29 of the Tax Law for the Period September 1, 1979 through May 31, 1982.

Petitioner, Peconic Restaurant & Marina, Inc., Noyac Road, Southampton, New York 11968, filed a petition for revision of a determination or for refund of sales and use taxes under Articles 28 and 29 of the Tax Law for the period September 1, 1979 through May 31, 1982 (File No. 41978).

A formal hearing was held before Frank A. Landers, Hearing Officer, at the offices of the State Tax Commission, Two World Trade Center, New York, New York, on October 11, 1985 at 9:00 A.M. Petitioner appeared by Katherine G. Trakas, CPA. The Audit Division appeared by John P. Dugan, Esq. (Mark F. Volk, Esq., of counsel).

## **ISSUES**

- I. Whether the Audit Division properly determined additional sales tax due from Peconic Restaurant & Marina, Inc. for the period September 1, 1979 through May 31, 1982.
- II. If so, whether the penalty and interest in excess of the statutory minimum should be waived.

## FINDINGS OF FACT

1. On December 20, 1982, the Audit Division, as the result of a field audit, issued a Notice of Determination and Demand for Payment of Sales and Use Taxes Due against the petitioner, Peconic Restaurant & Marina, Inc. ("Peconic"),

for taxes due of \$6,528.00, plus penalty of \$1,342.08 and interest of \$1,443.67, for a total amount due of \$9,313.75 for the period September 1, 1979 through May 31, 1982.

- 2. On January 30, 1983, Peconic timely filed a petition for a hearing to review the Notice of Determination. Petitioner contends that in computing taxable sales, the examiner failed to consider inventory on hand. Petitioner claims that the hourly rate for repair labor was less during 1979 and 1980 than it was during 1982. Lastly, the petitioner argued that the examiner's estimate of winter storage charges and bottom painting was erroneous.
- 3. During the period at issue, the petitioner operated a small marina in the town of Southampton, New York. Petitioner's sales consisted of gasoline, marine parts, fishing tackle, boat repairs, bottom painting, summer dockage and winter storage. The petitioner provided its regular customers with a book containing approximately 50 incomplete sales invoices. Each time a customer made a purchase, the petitioner would complete a sales invoice and place it in a folder bearing the customer's name at petitioner's business premise. Every month the petitioner would bill the customer for sales made based on the invoices in the folder. The invoices were unnumbered and apparently constituted petitioner's sales records. Petitioner also prepared a sales invoice for transient customers, however, said invoices were not retained by petitioner. Taxable sales reported on sales and use tax returns were estimated by petitioner.
- 4. After concluding that petitioner's method of recording sales was inadequate, the examiner determined gross sales and taxable sales by the use of a test period audit method and other information that was available. Audited gross sales were calculated as follows:

- a) Gasoline purchases for the audit period were determined by analyzing check stubs for the fiscal year ended February 28, 1981. For this test year gasoline purchases amounted to 37.1% of total purchases (\$15,387 divided by \$41,434 = 37.1%). Application of this percentage to total merchandise purchases for the audit period resulted in total gasoline purchases of \$37,776. These purchases were marked up 13.47% (per analysis of July 20, 1982 selling price) to compute audited gross gasoline sales of \$42,864.
- b) "Other merchandise" purchases, i.e. marine parts and fishing tackle, of \$64,098 were marked up 33% to compute audited gross sales of other merchandise of \$85,250. The markup percentage was arrived at through discussion with vendor and random sampling of available merchandise. Petitioner agreed to this percentage since an extended and possibly more accurate markup test could not be conducted due to the unavailability of sales and/or purchase invoices.
- c) Bottom painting labor charges were determined through discussions with petitioner and amounted to \$11,250. This amount was arrived at by multiplying the average number of boats in winter storage (75) by the average boat length (20') by the rate per foot (\$2.50). 75 x 20 x 2.50 x 3 year audit period = \$11,250.
- d) Labor sales for repairs were determined by analyzing all available sales invoices for the year 1981. All charges applicable to labor were extracted and amounted to \$6,594. Projected to the three-year audit period, labor sales amounted to \$19,782.
- e) Winter storage charges amounted to \$34,020 and were determined by discussions with petitioner taking into consideration the number of boats stored and the average boat length.
- f) Dockage charges amounted to \$87,900 and were determined in the same manner as winter storage charges.
- 5. As a result of the above, total audited gross sales for the audit period were determined to be \$287,246. The examiner next computed nontaxable sales of \$96,950, i.e., state excise tax on gasoline in the amount of \$2,435 plus dockage charges for the audit period of \$87,900 plus merchandise sales for which the petitioner received an exemption certificate of \$6,615. The examiner then computed additional taxable sales and sales tax due by reducing audited

gross sales by nontaxable sales plus taxable sales reported and multiplying the result by the applicable sales tax rate (\$287,246 gross sales, less \$96,950 nontaxable sales, less \$98,007 taxable sales reported, times sales tax rate equals \$6,528 additional sales tax due). It should be noted that the examiner apparently made a computing error. Audit gross sales as determined by adding items 4(a) through (f) equals \$281,066 or \$6,180 less than that computed by the examiner.

- 6. At the hearing, the petitioner offered into evidence sheets listing its merchandise inventory at the time of the audit. The petitioner intended to prove that not all merchandise purchased had been sold, however, the petitioner failed to show what its merchandise inventory was at the beginning of the audit period. The petitioner also offered into evidence a list of boats from which it purportedly received its winter storage charges and bottom painting labor charges. However, by itself, the list is insufficient to overcome the storage charges and bottom painting labor charges as determined by the examiner. Petitioner also failed to show that the hourly rate for repair labor was less during 1979 and 1980 than it was during 1982, as it had contended (Finding of Fact "2").
- 7. The petitioner was the subject of an audit covering the period June 1, 1974 through February 28, 1977. During this period of time, the petitioner recorded sales in the same manner as during the period at issue. The Audit Division found no additional taxes due in the prior audit. As a result, petitioner's management believed that this method of recording sales was adequate.

## CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

- A. That the books and records of Peconic Restaurant & Marina, Inc. were incomplete and inadequate and therefore the Audit Division properly determined additional taxes due from such information as was available and external indices in accordance with section 1138(a)(1) of the Tax Law (Matter of George Korba v. State Tax Commission, 84 A.D. 2d 655).
- B. That, under the circumstances herein, the Audit Division reasonably calculated the tax liability of petitioner and petitioner has failed to demonstrate by clear and convincing evidence that the method used to arrive at the assessment and the assessment itself are erroneous (Matter of Ristorante Puglia, Ltd. v. Chu 102 A.D. 2d 348, 351; Matter of Surface Line Operators Fraternal Organization Inc. v. Tully 85 A.D. 2d 858, 859). The evidence presented at the hearing was insufficient to overcome petitioner's burden of proof.
- C. That additional taxable sales are hereby reduced by \$6,180 pursuant to Finding of Fact "5".
- D. That section 1145(a)(1)(ii) of the Tax Law provides, in part, that where this Commission determines that the failure or delay in paying tax is due to reasonable cause and not due to willful neglect, it is authorized to cancel the penalty and that portion of interest in excess of the interest computed at the rate established pursuant to section 1142. Reasonable cause has been defined so as to include "any cause for delinquency which appears to a person of ordinary prudence and intelligence as a reasonable cause for delay in filing a return and which clearly indicates an absence of gross negligence or willful intent to disobey the taxing statutes. Past performance will be taken into account." 20 NYCRR 536.1(b)(6).

- E. That petitioner's management believed that the method of recording sales was adequate in view of the results of the prior audit (Finding of Fact "7"); thus, petitioner has demonstrated that reasonable cause existed for its failure to pay the tax. Accordingly, the penalty is cancelled and interest is reduced to the minimum statutory rate.
- F. That the petition of Peconic Restaurant & Marina, Inc. is granted to the extent indicated in Conclusions of Law "C" and "E"; the Audit Division is hereby directed to modify the Notice of Determination and Demand for Payment of Sales and Use Taxes Due issued December 20, 1982; and that except as so granted, the petition is denied.

DATED: Albany, New York

FEB 181986

STATE TAX COMMISSION

COMMISSIONER

## BEZ EYE PIE 9

## RECEIPT FOR CERTIFIED MAIL

NO INSURANCE COVERAGE PROVIDED

PS Form 3800. June 1985		
8	Postmark or Date	
June	See4 bns ageszed JATOT	S
1985	Return Receipt showing to whom. Date, and Address of Delivery	
<b>.</b>	Refurn Receipt showing	
	Restricted Delivery Fee	
	Special Delivery Fee	
*	Centitied Fee	
J.S.G.	Postage	ş
P.O. 1	PO. State and ZIP Code	89511 NN
U.S.G.P.O. 1985-480 794	Street and No. P.d.	
79.	Sento PCONIC RESTALIA	-
-	(981949A 998)	DUNT HAYS
	NOT FOR INTERNATIONAL MAIL	
	ALKOUL TOMUTADO TOMPHORNI ON	27/

# PEZ EYE PLE 9

RECEIPT FOR CERTIFIED MAIL
10. INSURANCE COVERAGE PROVIDED
10. FOR INTERNATIONAL MAIL
10.07 FOR INTERNATIONAL MAIL

90. June 1985	ಿಸು⊜ ಇ ಸಾಣಗಡುತ್ತ	
	POTAL Postage and Pees	÷
	Seturn Receipt showing to whom: Date, and Address of Delivery	
	palakijagi, pgg dolaking ggrap gacardi apawod	
	ශ්ෂය විසිට සිටු පරාගයේ දි	
	ఉద్దాయి. ఉన్నాలకుండాన	
! . *_	Sedined Fee	
J.S.G.	968,806	ŝ
U.S.G.P.U. 1985-480-794	P.O. State and ZIP Code N.Y	SK101.
	Sub At 162	
	Ruscowin CAhilL	MAAA
	See Reverse	TRKKAS
	NOT FOR INTERNATIONAL MAIN	•